

Newport Race to the Top Implementation Update: School Year 2012-2013 (Year 3)

The Annual Stocktaking provides both the state and the Local Education Agency (LEA) with an opportunity to review LEA accomplishments and challenges over the course of the Race to the Top (RTT) grant implementation period (i.e., from September 2010 to date). In this summary, RIDE has included relevant LEA-reported data as well as other evidence sources (e.g., training participation) for the purposes of reviewing programmatic successes. The contents of this report were developed under a Race to the Top grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

This report also includes areas that LEAs self-identified as challenges in their ability to meet Race to the Top commitments. Additionally, Appendix A provides a summary of LEA progress against the student achievement targets set at the start of the grant.

LEA Self-Assessment of Year Three Performance

The table below shows Newport self-reported status against the Year 3 Race to the Top projects. During Year 3, Newport has fully participated in the following projects: Model Curriculum and Intensive Curriculum Alignment, Data Use professional development, Educator Evaluation, and New Teacher Induction.

In addition, Newport has begun planning for the implementation of the remaining Year 4 projects, as well as deeper engagement in the Year 3 projects.

		URRI					MENT		A		ERIM	TS		STRU(ANA(SYS				D.	АТА	USE F	D		EDU EVAL	CATO				CTIO	
Q	(1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	C	(1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4

ON-TRACK	The majority of tasks from the prior quarter are complete, and tasks from the current quarter are on-track to be completed.
DELAYED	Some tasks from the prior quarter are complete, but others have yet to be completed, and/or the district may have difficulty completing
	the current tasks in the anticipated time frame.
OFF TRACK	The majority of tasks anticipated to date have not been completed and/or the LEA is having significant challenges with some tasks.
NOT APPLICABLE	The district elected not to participate in this project during the 2012-2013 school year.

Performance Management Participation

As you know, participation in the Collaborative Learning for Outcomes (CLO) process and the submission of the corresponding quarterly progress report is our method for monitoring LEA progress against implementing RTT. More importantly, though, we believe that quality implementation of RTT is best supported through peer-to-peer sharing and that the CLO meetings provide LEAs with an opportunity to gain insights on how to address specific challenges of capacity and practice.

During the 2012-2013 year, Newport met the bar for participation in all four quarterly CLO meetings. All quarterly progress reports were submitted on time, and Newport sent consistent participants to the quarterly meetings, representing appropriate levels of LEA leadership.

In the upcoming year, we are looking forward to continuing our meaningful conversations around the implementation accomplishments and challenges faced by each LEA, as well as discussing how LEAs are building the necessary capacity to sustain this work beyond Race to the Top. With that in mind, we would encourage you to continue sharing the tools and strategies you are using in your district and have made suggestions in this report regarding areas that we would appreciate hearing about in greater detail from you and other LEA leaders.

As we near the end of the grant, we hope that Newport continues to participate at this exemplary level. We are looking forward to rich and meaningful conversation around implementation accomplishments and challenges, as well as the changes that LEAs will sustain beyond the grant period. With that in mind, we encourage you to be an active voice in shaping the focus of these meetings during Year 4.

System of Support 1: Standards and Curriculum

Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by Newport, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district as 'on track (green),' 'delayed (yellow),' 'off track/at risk (red)', or 'not applicable (grey) on each of the Year 3 System of Support 1 scope of work tasks for Race to the Top. This assessment is reflected in the table below. Please note that further description of these statuses can be found on page one of this report.

RIDE would like to commend Newport on its efforts to expand and deepen educator and administrator knowledge of the Common Core State Standards (CCSS). In its progress reports, Newport noted that educators participated in several different professional development opportunities. The Dana Center, in partnership with RIDE, presented grade-level and secondary teachers from the math curriculum cohort with training on developing instructional guides and lesson planning to the new curriculum.

Newport has made significant progress against implementing a guaranteed and viable curriculum aligned to the new Common Core State Standards. During the 2012-2013 school year, Newport began working with the Dana Center, RIDE, and their curriculum writing partners - Portsmouth, Barrington, Tiverton and Bristol Warren - to develop K-12 scope and sequence for math curriculum aligned to the common core. Additionally, the district piloted two CCSS-aligned reading series. The reading teachers participating in the pilot presented their selections to the district curriculum steering committee and, eventually, the district superintendent who selected one series for implementation in fall 2013.

