

WIDA ALTERNATE ACCESS Interpretive Guide for Score Reports

Grades K-12 SPRING 2025

UNDERSTANDING STUDENT SCORES

Contents

NIDA Alternate ACCESS	2
Understanding Scores	2
Alternate ACCESS Score Reports	3
Individual Student Report for Families	3
Individual Student Report for Educators	3
Student Roster Report	4
Frequency Reports	4
Individual Student Scores	4
Domain Scores	4
Composite Scores	6
Individual Characteristics Questionnaire	7
Interpreting Student Scores	7
Understanding Student Growth	8
Group Scores	8
Student Roster Report	8
Frequency Reports	9
Appendix A: Sample Individual Student Report for Families	10
Appendix B: Sample Individual Student Report for Educators	11
Appendix C: Individual Characteristics Questionnaire 2024-25	15
Appendix D: WIDA Alternate Proficiency Level Descriptors	20

This document presents WIDA recommendations for interpreting and using WIDA Alternate ACCESS test scores. It also introduces some of the tools available to program coordinators and district administrators interested in reviewing and taking action on group performance on WIDA Alternate ACCESS. State and district policies on test score use may differ from one another and may also vary from the recommendations presented in this document.

The Every Student Succeeds Act of 2015 (ESSA) requires that all students identified as English learners (ELs), including those who receive special education services, be assessed annually for English language proficiency. The Individuals with Disabilities Education Act of 2004 also mandates that students with disabilities participate in state and district assessment programs, including alternate assessments, with any accommodations documented in Individualized Education Programs (IEPs). WIDA Alternate ACCESS meets federal accountability requirements and provides educators with a measure of the English language proficiency growth of ELs with the most significant cognitive disabilities.

Suggested citation:

WIDA. (2025). WIDA Alternate ACCESS Interpretive Guide for Score Reports Grades K-12. Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System.

©2025 Board of Regents of the University of Wisconsin System, on behalf of WIDA. Last revised February 2025

WIDA Alternate ACCESS

WIDA Alternate ACCESS (Alternate ACCESS) is a large-scale English language proficiency test for students in grades K–12 with the most significant cognitive disabilities. It is one component of WIDA's comprehensive, standards-driven system that supports the teaching and learning of English learners (ELs). The purpose of Alternate ACCESS is to monitor student progress in English language proficiency on a yearly basis and to serve as just one of the many criteria that educators consider as they determine whether English learners have attained an English language proficiency level that will allow them to meaningfully participate in English language classroom instruction. Visit the <u>Alternate ACCESS landing page</u> on the WIDA website for more details.

Alternate ACCESS is a standards-referenced test, which means that student performance is compared to English language development standards WIDA has defined; specifically, the WIDA English Language Development Standards Framework, 2020 Edition. Any student can achieve any score, and students are not ranked against each other or against the expected performance of monolingual English speakers. Visit the Teaching with Standards section of the WIDA website for details on WIDA standards.

Understanding Scores

Before diving into your students' score reports, take some time to familiarize yourself with the sample reports on <u>the WIDA Alternate ACCESS Scores and Reports page of the WIDA website</u> and consider what test scores mean in practical terms. As you examine and discuss the English language proficiency portrait that each Individual Student Report (ISR) shows, use WIDA resources to help you move from scores to concrete recommendations for the services, instructional support, and future assessment needs of each student. Scores can help parents or guardians, IEP teams, and other educators better understand a student's abilities. Find resources for sharing scores on the <u>Family Engagement page</u> of the WIDA website.

Use WIDA resources like the <u>Alternate Proficiency Level Descriptors</u> to identify and describe the language abilities a student already has, the skills a student can work on, and the instructional supports that might be effective as a student develops new English language abilities. Share the student portrait and plans you develop with your students' IEP teams and content teachers. Translate your plans into the student's home language and share them with the student's family during conferences, family nights, or home visits so that home can be a place of active language learning.

Consider holding an in-service session for your school or district so that educators can talk through <u>the</u> <u>WIDA English Language Development Standards Framework, 2020 Edition</u>, review <u>sample score reports</u>, and discuss how students' scores might inform plans for classroom instruction and support.

WIDA offers a variety of professional development resources that can help educators and administrators fully understand and make the best use of WIDA assessments. Check out the <u>current professional</u> <u>learning offerings</u> and the webinars available in the <u>WIDA Secure Portal</u>.

Alternate ACCESS Score Reports

Scores for Alternate ACCESS are reported for individual students and groups of students. Each type of report is described in this section. There are two types of Individual Student Report (ISR), one for families and one for educators, and two types of group reports, Student Roster Reports and Frequency Reports. Detailed information about the types of scores included on these reports is found in the <u>Individual</u> <u>Student Scores</u> and <u>Group Scores</u> sections of this guide.

Individual Student Report for Families

Audience: Students, Parents/Guardians

Detailed report of a single student's performance, including proficiency level for each language domain and an overall proficiency level. Share with parents and guardians as part of discussions around student progress and achievement. A sample of the Individual Student Report for Families can be found in <u>Appendix A</u>.

Translations of the Individual Student Report for Families are available in the following languages in WIDA AMS.

