

Rhode Island Department of Education Office of Student, Community and Academic Supports

School Support System Report and Support Plan

PAUL CUFFEE SCHOOL February 2018



SCHOOL SUPPORT SYSTEM A Collaborative System of Focused Monitoring

<u>Introduction</u>

The purpose of the School Support System (SSS) is to provide a means of accountability for delivery of programs and services for students with exceptionalities. The School Support System model is designed to promote the involvement of the whole school district, general educators as well as special educators and parents. It is designed to learn if the district meets the regulations and what effects programs and services have on student outcomes. Finally, the SSS develops a school support plan for training and technical assistance.

To accomplish this the SSS includes these components:

- <u>The Orientation Meeting</u>: The Rhode Island Department of Education (RIDE) staff meets the Local Education Agency (LEA) to plan the site review and identify issues or initiatives that may influence programs or service delivery.
- <u>Data Analysis Meeting</u>: The RIDE staff meets to review LEA demographic information on selected reports including: the state performance plan, census information, and information collected through record review, staff questionnaires and parent interviews. To ensure that the child is at the center of the study, all analyses begin with the child. Thus, a sample of approximately 30 students with exceptionalities is selected; the records of these students are reviewed; their parents, teachers and related service providers are interviewed, and their classrooms are observed. The result is an in-depth, unified examination of the actual provision of programs and services for students with exceptionalities. The RIDE staff compiles a preliminary summary of their analyses of this data.
- <u>Presentation by the LEA and School Site Review</u>: The on-site review begins with a presentation of programs by teachers and staff. The presentation provides the review team with general and specific information on delivery of programs and services to students. Following this presentation, on-site reviews to all schools are made. The team members interview school administrators and teaching staff. Parents and central office staff are also interviewed. The team gathers sufficient information and works with the LEA personnel to generate a report, covering the following:
 - o The district's compliance with the state and federal regulations, relative to the education of students with exceptionalities.
 - o The quality and effectiveness of programs and services provided by the district.
 - o The need for professional development and technical assistance that will enable the LEA to improve programs and services.
- <u>The Support Plan:</u> The Ride team, LEA central office and building administrators meet to review the data and complete a report of results. The group designs a professional development/technical assistance support plan with timelines for implementation. This plan enables the school and district to correct areas of non-compliance and to strengthen promising programs and correct areas of weakness in order to improve services and programs for all students.
- <u>The SSS Report:</u> The report summarizes the findings from the various data sources. The format of the report uses four divisions: Indicators, Findings, Documentation, and Support Plan. Indicators describe either performance or compliance. Findings can include a variety of some six categories, from School Improvement to Free Appropriate Public Education in the Least Restrictive Environment. The documentation section of the report distinguishes the source of the finding. The support plan reflects the response to the described findings. The support plan describes the corrective action by the district as well as resources and time lines to improve programs and services.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

- 1. Free Appropriate Public Education (FAPE) in the Least Restrictive Environment (LRE)
- 2. Evaluation/Individual Education Program (IEP)
- 3. IDEA Transition

PAUL CUFFEE SCHOOL School Support System Review

Record Review Team Leaders

Team A – Susan Wood, Sandra Cambio Gregoire

The RIDE, Office of Student, Community & Academic Supports School Support System process was facilitated to provide a means of accountability for delivery of programs and services to improve outcomes for students with disabilities. The following pages reflect the findings of that process.