We commend Newport on their work in this area, and are happy to hear that Newport has found access to expertise in other district's valuable. We look forward to hearing about additional opportunities that Newport has created for further collaboration within their district and across their neighboring districts.

Intensive Curriculum Alignment and Model Curriculum Development	Year 3:SY12-13					
intensive curriculum Alignment and Model curriculum Development	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4		
Develop and communicate a multi-year Transition Plan for the Common Core State Standards implementation, including clear expectations for school level transition benchmarks and a plan for developing a curriculum aligned to the CCSS in grades K-12	х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed		
Identify opportunities for educators to work collaboratively to deepen understanding of CCSS (e.g. Common Planning Time, grade level team, department meetings, faculty meetings)	х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed		
Create implementation plan, including the identification of aligned resources, to support roll out of new curricula	Х	Modify as needed	Modify as needed	Modify as needed		
Develop curriculum aligned to the Common Core State Standards, including participation in Dana Center curriculum writing and leadership sessions (if applicable)	х	Х	Х	х		

^{*}Please note: the 'x' in the above table represents the anticipated completion timeline set by RIDE, not when the district completed the task. Additionally, for further clarification on the criteria used to select each status, consult the description on page one of this report.

System of Support 2: Instructional Improvement Systems

During the 2012-2013 school year, Newport chose to implement two of the four Race to the Top projects in System of Support 2 (Formative Assessment professional development, and Data Use professional development). Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by Newport, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work

Newport configured the Instructional Management System (IMS) in preparation for educator access to the formative assessment professional development modules during the 2012-2013 school year. Newport implemented the Formative Assessment online professional development modules in their high school. Although the original implementation plan called for all schools to participate during this past school year, technical challenges and competing priorities caused the middle school and elementary school to shift this work to the 2013-2014 school year.

Newport chose not to implement the Interim Assessments during the 2012-2013 school year. In their quarterly progress update report, the district noted that they will explore use of the test construction tool in the 2013-2014 school year, but will continue to use the NWEA as their benchmarking assessment in the meantime.

During the 2012-2013 school year, the middle and high schools from Newport participated in the Data Use professional development series. In the QPU reports, the district expressed that the teams found this training helpful, and that the high school in particular began incorporating the protocols into their pre-existing data gathering process. RIDE is pleased to note that the experience has been positive, and we hope that the elementary school participants next year are able to see similar value from their participation.

In the upcoming CLO sessions, we look forward to hearing about the Newport's plans for implementing new initiatives, or deepening engagement in initiatives implemented during the 2012-2013 school year. Additionally, looking ahead towards the PARCC assessment, we hope to hear more from districts about how these initiatives are supporting their transition to the common core.

Instructional Management System (IMS)	Year 3:SY12-13				
inisti uctional Management System (IMS)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
Designate an LEA data steward to support decision making around data collections and systems implementation and to provide input and feedback on data initiatives through designated representatives	As needed	As needed	As needed	As needed	
Maintain data quality standards of local student information systems and upload local assessment data and program information as required by RIDE in a timely manner	х	х	х	х	
Following RIDE training, LEA Administrative Users and LEA Trainers configure the IMS for educator use and to provide end users with access and training needed to utilize the IMS for daily activities	Х	х	х	×	
Deepen the understanding and use of the IMS among all educators	Х	х	Х	Х	

Formative Assessment Professional Development Modules (accessed via the Instructional Management System)	Year 3:SY12-13			
Formative Assessment Professional Development Modules (accessed via the Instructional Management System)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Identify facilitators who will support the implementation of formative assessment practices in daily instruction				SY13-14
Coordinate participation of educators in training modules and communities of practice		SY12-13	SY12-13	SY13-14

Interim Assessments (accessed via the Instructional Management System)	Q1 Q2 melines for X test-building tool Fixed Form Builder	Year 3:SY12-13					
interim Assessments (accessed via the instructional Management System)	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4			
Develop protocols or expectations regarding the use of interim assessment to inform instruction including timelines for administration and process for scoring and reporting results	х						
Send LEA-determined facilitators to RIDE provided training on both the Fixed-Form assessment tool and the test-building tool	Fixed Form						
Train of educators in the LEA on the administration and use of interim assessments utilizing RIDE-trained facilitators	Х	Х	Х	Х			
Administration of Interim Assessments in selected grades and content area(s)							

y and provide RIDE with the leadership team members from each school who will participate in Year 2 training cohorts, as able		Year 3:S	Y12-13		
Data ose Professional Development	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4	
In coordination with RIDE, select 'Data Use' training dates for each cohort of schools, as applicable				Year 2	
Identify and provide RIDE with the leadership team members from each school who will participate in Year 2 training cohorts, as applicable				Year 2	
Following 'Data Use' professional development, identify district and school practices to sustain and deepen data use and collaboration	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1	Year 1	