Albanian, Amharic, Arabic (MSA), Bengali, Bosnian, Burmese, Chamorro, Chinese (Simplified), Chinese (Traditional), Chuukese, Dari, French (European), German, Gujarati, Haitian Creole, Hawaiian, Hindi, Hmong, Ilokano, Italian, Japanese, Karen, Khmer (Cambodian), Korean, Lao, Malayalam, Mandingo, Marshallese, Nepali, Pashto, Polish, Portuguese (Brazilian), Punjabi, Romanian, Russian, Samoan, Serbian, Somali, Spanish (International), Swahili, Tagalog, Telugu, Tongan, Turkish, Ukrainian, Urdu, Vietnamese, Wolof

Translated reports should always accompany–not replace!–official reports in English.

Individual Student Report for Educators

Audience: Teachers, IEP Teams

Detailed report of a single student's performance, including proficiency level and scale scores for each language domain and four composite areas. Additionally, this report shares information reported on the Individual Characteristics Questionnaire that can be used to inform conversations around reclassification. Share with the student's teachers to inform individualized classroom instruction and assessment. Share with IEP teams when determining the student's abilities and English language needs. A sample of the Individual Student Report for Educators can be found in <u>Appendix B</u>.

Student Roster Report

Audience: Teachers, Program Coordinators and Directors, Administrators, IEP Teams

Overview report of the performances of a group of students, including proficiency level and scale scores for each language domain and composite area by school, grade, student, and grade-level cluster. Share with administrators, teachers, and IEP teams to inform classroom instruction and assessment.

Frequency Reports

High-level report for a single grade within a school, district, or state including the number and percentage of tested students that achieved each proficiency level for each language domain and composite area.

School Frequency Report

Audience: Program Coordinators and Directors, Administrators Share with school and district staff to inform school-level programmatic decisions.

District Frequency Report

Audience: Program Coordinators and Directors, Administrators, Boards of Education Share with district staff to inform district-level programmatic decisions.

State Frequency Report

Audience: State and District Program Staff, Policy Makers and Legislators Share with policymakers and legislators and to inform state- and district-level programmatic decisions.

See <u>Alternate ACCESS Scores and Reports</u> on the WIDA website for more information.

Individual Student Scores

Domain Scores

Both the Individual Student Report for Families and the Individual Student Report for Educators contain information about a student's proficiency level on each section (language domain) of Alternate ACCESS, along with an Overall proficiency level. Additionally, the Individual Student Report for Educators includes scale scores with confidence bands, and Oral Language, Literacy, and Comprehension composite scores.

Proficiency levels are *interpretive scores*. In other words, they are based on, but separate from, the student's earned test score. The proficiency level score is a whole number. This number reflects the student's performance in terms of the five <u>WIDA Alternate English Language Proficiency Levels</u>:



Alternate ACCESS proficiency levels are unique. A student who scores a PL1 Entering on Alternate ACCESS is not necessarily performing at the same level as a student who scores at the Entering proficiency level on ACCESS for ELLs Online or ACCESS for ELLs Paper.

Proficiency levels are domain specific. At the end of both types of Individual Student Report, each proficiency level is explained in terms of what the student can do using English. These descriptions reflect the language acquisition process at each level, including students' growing ability to produce and process an increasing variety of language forms and conventions.

Note: The letters NA appear on the ISR when information recorded on a test booklet or entered in WIDA AMS specifies that a particular domain test should not be scored. If a proficiency level on a student's score report is marked with NA, it means the student was not tested in that domain, or the Do Not Score code was applied. Composite scores calculated with a domain with NA applied will also be marked NA.

Proficiency level scores should not be compared across grades. Proficiency levels are relevant to the context of a particular grade level. A second grader with a PL1 in Listening and an eighth grader with a PL1 in Listening are exposed to very different, grade-level appropriate content as they test. While their score reports reflect the same proficiency level, the eighth grader is demonstrating more skill by responding to more challenging content.

In summary, use proficiency levels:

- \checkmark to make comparisons across domains but not across grades.
- ✓ to develop a student-specific English language skill profile.
- ✓ as one of multiple criteria to determine a student's eligibility for English language support services.

Scale scores precisely track student growth over time and across grades. Because scale scores take into account differences in item difficulty, they place all students on a single continuum that stretches from kindergarten through grade 12. In addition, scale scores allow you to compare student performance across grades, within each domain, with more granularity than you'll see with proficiency levels. For example, using scale scores, you can track how much a student's listening ability increases from grade 6 to grade 7. The scale score range for Alternate ACCESS is 900–980.

Scale scores are not raw scores. A raw score is simply a tally of correct responses. Raw scores are not reported for Alternate ACCESS because they do not provide a meaningful measure of student performance. Raw scores do not take into account the higher language expectations for the items at higher proficiency levels. Scale scores are a means of comparing equivalent knowledge across grades. However, increasing expectations at higher grades mean that scale scores do not translate to equivalent proficiency levels across grades.

In summary, use scale scores:

- ✓ to make comparisons across grade levels but not across domains.
- ✓ to monitor student growth over time within a domain, but not across domains.

Confidence bands are included on the Individual Student Report for Educators. A scale score is reported as a single point within a confidence band that shows the Standard Error of Measurement (SEM). In other words, the box beneath the scale score shows the range of scores a student might receive if that student took the test again and again at a single point in time.