1. FREE APPROPRIATE PUBLIC EDUCATION IN THE LEAST RESTRICTIVE ENVIRONMENT (FAPE/LRE)

Indicator		Findings	Support Plan
Result	1	Least Restrictive Environment Data (State Performance Plan Indicator #5)	
		Based on the FY July 1, 2016 – June 30, 2017 State Performance Plan information on Paul Cuffee Charter School Placement is as follows:	
		The percentage of students educated 80 to 100% of the time in general education settings is 79% (RI District Average is 71.15%)	
		Percentage of students educated for less than 40% of the time in general education settings is 0% (RI District Average is 11.88%)	
		Percentage of students educated in private separate schools, homebound/hospitalized and private residential schools is 0.7% (RI District Average is 5.42%)	
		<u>Documentation</u> : Data Analysis State Performance Plan	
Result	2	Participation and performance of children with IEPs on statewide assessments (State performance Plan Indicator #3):	
		A. The district (disability subgroup that meets the State's minimum "n" size) did meet the state's AYP targets for the disability subgroup.	
		B. Participation rate for children with IEPs 94.12%	
		C. Proficiency rate for children with IEPs against grade level, modified and alternate academic achievements standards 21.88% [Note: State has individual grade and content area targets (53.6%). State target is average target across grades and content areas. District	

		target is average percent of students proficient across content areas (53.6%).] Documentation: Data Analysis; State Performance Plan	
Result	3	Multi-Tiered System of Support (MTSS) Response to Intervention (RTI)/Academics	
		Elementary Level	
		Tier 1 provides core instruction to all students: Fundations, Reading Workshop, Eureka Math, and GEMSnet science. Teachers differentiate within classrooms based on student needs. Students are identified for Tiers 2 & 3 based on reading & math screening assessments (AimswebPlus) administered 3 times a year, Fountas & Pinnell is administered twice a year, and ADDvantage diagnostic math assessment is administered as needed. Literacy RTI team and Math RTI teams meet three times a year for all students identified by screening tools and more frequently as requested by teachers. The teams are composed of principal, literacy and/or math specialist, classroom teacher, and other specialists who service that child. The team reviews and records assessment and classroom data and creates a Personal Literacy Plan or Personal Mathematics Plan for each student which includes current data, goals, interventions and progress monitoring. The plan is reviewed and revised at each RTI cycle, more frequently as needed.	
		Middle Level	
		Tier 1 provides core instruction to all students: Reading and Writing Workshop, school-developed curriculum in math, and GEMSnet/FOSS curriculum in science. Teachers differentiate substantially within classrooms based on student needs.	
		Students are identified for Tiers 2 & 3 based in part on regular reading and math screening assessments (STAR) administered 3 times a year, Students identified as below proficient may take additional diagnostic assessment (for	

example Fountas & Pinnell, QRI-6, Key Math Assessment). Students can also be referred to the RTI team by teachers.

Teams are composed of principal, literacy and/or math specialist, all core class teachers, social worker, and other specialists who service that child. The team reviews and records assessment and classroom data and creates a plan for each student which includes current data, goals, interventions and progress monitoring. The plan is reviewed and revised in a 6-to-8 week cycle.

In addition to classroom supports and accommodations, many students are placed in specific small-group interventions including Math Advantage, Leveled Literacy Intervention, Wilson Reading and Lexia. These classes generally meet four times per week for 60 minutes.

High School Level

Tier 1 supports include core instruction in all areas. Science, English and history curriculum is teacher and department created, and it is aligned to the common core and/or NGSS standards. Evidence-based argumentation is a key instructional strategy that is implemented across content areas and disciplines. The math department uses the College Prep math curriculum for Algebra I, geometry, and Algebra II. All students have access to No Red Ink, an online program that supports both writing and grammar. Also, all students take an enrichment and remediation course called SEAS, which helps to build a variety of academic skills and habits that support learning.

All students are screened using the STAR assessment, which is administered at least three times per year. Furthermore, the school has a school-wide writing exam that happens three times per a year. The data from these assessments is used to determine whether students need additional supports. Other reading assessments, like Reading Inventory can be used to further assess reading abilities. The school also uses coursework grades and other assessments, like the PSAT, as additional data points (when needed).