^{*} Please note that, for this project, 'year 1' refers to cohort 1 taking place during the 2012-2013 school year, and 'Year 2' refers to cohort 2 taking place during the 2013-2014 school year.

System of Support 3: Educator Effectiveness

During the 2012-2013 school year, Newport fully implemented all components of System of Support 3 - the Rhode Island model for teacher and building administrator evaluations; and final effectiveness ratings for all teachers and building administrators have been submitted to RIDE using the Educator Performance and Support System (EPSS). Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by Newport, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for Educator Evaluation.

At the start of the 2012-2013 school year, Newport developed a schedule with due dates of different data components, as well as documents to be maintained in the personnel evaluation files. This helped the district ensure that they were on-track to provide all educators with required model components. To support educator understanding of the process, principals met with teachers to look at their student data and to develop appropriate student learning objectives (SLOs).

Newport also utilized their RIDE-trained Intermediary Service Provider (ISP) to provide staff with professional development around SLOs and observations. The ISP attended grade-level and common planning time meetings and also met with individual teachers in order to address questions and concerns about SLOs. In their quarterly progress update report, the district also noted that their ISP reviewed grade-level appropriate training FFTES observation videos and walked teachers through the observation process, as well as what the evaluator was observing in the featured classrooms. RIDE is pleased to note that all evaluators received training on the EPSS system, and that some evaluators have started using the system to streamline the evaluation process.

During the 2012-2013 school year, Newport evaluators participated in all training activities, including the FFTPS observation calibration training modules. Looking ahead, Newport has partially registered and/or attended evaluation trainings for summer 2013. RIDE would like to remind the district of their responsibility to ensure that all personnel responsible for evaluating both teachers and building administrators participate in applicable training activities.

As we enter into the final year of the Race to the Top grant, RIDE encourages Newport to continue to engage their CLO peers in thinking about continuous support for evaluation implementation, as well as how evaluation data is being used to identify professional development needs.

Educator Evaluation		Year 3	:SY12-13	
Educator Evaluation	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
Participate in educator evaluation model design, development and refinement feedback opportunities	Х	Х	Х	Х
ldentify District Evaluation Committee members, responsible for monitoring the implementation of the system and providing recommendations to LEA leadership teams				х
Identify individuals who will serve as primary and, if applicable, secondary/complementary evaluators				Х
Send all required evaluators to RIDE-provided evaluator training on model; Send evaluators and system administrators to training on the Educator Performance Support System (EPSS) data system			Mid-year half-day training	Mid-year half-day training
Examine LEA Policies and Contracts for Challenges; where applicable, consider memorandums of understanding or contract renewal language which will support district implementation of evaluations	x	х	х	х
Create a plan for the appropriate use of funds to support implementation of educator evaluation system				Х
Complete required components of RI Model for educator and building administrator evaluations	SLOs and Goals	Midyear Conference	Midyear Conference	EOY Report & Summative rating
Submit evaluation data and documentation (e.g. component and summative level ratings, verified rosters); provide other requested information to support RIDE research and system improvement	х	х	х	х
Use Evaluation Data to identify individual and school/district-wide professional development needs and act on those needs			Х	Х

System of Support 4: Human Capital Development

During the 2012-2013 school year, Newport participated fully in the Beginning Teacher Induction program. Based on the quarterly progress reports submitted by Newport, as well as participation in additional applicable activities through the end of the 2012-2013 school year, we have assessed the district on each of the Year 3 scope of work tasks for Beginning Teacher Induction. Additionally, Newport has continued their utilization of SchoolSpring for recruitment of staff on an as needed basis.