Confidence bands are a reminder that scales scores represent just one point in a range of potential student performance outcomes. Consider, for example, these scenarios:

- 1) The student is healthy and well rested. The testing session goes smoothly.
- 2) The student isn't feeling well. The testing session goes smoothly.
- 3) The student is healthy and well rested. The testing session is repeatedly interrupted by loud noises in the room next door.

Even though the student is the same, has the same proficiency level, and responds to the same test questions in all three scenarios, they are most likely to achieve the highest score in the first scenario. Because Alternate ACCESS is a statistically reliable assessment, the scores in each scenario would be similar-but probably not exactly the same. The confidence band reflects the expected score variation.

The <u>Alternate ACCESS Scale Score to Proficiency Level Table</u> in the WIDA Secure Portal provides cut scores for proficiency levels for all grade levels and domains. To use scores for instructional planning, consult the <u>Alternate Proficiency Level Descriptors</u> (Alternate PLDs), which detail the language expected of students at each proficiency level. For example, you can use these descriptors to see that one characteristic of students at Speaking PL1–Entering is "at least one intentional sound or word." Students at this proficiency level might benefit from classroom activities which focus on combining words to increase meaning, such as moving from "go" to "I go" or "you go."

Composite Scores

In addition to proficiency level and scale scores for each language domain, WIDA provides a proficiency level score and a scale score for different combinations of the language domains on the Individual Student Report for Educators. These composite scores are Oral Language, Literacy, Comprehension, and Overall.

Oral Language 50% Listening + 50% Speaking	2	930
Literacy 50% Reading + 50% Writing	2	931
Comprehension 70% Reading + 30% Listening	1	918
Overall* 35% Reading + 35% Writing + 15% Listening + 15% Speaking	2	930

Composite scores demand careful consideration. Composite scores can helpfully summarize student skills. However, similar composite scores can detract from critical differences between students. For example, two students with identical Overall scores might have very different profiles in terms of their oral language and literacy development as well as their disabilities. One student might have very strong speaking skills, while another might excel at reading. Because a high score in one language domain

can inflate a composite score, a student's individual performance in each domain is more informative than a single composite score.

Only students who complete all four domains receive all four composite scores. If a student does not complete a particular domain, scores for that domain and any associated composite scores will be missing from the student's score report.

The letters NA appear on the Individual Student Report when information recorded on a test booklet or entered in WIDA AMS specifies that a particular domain test should not be scored. When NA appears for an individual language domain, NA also appears for each composite score calculated using that domain, including the Overall score. For example, when a Do Not Score code is marked for the Reading domain, NA appears for the Reading, Literacy, Comprehension, and Overall scores.

Corresponding spaces in the ISR are blank when a test booklet is returned without any evidence that the student engaged with the content of an entire domain test. In other words, the space for a language domain score is blank when no response to any item in that domain test is marked in the test booklet.

Individual Characteristics Questionnaire

The Individual Characteristics Questionnaire (ICQ), which is included in <u>Appendix C</u>, captures the language and communication characteristics of students who take Alternate ACCESS. Test administrators complete the ICQ for each student at the time of testing. ICQ information, which is included as the third and fourth pages of each Individual Student Report for Educators, can be used as one source of evidence to help educator teams make program and reclassification decisions for students with the most significant cognitive disabilities. Blank fields on the ICQ information in the Individual Student Report for Educators indicate that no response was provided for that field.

Interpreting Student Scores

- Alternate ACCESS scores provide information on students' English proficiency. They do not measure students' academic achievement or content knowledge, and they do not provide information about a student's disability.
- The Alternate ACCESS assessment and score reports are not designed or intended to provide any meaningful information about an individual educator's skills or performance. School- and district-wide trends are more meaningful as a means to evaluate long-term program impacts than as a method to evaluate any one individual or draw conclusions about any particular small group of students.
- WIDA recommends using Alternate ACCESS scores as one of multiple pieces of information that inform high-stakes reclassification or exit decisions. Schoolwork, classroom assessments, and IEP team input are all valuable evidence that can help you understand a student's English language proficiency and development.

State Education agencies, not WIDA, set reclassification policies, which include determining exit criteria and establishing guidelines for the use of Alternate ACESS scores.

Understanding Student Growth

Both proficiency levels and scale scores can help you understand student growth year-over-year. Proficiency levels are a practical way to understand students' skills, while scale scores offer more nuance about how much a student's language use and control is changing. As you review Alternate ACCESS scores and consider student growth, keep in mind:

- A student's foundation in a home or primary language is a good predictor of English language development. For example, a student with a strong literacy background in a home language is likely to acquire literacy in English at a quicker pace than a student with lower levels of home language literacy.
- The pace of language development is different for each individual. It is common for younger students and those at beginner proficiency levels to make progress more quickly than older students and those at more advanced proficiency levels.
- Students rarely acquire proficiency across domains at the same pace. Often, oral language skills (listening and speaking) develop faster than literacy skills (reading and writing). At the same time, receptive language skills (listening and reading) often develop faster than productive language skills (speaking and writing). Every student's growth is different, but it's not unusual that students need longer to develop skills in writing than in any other domain.