A group of staff, including department chairs, grade level teachers, administrators, and other support staff will determine if students are in need of additional intervention. The team reviews and records assessment and

	1	T	1 .					1 1
		classroom data an						
		data, goals, interve			ess mo	nitoring.	The plai	n is reviewed
		and revised in a 6-	to-8 wee	ек сусіе.				
		In addition to classroom supports and accommodations, many students are						
		placed in specific small-group interventions						
		piacoa in opocinio c	inan gre	Jup IIItor	VOITGOTTE	•		
		students to students s foundation	ake a co truggling s course e learnin online re	urse that g in math e that use g. Both i eading m	uses th , studer es Khan nterven aterials	ne REAL nts are e n Acader tions tak through	D 180 progenrolled in my with teke on a bloom Learning	a math acher support ended learning
		<u>Documentation</u> . De	ata Anai	y 313, Ola	ic i ciio	minance	i idii	
Result	4	SPP Disproportion		present	ation (S	State Pe	rformand	e Plan
		Indicator #9 and	710)					
				1.5				1
		Disale	2040	LD	0044	2045	2010	4
		Black Students with	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	-
		Students with Disability	19	21	23	22	25	
		Total Students	162	174	168	173	163	1
		District Risk	11.73	12.07	13.69			1
		District Risk Ratio	3.4	3.5	4.2	3.7	4.5	1
			<u> </u>			0.1		
						I		
				S&L				
		Black	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016	
		Students with	2012	2010	2017	2010	2010	
		Disability	N/A	12	12	12	3	
		Total Students	162	174	168	173	163	
		District Risk	N/A	6.90	7.14	6.94	N/A	
		District Risk Ratio	N/A	4.4	4.8	4.4	N/A	

				ADD					
		Black	2012	ADR 2013	2014	2015	2016		
		Students with							
		Disability	38	48	46	44	37		
		Total Students	162	174	168	173	163		
		District Risk	23.46	27.59	27.38	25.43	22.70		
		District Risk Ratio	2.8	3.3	3.4	2.9	2.7		
								1	
				LD	T			1	
		Hispanic	2012	2013	2014	2015	2016		
		Students with Disability	30	44	55	49	51		
		Total Students	406	475	477	485	487		
		District Risk	7.39	9.26	11.53	10.10	10.47		
		District Risk Ratio	2.2	2.7	3.5	2.9	3.1		
		A review of policies	s proced	dures ai	nd practi	ces cond	ducted in	ncluding	
		individual student	•		•			-	
		due to inappropria						op. 000a	
				•					
		Documentation: D	ata Ana	lysis; Sta	ate Perfo	rmance	Plan		
Result	5	Suspension (Stat	e Perfor	mance	Plan Inc	licator #	4a) Sic	nificant	
toouit		discrepancy in the							an
		10 days as compa		•	•			, •	
		greater than 10 da				•			-,
		Schools as no stud							/S.
		State Berfermane	o Dian I	ndiaata)/ bod. /	o) o oign	ificant	
		State Performand discrepancy, by ra				•			10
		of greater than 10		-		-		•	3
		policies, procedure	-		-			, ,	
		discrepancy and d					_		
		development and i			-		_		
		interventions and s	•		-		•		
				-		-			

Т		T
		<u>Documentation</u> : Data Analysis; State Performance Plan
Result 6	6	Multi-tiered System of Support (MTSS)/Social Emotional
		Supports/Social Emotional Resources/Positive Behavioral Supports
		Elementary Level
		Tier 1 social emotional supports are provided to all students: Responsive Classroom (K - 5) and Positive Behavioral Interventions and Supports Primary Prevention including common practices and expectations across K - 5. The social worker and school psychologist supports students in classrooms as well. Paul Cuffee provides coaching and strategies to support staff with classroom management. The school has a number of positive behavior support strategies as well: Bright Spots weekly awards, Head of School's list, attendance award, acknowledgements at Monday Morning Meeting and Town Meetings, pop up parties, and classroom positive supports. Students are identified for Tier 2 & 3 through teacher referral to the SEST (Social Emotional Support Team) or via screening of the school's office referral data (SWIS system). The Social Emotional Support Team is composed of the principal, positive behavior support teaching partner, classroom teacher, social worker, art teacher, and other specialists, with consultation from a BCBA. The SEST meets weekly and more frequently as requested by the teacher. The SEST creates a set of interventions or plan for each student and meets every 6 weeks (or more frequently) to review data and adjust the plan. The team also provides coaching support to staff. For some students in Tier 2, individualized and positively oriented behavior plans and feedback systems are created and supported, and for students in Tier 3 additional crisis response plans are supported. For a few students in Tier 3 a paraprofessional staff is assigned.
		Middle Level
		Tier 1 social-emotional supports are provided to all students: Developmental Designs is used as the schools approach; this includes a daily 20-minute advisory, a weekly 45-minute Wellness class, and a number of relationship/culture building strategies that are incorporated into all classes. The school's philosophy has long been that that S.E.L. should be

