

Argumentation/Opinion Text-Based Writing Rubric Grades 9–10

	Score of 4	Score of 3	Score of 2	Score of 1
Reading/Research	The writing — makes effective use of available resources skillfully/effectively supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy uses credible sources*	The writing – makes adequate use of available resources supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy uses credible sources*	The writing — makes limited use of available resources inconsistently supports an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy inconsistently uses credible sources*	The writing – makes inadequate use of available resources fails to support an opinion with relevant and sufficient facts and details from resources with accuracy attempts to use credible sources*
Development	The writing — addresses all aspects of the writing task with a tightly focused response skillfully develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly, supplying sufficient and relevant evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both in a manner that anticipates the audience's knowledge level and concerns	The writing — addresses the writing task with a focused response develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly, supplying sufficient and relevant evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both in a manner that anticipates the audience's knowledge level and concerns	The writing — addresses the writing task with an inconsistent focus inconsistently develops the claim(s) and counterclaims fairly, supplying sufficient and relevant evidence for each while pointing out the strengths and limitations of both in a manner that anticipates the audience's knowledge level and concerns	The writing — attempts to address the writing task but lacks focus attempts to establish a claim or proposal supports claim(s) using evidence that is insufficient and/or irrelevant
Organization 2 × =	The writing — • effectively introduces precise claim(s); distinguishes the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claims • effectively creates an organization that establishes clear relationships among claim(s), counterclaim(s), reasons, and evidence • skillfully uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims • provides an effective concluding statement or section that follows from and skillfully supports the argument presented	The writing — introduces precise claim(s); distinguishes the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claims creates an organization that establishes clear relationships among claim(s), counterclaim(s), reasons, and evidence uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims provides a concluding statement or section that follows from and supports the argument presented	The writing — introduces the claim(s); however, may fail to distinguish the claim(s) from alternate or opposing claim(s) has a progression of ideas that may lack cohesion (ideas may be rambling and/or repetitive) inconsistently uses words, phrases, and/or clauses to link the major sections of the text, create cohesion, and clarify the relationships between claim(s) and reasons, between reasons and evidence, and between claim(s) and counterclaims provides a sense of closure	The writing — • identifies the claim(s) • has little or no evidence of purposeful organization



	Score of 4	Score of 3	Score of 2	Score of 1
Language/Conventions	The writing – demonstrates an exemplary command of standard English conventions skillfully employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose has sentences that are skillfully constructed with appropriate variety in length and structure follows standard format for citation with few errors*	The writing — • demonstrates a command of standard English conventions; errors do not interfere with understanding • employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose • has sentences that are generally complete with sufficient variety in length and structure • follows standard format for citation with few errors*	The writing — • demonstrates a limited and/or inconsistent command of standard English conventions; errors may interfere with understanding • inconsistently employs language and tone appropriate to audience and purpose • has some sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety • follows standard format for citation with several errors*	The writing — demonstrates a weak command of standard English conventions; errors interfere with understanding employs language and tone that are inappropriate to audience and purpose has frequent and severe sentence formation errors and/or a lack of sentence variety follows standard format for citation with significant errors*

^{*} If applicable