Multiple consecutive years of data are necessary to analyze student growth. WIDA Alternate ACCESS is based on the revised Alternate Proficiency Level Descriptors and has new proficiency level cut scores. Education teams should keep in mind that 2023-24 was the first administration year of the new test, and scores from that year can be used as a baseline of test performance. Results from the second year (2024-25) reflect one year of growth. Only with three years or more of test results can you see trends in the student's language development.

Group Scores

Student Roster Report

The Student Roster Report contains information on a group of students within a single school and grade. Like the Individual Student Report for Educators, the Student Roster Report provides scale scores and proficiency levels for individual language domains and composite areas for each student, giving teachers, administrators, IEP teams, and program coordinators and directors an overview of their students' English language skills and a place to look for patterns in student performance.

Use the Student Roster Report:

- ✓ to identify patterns in student performance. Consult with colleagues about factors that might explain similarities and differences in how various groups of students perform.
- ✓ to verify that student scores reflect reasonable expectations. For example, you can expect that students new to an English language school context or who have had limited or interrupted formal schooling will be at the lower end of the scale. For students with particularly high scores, consider whether their classroom engagement and schoolwork further indicate that they might be ready to exit language support programs.
- ✓ to group students for instructional planning or classroom support purposes.
- ✓ to develop school and district improvement plans or educator professional development opportunities that target the areas in which students are struggling.

Frequency Reports

Frequency reports provide a snapshot of a particular student population. Available for individual schools, districts, and states, these reports show the number and percentage of students in each grade to attain each proficiency level. In addition, the reports provide the highest and lowest scale scores attained in each of the four language domains. (The individual students who earned these high and low scores are not identified.)

Administrators, program coordinators and directors, and boards of education can review frequency reports as they plan the type and amount of English language support services their schools, districts, or states will offer. In combination with educator input and the results of content assessments, frequency reports can help high-level decision and policy makers compare the progress and success of EL students to that of former ELs and their English-proficient peers.

Frequency reports do not show the performance of individual students. Instead, they show the performance of a group of students using both real numbers of test takers and percentages of the total test-taker population. Be sure to use both of these numbers as you consider student performance. Percentages are a useful way to compare populations of different sizes. However, a small population size can distort percentage results-knowing that 100% of students achieved a particular proficiency level isn't all that meaningful if the population size is 1.

As with all student achievement reports, keep in mind that context is crucial. When you share frequency reports with decision makers, also provide information about the student population, such as the variety of backgrounds students bring to your school, district, or state in terms of languages, cultures, and experiences. The frequency report itself cannot explain why students are distributed as they are among the proficiency levels. For example, it's not unusual for a school with many new students or a particularly mobile or linguistically diverse population to have more students at beginning proficiency levels than another school with an equally strong English language support program but a more stable and homogeneous student population.

Appendix A: Sample Individual Student Report for Families



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

English Language Proficiency Test for Students with Significant Cognitive Disabilities

CRUZ, EMILY

Birth Date: 10/14/2009 Grade: 10 District ID: WS999999 State ID: 249110014 School: Training Reports School District: WIDA Use Only - Sample District State: WS Accommodation(s):

2025 Individual Student Report for Families

This is EMILY's Individual Score Report on the WIDA Alternate ACCESS English language proficiency test. This test is based on the WIDA English Language Development Standards and is used to measure EMILY's progress in learning English. Scores are reported as Alternate English Language Proficiency Levels.

What are Alternate English Language Proficiency Levels?

Alternate English language proficiency levels describe how multilingual learners with significant cognitive disabilities use and understand English language and communication. Each proficiency level builds upon the previous level.

Descriptions about each of these levels are called alternate proficiency level descriptors and help parents and teachers understand language development at various levels in Listening, Speaking, Reading, and Writing.

EMILY's Individual		Alternate English Language Proficiency Levels (PL)				
Domain Score		1 Entering	2 Emerging	3 Developing	4 Expanding	5 Bridging
Listening	1					
Speaking	3					
Reading	1					
Writing	3					
EMILY's Overall Proficiency Level*	2					

*Overall score, as shown, in the last row in the table above, is calculated only when all four domains have been assessed.

NA: Not available

Students with EMILY's Overall Proficiency Level of 2, typically can:

- Understand simple words spoken in English
- ✓ Read or decode multiple elements or short phrases
- ✓ Communicate chunks of language or phrases (at least two)
- ✓ Write a single word

Appendix B: Sample Individual Student Report for Educators



CRUZ, EMILY

Birth Date: 10/14/2009

Grade: 10 State ID: 249110014

District ID: WS99999 State ID: 249 School: Training Reports School District: WIDA Use Only - Sample District State: WS Accommodation(s):

2025 Individual Student Report for Educators

This report provides information about the student's scores on the WIDA Alternate ACCESS English language proficiency test. This test is based on the WIDA Alternate English Language Development Standards and is used to measure students' progress in learning English. Scores are reported as Alternate English Language Proficiency Levels and as Scale Scores.