embedded throughout the day. All staff is trained in Developmental Designs upon hiring (week-long workshop during the summer). When problem behaviors arise, advisors will first problem-solve with students by using the social-conference planning template. Advisors will often involve parents at this stage.

Students are identified for Tier 2 and 3 through teacher referral to the SEST (Social Emotional Support Team) or via screening of the school's office referral data (SWIS system). The Social Emotional Support Team is composed of the principal, Dean, social worker, teaching partner, core teachers, and at times other specialists. The SEST will provide an Individualized Positively Oriented Behavior Intervention Plan, which includes supports, accommodations, crisis response plans, and parent-involvement plans. Some specific interventions include Check-In/Check-Out, behavior contract/reward systems, mentoring relationships, modified schedules, special seating arrangements, sensory diets, frequent check-ins, planned ignoring, and 1:1 pairing.

High School

At the Upper School, Tier 1 supports are provided to all students. All students are enrolled in an advisory course that supports social emotional learning. The course is based on the Developmental Designs framework. Staff receive Developmental Designs training. Furthermore, the Upper School incorporated positive behavior interventions in a number of ways: providing whole-school acknowledgements at the weekly school meeting, awarding "Turtle Points" when students meet the school's expectations, and acknowledging students for grades, community membership and attendance through the Head of School List, Scholar's List, and regular attendance awards. Furthermore, at the end of each quarter, the school hosts a school-wide awards show.

Paul Cuffee school also has a "Behavior Back-Up" team which includes the Dean of Students, Student Success Manager, social worker and two teaching partners who support staff and students with behavioral expectations.

Students are identified for Tier 2 and 3 through staff referral to the Behavior Back-Up team. The Behavior Back-Up will provide an Individualized

		Positively Oriented Behavior Intervention Plan, which includes supports, accommodations, crisis response plans, and parent-involvement plans. Some specific interventions include behavior contract/reward systems, mentoring relationships, modified schedules, special seating arrangements, sensory diets, frequent check-ins, check-in and check-out and planned ignoring. School Removals/Disciplinary Policies. Throughout the district behavioral expectations along with disciplinary action protocols and policies are comprehensively defined in a student handbook. The School determines appropriateness for suspension from school based on the administrator's belief that a student is a danger to self or others.	
Result	7	Preschool Continuum	
		Paul Cuffee School does not have a preschool program so early childhood indicators are not applicable.	
		<u>Documentation</u> : Data Analysis; State Performance Plan	
Result	8	Program Continuum Elementary Level	
		There are 364 students at the elementary level and 52 have IEPs. The special education program continuum is as follows:	
		Lower school has an inclusion continuum. Curriculum is differentiated based on students' needs. In addition, Paul Cuffee has one student on the alternate assessment and one student on an out of district placement.	
		Special education services are provided by three professional teaching staff through a combination of in-class support and pull-out services (for example, Wilson reading).	
		Each kindergarten and first grade class of 20 students are supported by a full-time general education teacher and paraprofessional. Each grade 2-5	