During the 2012-2013 school year, all first year teachers were supported through the RIDE induction program. In their quarterly progress update report, Newport indicated that the feedback from their principals is that the program has been very beneficial to beginning teachers. As a result, Newport chose to utilize their Race to the Top funds to support a second year of induction services for those teachers who were supported during the 2011-2012 school year. Additionally, Newport reported that they started conversations with Salve Regina University about an induction pilot between higher education and the district as a means for sustaining this work following the conclusion of Race to the Top.

In the upcoming CLO sessions, RIDE looks forward to engaging in a deeper conversation around continuing to provide data-driven induction support to beginning teachers. We also hope to hear more from Newport and other LEAs about the revisions that they have made to their hiring policies, timelines, and processes in order to support broader human capital initiatives including recruitment of highly qualified and diverse candidates.

Decimaling Topphor Indication		Year 3:S	Y12-13	
Beginning Teacher Induction	Q1	Q2	Q3	Q4
If applicable, recommend potential Induction Coaches to RIDE				Х
Review and revise hiring policies, timelines and processes in order to support appropriate and timely projections for anticipated hires requiring induction coach services			х	
Provide RIDE with list of beginning teachers who will receive Induction Coach support in a timely manner in order to ensure that all beginning teachers have coaching	х			х
Participate in RIDE-provided information opportunities in order to learn about induction coach program				Х
Provide feedback to RIDE on the development and integration of existing mentorship programs into a sustainable, instructionally-focused state or district-wide Induction Coach model			Х	Х

The contents of this report were developed under a Race to the Top grant from the U.S. Department of Education. However, those contents do not necessarily represent the policy of the U.S. Department of Education, and you should not assume endorsement by the Federal Government.

Appendix A: Overall District Goals and Performance Measures

The table below contains each district's previously established performance measures. These measures provide the state and district with a baseline from which to monitor progress, be held accountable, and recognize success as we advance toward our goals. Please note: The RTT accountability goals set below are separate and performance against those goals does not have an impact on the state-wide accountability system. Additionally, since setting the goals, the US Department of Education has requested that the state revise its goals on college enrollment and college course completion. Therefore, at this time, we have not updated your progress towards these post-secondary goals. Each district has an opportunity to review their current data and revise the goals to better align with the state goals on these measures.

Newport Performance Measures	2009- 2010)10-)11		011- 012)12-)13		013- 014	2014- 2015
	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal	Actual	Goal
Students entering the fourth grade will be proficient in reading on NECAP	53%	-	66%	64%	59%	71%	64%	80%		90%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	17	-	31	15	28	13	50	11		9
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	19	-	16	16	48	14	12	12		10
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	26	-	29	21	40	18	37	16		13
The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	54	-	54	48	54	40	54	32		27
Students entering the fourth grade will be proficient in mathematics on NECAP	52%	-	52%	64%	53%	71%	57%	80%		90%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	17	-	41	14	61	12	52	10		9
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	9	-	33	8	42	7	27	6		5
The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	26	-	32	21	38	18	46	15		13
The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	44	-	44	36	30	30	46	25		22
Students entering the eighth grade will be proficient in reading on NECAP	76%	-	68%	80%	78%	83%	85%	87%		90%
-The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	24	-	29	18	6	16	16	14		12
-The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	41	-	57	34	13	30	16	25		22
-The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	22	-	37	18	12	16	10	14		11
-The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half	59	-	59	50	26	46	30	36		29
Students entering the eighth grade will be proficient in mathematics on NECAP	44%	-	46%	54%	50%	60%	55%	67%		75%
The gap between white and black students will be cut in half	50	-	51	42	17	35	39	30		25
The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half	46	-	47	40	18	32	23	28		23
The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half	37	-	45	31	32	27	30	23		19
The gap between students without IEPs and those with EPs will be cut in half	47	-	47	41	41	36	53	30		24
85% of students who first entered 9th grade 4 years prior will graduate from high school	75%	-	n/a	77%	81%	80%	74%	83%		85%
77% of students who graduate from high school will enroll in an institution of higher education (IHE) within L6 months of receiving a diploma	66%	-	n/a	70%	81%	72%	n/a	74%		77%
70% of high school students who enroll in an institution of higher education within 16 months of graduation will at least one year's worth of credit within two years of enrollment in the IHE	78%	-	n/a	82%	64%	85%	n/a	87%		90%

^{*}n/a indicates that the data is not available, either because the n size is below 20 or because there are no students in that category. Additionally, a hyphen (-) indicates that the LEA did not set a goal for the applicable performance measure.