Important terms

UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

English Language Proficiency Test for Students with Significant Cognitive Disabilities

- · Proficiency levels (PL) provide an interpretation of scores that range from levels 1-5.
- Scale scores track student growth over time and across grades. Scale scores consider differences in item difficulty; therefore, they place all students on a single continuum that stretches from kindergarten—grade 12. If the student were to test again, the student's score would likely fall within the lines on either side of the point.
- Confidence bands are a reminder that scale scores represent just one point in a range of potential student performance
 outcomes. They reflect the expected score variation.
- Composite scores describe different combinations of the language domains. The composite scores are: Overall, Comprehension, Literacy, and Oral Language.

ST	UDENT'S LEVEL OF ENGL	ISH PROFICIENCY BY LANGUAGE DOMAIN
Language Domain	Proficiency Level	Scale Score (Possible 900-980) and Confidence Band See Interpretive Guide for Score Reports for definitions
	1 2 3 4 5	900 920 940 960 980
Listening	1	938
Speaking	3	956 [
Reading	1	932 •
Writing	3	964 •
Oral Language 50% Listening + 50% Speaking	2	947
Literacy 50% Reading + 50% Writing	2	948
Comprehension 70% Reading + 30% Listening	1	934
Overall* 35% Reading + 35% Writing + 15% Listening + 15% Speaking	2	948

*Overall score, as shown, in the last row in the table above, is calculated only when all four domains have been assessed.

NA: Not available

Students with an **Overall Composite Score of Proficiency Level 2**, typically can:

- Understand simple words spoken in English
- ✓ Read or decode multiple elements or short phrases
- Communicate chunks of language or phrases (at least two)
- ✓ Write a single word

How to find more information on scores and their uses

Go to the Alternate ACCESS Score and Reports page on the WIDA website to learn more about scores: wida.wisc.edu/assess/alt-access/scores-reports.

CRUZ, EMILY 145075-000025-03425



CRUZ, EMILY District ID: WS99999 Year: 2025

State ID: 249110014

At each grade level, toward the end of a given alternate level of English language proficiency, and with individualized instruction, appropriate supports*, accommodations, and communication tools...

	Expressive multilingual learners with significant cognitive disabilities will produce	Interpretive multilingual learners with significant cognitive disabilities will interpret and respond to
End of Proficiency Level 5 Bridging	 Connected ideas with recognizable organization, including connectors and details Simple and compound, connected sentence(s) A growing selection of everyday and content- area words and phrases within and across familiar and novel social and academic contexts 	 Connected ideas with recognizable organization, including connectors and details A variety of sentences (e.g., simple, compound, and complex sentences) A growing selection of everyday and content-area words and phrases within and across familiar and novel social and academic contexts
End of Proficiency Level 4 Expanding	 Connected ideas with emerging details Simple, connected sentence(s) A selection of everyday and content-area words and phrases applicable in familiar social and academic contexts 	 Connected ideas with emerging details Simple and compound, connected sentence(s) A selection of everyday and content-area words and phrases applicable in familiar and novel social and academic contexts
End of Proficiency Level 3 Developing	 Familiar ideas with occasional details Spoken simple sentences and written chunks of language, phrases, or simple clauses (e.g., subject + verb) High-frequency, familiar words and phrases as part of routine and familiar social and academic contexts 	 Familiar ideas with occasional details Simple, connected sentence(s) High-frequency, familiar words and phrases as part of routine and familiar social and academic contexts
End of Proficiency Level 2 Emerging	 Intentional communication of familiar ideas with attempted details Spoken chunks of language, phrases, or simple clauses (e.g., subject + verb) and written word(s) High-frequency, familiar words and phrases relying on concrete, immediate social and academic contexts 	 Intentional communication of familiar ideas with attempted details Chunks of language, phrases, or simple clauses (e.g., subject + verb) High-frequency, familiar words and phrases relying on concrete, immediate social and academic contexts
End of Proficiency Level 1 Entering	 Intentional communication of familiar idea Emerging expression of letters or a word Frequently reoccurring emerging expressions based on immediate social and academic contexts 	 Intentional communication of familiar ideas Emerging expressions of letters or word(s) Frequently reoccurring emerging expressions based on immediate social and academic contexts

*Appropriate supports included use of multimodalities and translanguaging

CRUZ, EMILY 145075-000026-03425

VIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON	ACCESS	CRUZ, EMILY District ID: WS9999 Year: 2025	99	State ID: 2	49110014
dividual Characteristics Questic e following information was reported by ovided. The information may be used, as pport reclassification and exit decisions. ake sure to talk with your student's test a udents' abilities.	the test administrator at the time well as additional classroom info For a full copy of the ICQ, see wi	rmation, to inform cla da.wisc.edu/resource	ssroom s/indivi e inform	instructional pr dual-characteri ation reported	actices or to stics-question regarding your
Test Administrator Title				stadministrato	ſ
Is there an English language acquisition s coordinator)	pecialist on the IEP team? (e.g.,	ESL teacher, ESL	No		
Does the student take the alternate asse and/or science based on alternate acade			No		
Student's most recent performance on English Language Arts Mathematics Science Approximate hours the student spends i Instruction is in English Instruction is in languages other that Instruction is focused on English land	n dassrooms where n English	sment.		g Target xceeds Target pre re	
				Multiple Selected	
Student communicates through				entative and alte unication (AAC) cards	
The students' rece	ptive communication abilities i	nclude:		In English	Language Other Than English
Can point to, look at, or touch things in t body parts)	he immediate vicinity when asked	d (e.g., pictures, objec	ts,		
Can perform simple actions, movements location, gives an object to the teacher o			5		
Responds appropriately in any modality a favored item that is not present or visil			ed	\checkmark	~
Responds appropriately in any modality that are spoken or signed	(speech, sign, gestures, facial ex	pressions) to single wo	ords		
	(speech, sign, gestures, facial ex	pressions) to phrases	and		
Responds appropriately in any modality sentences that are spoken or signed					
	ems needed by peers for a lessor				

NIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON	lternate	CRUZ, EMILY District ID: WS999999 Year: 2025	State ID: 24	9110014
Individual Characteristics Questionnaire (co	ntinued)			
Student's expressive communication	abilities with spo	eech include:	In English	Language other than English
Regularly combines 3 or more words according to gram variety of communicative purposes (e.g., sharing compl questions, giving directions to another person)			\checkmark	
Usually uses 2 words at a time to meet a variety of more (e.g., obtaining things including absent objects, social ex information, directing another person's attention, asking	kpressions beyon	d greetings, sharing		
Usually uses only 1 word at a time to meet a limited numl purposes (e.g., refusing/rejecting things, making choice				~
Student does not use symbolic communication				
Unknown/Not sure				
Student's level of engagement				
Initiates and sustains social interactions			✓	
Responds with social interaction, but does not initiate or	r sustain social inte	eractions		
Alerts to others speaking				✓
Does not alert to others speaking				
Unknown/Not sure				
Student's reading ability		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		
Reads fluently with critical understanding in print (e.g., to emotional responses)	o differentiate fa	ct/opinion, point of view,	~	
Reads fluently with basic (literal) understanding from pa informational text	iragraphs/short p	assages with narrative/		
Reads basic sight words, simple sentences, directions, b	ullets, and/or lists	sinprint		✓
Aware of text, follows directionality, makes letter distinc is not linked to the text	tions, or tells a st	ory from the pictures that		
No observable awareness of print				
Unknown/Not sure				
Student's mathematic ability				
Applies computational procedures to solve real-life or re contexts	outine word probl	lems from a variety of		
Does computational procedures with or without a calcul	ator		✓	
Counts 1:1 correspondence to at least 10, and/or makes		fitems		
Counts by rote to five				✓
No observable awareness of use of numbers				
Unknown/Not sure				
Student's writing ability				•
Writes full sentences				
Writes phrases				✓
Writes words				
Writes letters			✓	
Does not write				
Unknown/Not sure				
Unknown/Not sure				

Appendix C: Individual Characteristics Questionnaire 2024-25

0 0 0 0
0 0 0
0
0
0
0
y Disability if applicable)
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0
0

1

Ο

 \bigcirc

Ο

 \bigcirc

Traumatic Brain Injury (TBI)

Visual Impairment, including Blindness (VI)

Is there an English language acquir (e.g., ESL teacher, ESL coordinato		ecialist o	n the IEP te	am?		Yes	0	No
Does the student take the alternate math, and/or science based on alte (AAAS)?						Yes	0	No (
				Perfo	rmanc	e Lev	vel	
What is the student's most recer on the state's annual assessmen		mance	Emerging	Nearin Targe		At o Excee Targ	ds	N/A
English Language Arts			0	0		0		0
Mathematics			0	0		0		0
Science			0	0		0		0
How many hours per week does the student spend	0	Less than 1	1–2	3–4	Mor than	-	All	No Sui
In classrooms where instruction is in English?	0	0	0	0	0		0	С
In classrooms where instruction is in a language other than English?	0	0	0	0	0		0	С
In English Language Development instruction?	0	0	0	0	0		0	С
If the student receives EL service description.	es, what	kind of	service mo	odel is u	sed?	Choo	se th	e best
Bilingual/dual immersion								0
Consultative services								0
Co-teaching								0
ESL class period								0
Pull-out services							0	
Push-in services							0	
Sheltered or content-based instruction								0
Sheltered or content-based instruct	Structured immersion						0	
								0

In what ways does the student communicate? (Select all that app	ly)			
Augmentative and alternate communication (AAC) device		0		
Braille		0		
Communication board		0		
Eye gaze		0		
Nonverbal communication (e.g., body language, pointing, head nods)		0		
Picture cards		0		
Sign		0		
Speech or speaking		0		
Other. Please specify:				
What are the student's receptive communication abilities? You may choose more than one description that best represents the student for each column.	In English	In Language Other than English		
student for each column. Can point to, look at, or touch things in the immediate vicinity when		English		
asked (e.g., pictures, objects, body parts)				
Can perform simple actions, movements, or activities when asked (e.g., comes to the teacher's location, gives an object to the teacher or peer, locates or retrieves an object)	0	0		
Responds appropriately in any modality (speech, sign, gestures, facial expressions) when offered a favored item that is not present or visible (e.g., "Do you want some ice cream?")	0	0		
Responds appropriately in any modality (speech, sign, gestures,	0	0		
facial expressions) to single words that are spoken or signed	0	0		
facial expressions) to single words that are spoken or signed Responds appropriately in any modality (speech, sign, gestures, facial	0	0		