		classroom of 20 students are supported by a full-time general education	
		teacher, and one paraprofessional supports each grade level.	
		Five students are supported by paraprofessional staff- two are in a 1:1	
		capacity and 3 are provided significant access to paraprofessional support.	
		A full-time social worker supports the Lower School, and a full-time psychologist supports the three school campuses.	
		A full-time speech and language pathologist, part-time occupational	
		therapist, and part-time physical therapist support students across the Lower School.	
		Decumentation, Data Analysis, Internious, Observation	
		<u>Documentation</u> : Data Analysis; Interviews; Observation	
Result	9	Program Continuum Middle Level	
Result	9	Program Continuum Middle Level	
		There are 189 students attending the Middle School, 38 are students with	
		IEPs. The special education program continuum is as follows:	
		At the middle school, a full-inclusion model is used. There is one special	
		educator associated with each grade level (three grades, three teachers).	
		Students are grouped heterogeneously with the general population.	
		Students have three core classes (science, math, humanities) with the	
		general education teacher and receive in-class support determined by their	
		IEP goals and required class support hours. Students are given work	
		modified at their appropriate level driven by the common core state	
		standards and class content. Students receive additional skill support	
		throughout the day but not interfering with their regular classes (advisory,	
		lunch, recess, after school). The school has an intervention block in which all students (including special-education students) are grouped according to	
		specific need. For example, some students receive Wilson Reading and	
		some receive a math skills block while others might receive a science or art	
		enrichment class. Paul Cuffee also finds time within the class to add in re-	
		teaching and pre-teaching opportunities, using small groups. Additional	
		resources are given to students as deemed appropriate by the teacher and	
		case manager. There are no students at the middle school that were in	
		alternate assessment or self-contained settings.	
	1]	

		A full-time social worker supports the Middle School, and a full-time psychologist supports the three school campuses. A part-time speech and language pathologist and part-time occupational therapist support students across the Middle School. Documentation: Data Analysis; Interviews; Observations	
Result	10	Program Continuum High School Level At Paul Cuffee Upper School there are approximately 257 and 54 have IEPs. The program continuum is as follows: At the upper school a full-inclusion model is used. Differentiated curriculum is used based on students' needs. Special education services are provided by three professional teaching staff through a combination of in-class support and pull-out services. One special educator supports grade 9, one special educator supports English in grade 10-12, and one educator supports math in grade 10-12. In grades 9, the special educator supports in all core classes (English, algebra, biology, and World History I). In grades 10-12, all students with an IEP have a special educator who supports in math and/or English, depending on the child's needs and what is outlined in their IEP. Students are given work modified at their appropriate level driven by the common core state standards and class content. Students receive additional skill support throughout the day but not interfering with their regular classes (lunch, after school). The school has an intervention block in which all students receive a variety of supports. Special education students may be pulled for extra support if their IEP requires it. The school finds time within the class to add in re-teaching and pre-teaching opportunities, using small groups. Additional resources are given to students as deemed appropriate by the teacher and case manager and organizers to help them feel successful. Paul Cuffee does not have any students in a self-contained classroom.	

		Each core class and elective classroom consists of 12-26 students are supported by a full-time general education teacher. One paraprofessional	
		supports each grade level.	
		The Upper School does not have any students who require 1:1 support from a paraprofessional.	
		A full-time social worker supports the Upper School, and a full-time psychologist supports the three school campuses.	
		A part-time speech and language pathologist and part-time occupational therapist support students across the Upper School.	
		<u>Documentation</u> : Data Analysis; Interviews; Observation	
Result	11	Adaptive Physical Education (APE)	
Nesdit		Paul Cuffee Charter School does not currently serve anyone with adaptive physical education students.	
		<u>Documentation</u> : Data Analysis; Interviews; Observation	
Result	12	Extended School Year (ESY): 28 students total participated in ESY 2017	
		Lower school had 14 students who participated in ESY during the summer of 2017. The ESY program is for students as identified in IEPs. The ESY program addresses reading, writing and math regression and recoupment. Related services are provided for speech/language, occupational therapy, and physical therapy. The program runs 4 mornings per week, Monday through Thursday, for 4 weeks in July.	
		Middle school had 12 students participate by qualify through collection of data related to their IEP goals and showing they either have regressed in past years during the summer they have lack of sufficient progress on goals in current year. The programs runs 3 mornings per week, Tuesday, Wednesday, and Thursday, for 4 weeks in July. Students work on remedial	

		math, reading and writing in coordination with their IEP goals. Related services are provided per IEP. Upper school students had 2 students participate by qualify through collection of data related to their IEP goals and showing they either have regressed in past years during the summer they have lack of sufficient progress on goals in current year. The programs runs 3 mornings per week, Tuesday, Wednesday, Thursday, for 4 weeks in July. Students work on remedial math, reading and writing in coordination with their IEP goals. Related services are provided per IEP. Documentation: Data Analysis; Interviews	
Result	13	Local Special Education Advisory Committee (LAC) There is a chair and co-chair of the Paul Cuffee School LAC and meetings are held in accordance with Rhode Island regulatory requirements. There are 4 meetings are held per school year with various topics: 10/19/17 Sharing Stories/ Q & A (Parents led discussions) 1/11/18 Financial Planning:Trusts & Wills (RI D.D. Council) 4/26/18 College Planning Panel (RI Regional Transition Coordinators) 5/10/18 Social/Emotional Impact on Learning (School Psychologist presentation) Documentation: Data Analysis; Interviews; Observation	
Result	14	School Efforts to Partner with Parents (State Performance Plan Indicator #8) The public school district's rate of parent participation in the annual Special Education Statewide Parent Survey (2016-2017) was 9.5% (11/116) parents whose children have IEPs.	

		Of parents with a child receiving special education services who participated in the last survey, the percent that reported that their school's efforts to involve parents as a means of improving services and results for children with disabilities are at or above the state standard is 92%. Documentation: Data Analysis; State Performance Plan	
Result	16	Drop Out / Graduation Rate (State Performance Plan Indicator #1 and #2) The Paul Cuffee Charter Public Schools graduation rate is 87.7% for all students and 85.7% for students with disabilities. These rates are higher than the State average rates of 85.3% for all students and 63.5% for students with disabilities. The Paul Cuffee Charter Public Schools dropout rate is 9.2% for all students and 7.1% for students with disabilities. These rates are lower than the State average rates of 8.3% for all students and 17.8% for students with disabilities. Documentation: Data Analysis; State Performance Plan	

2. EVALUATION / INDIVIDUAL EDUCATION PROGRAM (IEP)

Indicator		Findings	Support Plan
Result	1	Records of approximately four students were reviewed prior to the on-site review by the	Assurances will be provided to the Rhode Island
		team leaders. Students' records were very accessible. The record review process identified by following:	Department of Education, Office of Student, Community and Academic Supports, that
		dentined by following.	compliance issues are addressed and rectified.
		- Prior Written notice was not seen in the files	This Support Plan is applicable for all compliance
		- Educational Observations were missing from some reevaluations	findings in this section.
		(DI Day Jaffa va O Lagar D E al affa va El'all'III. Data valantia da la l'illa d'a al	Timeline, Immediately and engains
		(RI Regulations Subpart D Evaluations, Eligibility Determinations, Individualized Education Programs and Educational Placements)	Timeline: Immediately and ongoing
		Ladeation i rogiams and Ladeational riacements)	Progress Check: September 2018
		<u>Documentation</u> : Data Analysis; Interviews; Observation	FOLLOW LIP FINDINGS.
			FOLLOW-UP FINDINGS:
		•	Issues resolved and verified.

Result	2	Child Outreach	
		As a charter school child outreach is not applicable.	
		<u>Documentation</u> : State Performance Plan; Data Interviews	
Result	3	Child Find (State Performance Plan Indicator #11)	
		Paul Cuffee Charter School Public Schools for the 2016-2017 year was at 100% compliance for meeting evaluation timelines for initial referrals. As of 2/5/18, the Paul Cuffee Charter Schools was thus far at 100% compliance for meeting evaluation timelines for initial referrals for the 2017-2018 school year.	
		<u>Documentation</u> : State Performance Plan Data	
Result	4	Student Accommodations and Modifications	
		Throughout the district special educators completed an "IEP At A Glance" attaching the IEP supplementary aids and services accommodations page, which they review with general education teachers. That is then sent to the general education teachers. Special education teachers and general education teachers collaborate to determine appropriate testing accommodations. Special educators also meet with general education teachers on a regular basis to review accommodations and modifications and to co-plan for students.	
		<u>Documentation</u> : Data Analysis; Interviews; Document Reviews	
Result	5	Specific Learning Disabilities Determination	
		The Evaluation Team (ET) reviews referrals, which may come from individual teachers, parents, or MTSS; and, the ET reviews current students in special education who are up for re-evaluation. The ET collects performance data, classroom observation reports, parent reports, and formal assessments to determine whether evaluations are required. Once evaluations are complete, the team reviews the evaluations and determines if there is evidence of a learning disability. If it is determined that the student is eligible based on specific learning disability, the ET completes the learning disabilities determination document.	
		<u>Documentation</u> : Interviews; Record Review	