4



UNIVERSITY OF WISCONSIN-MADISON

What are the student's expressive communication abilities with speech? The student can use AAC devices. You may choose more than one description that best represents the student for each column.	In English	In Language Other than English
Regularly combines 3 or more words according to grammatical rules to accomplish a variety of communicative purposes (e.g., sharing complex information, asking/answering longer questions, giving directions to another person)	0	0
Usually uses 2 words at a time to meet a variety of more complex communicative purposes (e.g., obtaining things including absent objects, social expressions beyond greetings, sharing information, directing another person's attention, asking/answering questions, and commenting)	0	0
Usually uses only 1 word at a time to meet a limited number of simple communicative purposes (e.g., refusing/rejecting things, making choices, requesting attention, greeting, and labeling)	0	0
Student does not use symbolic communication.	0	0
Unknown/Not sure	0	0

What is the student's level of engagement? Choose one description that best describes your student for each column.	In English	In Language Other than English
Initiates and sustains social interactions	0	0
Responds with social interaction, but does not initiate or sustain social interactions	0	0
Alerts to others speaking	0	0
Does not alert to others speaking	0	0
Unknown/Not sure	0	0



What is the student's reading ability? Choose one description that best describes your student for each column.	In English	In Language Other than English	
Reads fluently with critical understanding in print (e.g., to differentiate fact/opinion, point of view, emotional responses)	0	0	
Reads fluently with basic (literal) understanding from paragraphs/ short passages with narrative/ informational texts	0	0	
Reads basic sight words, simple sentences, directions, bullets, and/ or lists in print	0	0	
Aware of text, follows directionality, makes letter distinctions, or tells a story from the pictures that is not linked to the text	0	0	
No observable awareness of print	0	0	
Unknown/Not sure	0	0	

What is the student's mathematic ability? Choose one description that best describes your student for each column.	In English	In Language Other than English
Applies computational procedures to solve real-life or routine word problems from a variety of contexts	0	0
Does computational procedures with or without a calculator	0	0
Counts 1:1 correspondence to at least 10, and/or makes numbered sets of items	0	0
Counts by rote to five	0	0
No observable awareness of use of numbers	0	0
Unknown/Not sure	0	0

What is the student's writing ability? The student can use AAC devices. Choose one description that best describes your student for each column.	In English	In Language Other than English
Writes full sentences	0	0
Writes phrases	0	0
Writes words	0	0
Writes letters	0	0
Does not write	0	0
Unknown/Not sure	0	0

Appendix D: WIDA Alternate Proficiency Level Descriptors

Listening

At each grade, toward the end of a given level of English language proficiency, and with individualized instruction, appropriate supports, accommodations, and communication tools, English language learners with the most significant cognitive disabilities will use English to interpret and respond to the Discourse and Word/Phrase Dimensions for each of the proficiency levels described below.

Proficiency	Discourse Dimension	Word/Phrase Dimension
Level	Linguistic Complexity	Vocabulary Usage
5 Bridging	A variety of connected and complex statements or questions across academic topics. Organized, related ideas across academic content areas.	Specific content area language. A variety of academic contexts (e.g., across content areas).
4 Expanding	Compound connected conveyed expression(s) through one or more representations of language. Related, familiar ideas characteristic of academic content areas.	General and increasingly specific content area language. Increasingly expanding familiar and novel contexts.
3	Simple connected statements or questions.	General content words and expressions.
Developing	A familiar idea with an example.	Expanding familiar contexts.
2	Simple conveyed expression(s).	General high-frequency and content-related words.
Emerging	A single idea in expanding familiar contexts.	Routine, shared contexts.
1	Routine and familiar conveyed expressions.	Single representations of a conveyed expression.
Entering	An idea conveyed within familiar contexts.	Intentional communication in concrete, immediate contexts.



Reading

At each grade, toward the end of a given level of English language proficiency, and with individualized instruction, appropriate supports, accommodations, and communication tools, English language learners with the most significant cognitive disabilities will use English to interpret and respond to the Discourse and Word/Phrase Dimensions for each of the proficiency levels described below.

Proficiency	Discourse Dimension	Word/Phrase Dimension
Level	Linguistic Complexity	Vocabulary Usage
5 Bridging	Connected text with a variety of sentences (e.g., simple, compound, and complex sentences). Organized, related ideas across academic content areas.	Specific content area language. A variety of academic contexts (e.g., across content areas).
4	Simple connected text.	General and specific content area language.
Expanding	Related, familiar ideas across content areas.	Increasingly expanding familiar and novel contexts.
3	Simple sentence or sentences.	General content words and expressions.
Developing	A familiar idea with an example.	Expanding familiar contexts.
2	Multiple representations or short phrases.	General high-frequency and content-related words.
Emerging	A single idea in expanding familiar contexts.	Routine, shared contexts.
1 Entering	A single representation. An idea within words, phrases, or chunks of language in familiar contexts or in environmental print.	Single representations of letters or words. Familiar contexts or in environmental print.



Speaking

At each grade, toward the end of a given level of English language proficiency, and with individualized instruction, appropriate supports, accommodations, and communication tools, English language learners with the most significant cognitive disabilities will use English to produce the Discourse and Word/Phrase Dimensions for each of the proficiency levels described below.

Proficiency	Discourse Dimension	Word/Phrase Dimension
Level	Linguistic Complexity	Vocabulary Usage
5 Bridging	A combination of short and expanded statements (at least two) across social and academic contexts with emerging complexity. New ideas in the context of familiar and novel content.	General and specific content area language. Increasingly expanding familiar and novel contexts.
4 Expanding	Two or more simple connected statements or questions. Expanded expression of one idea or emerging expression of multiple related ideas in particular content areas.	General content words and expressions. Expanding familiar contexts.
3	One or more simple statements or questions.	General content-related words.
Developing	Expression of one idea in a particular content area.	Routine, shared contexts.
2	Chunks of language or phrases (at least two).	General high-frequency and content-related words.
Emerging	Emerging expression of a familiar idea.	Concrete, immediate contexts.
1	At least one intentional sound or word.	Single representations of a spoken expression.
Entering	Intentional communication of an idea.	Intentional communication in immediate contexts.



Writing

At each grade, toward the end of a given level of English language proficiency, and with individualized instruction, appropriate supports, accommodations, and communication tools, English language learners with the most significant cognitive disabilities will use English to produce the Discourse and Word/Phrase Dimensions for each of the proficiency levels described below.

Proficiency	Discourse Dimension	Word/Phrase Dimension
Level	Linguistic Complexity	Vocabulary Usage
5 Bridging	Student writes at least two simple sentences or at least one compound sentence related to one or more ideas that reflect a coherent idea, concept, or experience. New ideas in the context of familiar and novel content.	General and specific content area language. Increasingly expanding familiar and novel contexts.
4	The student writes one simple sentence related to an increasingly complex idea.	General content words and expressions.
Expanding	Expanded expression of an idea in a particular content area.	Expanding familiar contexts.
3 Developing	The student writes one or more chunks of language, phrases, or clauses about an idea. Emerging expression of an idea in a particular content area.	General content-related words. Routine, shared contexts.
2	The student writes a single word.	General high-frequency words.
Emerging	Emerging expression of a familiar idea.	Concrete, immediate contexts.
1 Entering	The student writes one single representation of something (e.g., number, letter, symbol). Intentional written expression to convey meaning.	Single representations of words. Intentional communication in immediate contexts.



Alternate Proficiency Level Descriptors Glossary and Text Examples

Chunks: Groups of words that are used regularly together in the same (or nearly the same) order. Chunks include lexical phrases, set phrases, and fixed phrases.

Examples: "by the way"; "sounds exciting"; "paper and garbage; throw away"; "cars racing"; "play cars"; "big tree"; "no rain"; "in box" or "in the box"

Clause: A group of words that contains both a subject and a verb.

Examples: "Mina smiled"; "He wants to be a doctor"

Complex sentence: A sentence that contains one independent and at least one dependent clause.

Examples: "I like to ride my bike to school." "When it rains, I wear my boots."

Compound sentence: A sentence that has at least two independent clauses that have related ideas and can be joined by a coordinating conjunction (for, and, nor, but, or, yet, so) or by a semicolon.

Examples: "Ula likes juice, and Diego likes milk." "Milo was tired, so he took a nap."

Connected statement: A sentence that connects various concepts (or ideas) and parts of speech and shows the relationship between them through the use of conjunctions (e.g., as, and, but, if, or, etc.), prepositions (e.g., at, by, to, etc.), and adverbs (e.g., then, next, soon, later, etc.).

Examples: "The grasshopper eats grass, and the bird eats the grasshopper."; "I ride to school and then play with my friends."

Expression: A thought, feeling, or idea that is conveyed through speech, gesture, or symbol system and understood by others.

Examples: "I like gym class."; "Tamales are good."



Emerging expression: One or more words used together to express a thought, feeling, or idea that is conveyed through speech, gesture, or symbol system and understood by others.

Examples: "red bird"; "math fun"; "sing loud"

Expanded expression: A series of two or more connected thoughts, feelings, or ideas that are conveyed through speech, gesture, or symbol system and understood by others.

Examples: "I take a computer class. I can learn about video games."; "I want to go to Vietnam. My mom went there."

Phrase: A group of two or more words within a clause or sentence. A phrase may act as a noun, verb, adjective, adverb, or preposition within a clause or sentence. A phrase never contains both a subject and a verb.

Examples: "to the store"; "needing help"; "best friend"

Simple sentence: As sentence that contains, at minimum, a subject and verb; also may include a direct or indirect object.

Examples: "I learn math."; "Rain falls."; "Sun is warm."; Rabbit is in grass."; "Window is square."; "She uses soap."

Single representation: A letter, number, sound or sign.

Examples: "b"; "4"; "/k/"

Support Strategies

Shared Reading: A process in which the student joins in or shares the reading of text while guided and supported by the teacher. In an assessment setting, this may include modeling by the test administrator and then prompting the student to complete the task.

Shared Writing: A process in which the student collaborates with the teacher to jointly construct a written text. In an assessment setting, this may include modeling by the test administrator and then prompting the student to complete the task.