Result	6	Due Process Information (State Performance Plan Indicators	
		Over the past three years 0% has no (zero)_complaints, mediations or hearings	
		<u>Documentation</u> : Data Analysis, RIDE, due Process Database	

3. IDEA TRANSITION

Indicator		Findings	Support Plan
Result	1	Part C to Part B Transition (Indicator #12) Paul Cuffee does not have preschool aged students so this indicator is not applicable.	
Result	2	Documentation: Data Analysis; Interviews; State Performance Plan IDEA Transition Planning at the Middle Level	
rvesuit	2	Middle School special educators and general educators use a number of tools for transition planning, including interest inventories and career exploration (Way to GO RI). The Middle School is receiving training in MAPS in March to better assist students with transition planning in the future. Documentation: Data Analysis; Interviews; Record Reviews	
Result	3	IDEA Transition Planning at the High School Level The School gives three transition assessments that are considered age and need appropriate. Proof is provided that a student was invited to the IEP meeting and the School's forms have been updated to demonstrate that student was invited. Attainable goals are listed under the "Post-School Goal" section in the IEP.	

		A description of the results of the assessments is included in the "Present Levels of Performance" section in the IEP. A description of how a student's strengths, as outlined in the assessments, will help him achieve his goals and current supports that are aiding him in achieving those goals is listed in the "Present Levels of Performance" section in the IEP. A description of how a student's needs, as outlined in the assessments, will hinder a child from achieving his goals and what supports should be implemented to help him achieve those goals is listed in the "Present Levels of Performance" section in the IEP. Three action items per category in the "Transition Services I Need to Help Me Reach My Post-School Goals" section of the IEP are specific and explain how they will help the student meet his or her goals. Accommodations in the "Supplementary Aides and Services" section of the IEP are designed to help a student meet his transition goals. The School is beginning to use MAPS and will review the results every year, and make adjustments to the plan as needed. Documentation: Data Analysis; Interviews; Record Reviews	
Result	4	At the high school, the case manager is the point for referrals to the Office of Rehabilitative Services (ORS) and to the Department of Behavioral Healthcare, Developmental Disabilities & Hospitals (BHDDH). ORS and BHDDH attend IEP meetings as needed and the high school has worked with ORS to provide trial work experiences for appropriate students. Documentation: Interviews; Document Review	
Result	5	Summary of Performance (SOP) is facilitated by the case managers as appropriate.	
		The SSOP must be provided to the student in their final year of high school or eligibility under IDEA, including those who have dropped out.	
		The timing of completion of the SSOP may vary depending on the student's postsecondary goals, but these documents are typically completed in the spring of the student's senior year. Timing of completion of the SSOP is often considered an IEP Team decision. At the Upper School, the SSOP was based off of forms used by other districts, but was modified by the Team. The Case Manager, with input with other	

		professionals who are most knowledgeable about the student's performance and effective accommodations and supports, complete the SSOP. <u>Documentation</u> : Interviews; Document Review	
Result	6	Youth with IEPs aged 16 and above with an IEP that includes appropriate measurable postsecondary goals that are annually updated and based upon an age appropriate transition assessment, and transition services. The Paul Cuffee Charter Schools is 100% compliant with the requirements. (State Performance Plan Indicator #13) Documentation: Interviews; Document Review	
Result	7	78% of youth who are no longer in secondary school, had IEPs in effect at the time they left school, and who have been employed, enrolled in postsecondary school, or both within 1 year of leaving high school. The state average was 67% (State Performance Plan Indicator #14) Documentation: Interviews; Document Review	