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NOTE 1: The New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) is the result of collaboration among Maine, New Hampshire, Rhode Island, and Vermont to build a set of tests for grades 3 through 8 and 11 to meet the requirements of the No Child Left Behind Act (NCLB). The purposes of the tests are as follows: (1) provide data on student achievement in reading/language arts and mathematics to meet the requirements of NCLB; (2) provide information to support program evaluation and improvement; and (3) provide information regarding student and school performance to both parents and the public. The tests are constructed to meet rigorous technical criteria, to include universal design elements and accommodations to allow all students access to test content, and to gather reliable student demographic information for accurate reporting. It is important to note that NECAP results are provided to districts, schools, and families for use as one piece of evidence about progress and learning that occurred on the prior year's grade-level expectations (GLEs) of elementary and middle schools and the grade-span expectations (GSEs) of high schools.

NOTE 2: The NECAP tests in reading, mathematics, and writing are administered each fall at the beginning of the school year and assess student achievement based on the prior year's GLEs/GSEs. NECAP school and district Mathematics, Reading, and Writing reports are available in two ways-Testing Year (where the student was administered the test in the fall) and Teaching Year (where the student received instruction during the school year prior to the fall test administration.) Throughout this report, all NECAP data are reported according to "testing year" as opposed to "teaching year. Accountability results which will be reported later this year are primarily based on teaching year results. State-, district-, and school-level teaching year results are available online at http://reporting.measuredprogress.org/NECAPpublicRI/

NOTE 3: Throughout this report, statistical significance is reported to indicate whether reported differences (e.g., increases or decreases in the percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher) in this year's NECAP results are significantly different from results reported in previous years. It is important to note that in all discussions/overviews, only statistically significant results are reported unless otherwise noted. For each table presented in this report, please note the key to determine the meaning of color-coded cells. In general, if a cell is colored green, then the reported difference indicates that the percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher, for example, is statistically significantly higher than previous results whereas if the cell is red, then the reported difference indicates that the percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher is statistically significantly lower than previous results. All other reported differences are thus not considered statistically significant, regardless of how large or small they appear to be. Please see Appendix B for a full discussion on how statistical significance was calculated and how to interpret statistical significance.

NOTE 4: The Rhode Island Department of Education's policy on minimum cell size for reporting data stipulates that if the number of students included in calculations was less than $10 \mathrm{and} /$ or if the calculated percentage is less than $\mathbf{1 \%}$, then data must be suppressed in public reports. Throughout this report, an empty cell indicates that the datum was redacted to comply with this policy.
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## ExECUTIVE SUMMARY

Results of the fall 2011 New England Common Assessment Program (NECAP) tests for students in grades 3-8 and 11 revealed that at the state level, approximately $73 \%$ of Rhode Island students achieved proficiency or higher in reading (up 2 percentage points from 2010), $56 \%$ in mathematics (up 1 percentage point), and $55 \%$ of students achieved proficiency or higher in writing.

In general, compared to last year's results, only middle school students across the state demonstrated higher percentages of achievement in both reading and mathematics with 4 and 3 percentage point gains, respectively. Conversely, students' performance in elementary school in both subjects remained constant and students' performance in high school reading remained the same as last year. Only high school students demonstrated lower proficiency rates in mathematics, with a 3 percentage point drop compared to last year (see Tables 1 and 2).

At the state level, most students appear to be making progress in reading as they move through higher grade levels. For example, 65\% of third-graders in 2006 achieved proficiency or higher in reading and of this same cohort of students, $77 \%$ achieved proficiency or higher as eighth-graders in the fall of 2011. Of note is that this cohort had a 3 percentage point decrease in reading proficiency from 2009 to 2010 but from 2010 to 2011, they had a 7 percentage point increase in reading proficiency as eighth-grade students compared to their performance as seventh-grade students. Unfortunately, a similar pattern is not seen in mathematics. In fact, no clear pattern emerges as students progress from grades 3 to 8 . To date, the only clear pattern that exists, regardless of cohort, is one that has consistently demonstrated fewer and fewer students in Rhode Island achieve proficiency in mathematics as they progress towards eleventh-grade. In short, NECAP results suggest that more and more students struggle in mathematics each year as evidenced by the considerably lower percentages of students in grade 11 achieving proficiency or higher compared to students in grades 3 through 8 (see Tables 6 and 7).

Statewide aggregated results of various student groups in reading and mathematics show that achievement gaps are closing for some groups while widening for others. In terms of the percent of students achieving proficiency or higher in reading, the achievement gap between Black students and White students is slightly smaller this year (by roughly 1 percentage point) whereas the gap between Hispanic students and White students is slightly larger (by roughly 1 percentage point) statewide compared to last year. Similarly, the achievement gap in reading between students with IEPs and those without IEPs has widened and so has the gap between students who are limited English proficient (LEP) and those who are not (both by roughly 1 percentage point) whereas the gap between economically disadvantaged students and those who are not has narrowed (by 2 percentage points) compared to last year. In mathematics, the achievement gap has remained constant between Black and White students but widened between Hispanic and White students, IEP and non-IEP students, and LEP and non-LEP students by 1, 2, and 3 percentage points, respectively. The only achievement gap in mathematics to narrow at the state-level was between economically disadvantaged students and their non-disadvantaged peers. This gap was decreased by roughly 1 percentage point compared to last year.

At the district level, 8 districts/local education agencies (LEAs) made gains in reading while only 2 districts/LEAs made gains in mathematics. No districts/LEAs had statistically significant lower percentages of students achieving proficiency or higher in reading or mathematics. While changes from 2010 to 2011 in reading or mathematics performance in all other districts were not considered statistically significant, changes from 2007 to 2011 were more encouraging. This year, 32 districts demonstrated improvement in both reading and mathematics achievement compared to 2007 and 6 districts made gains in either reading or mathematics compared to their performance back in 2007.

At the school level, only 3 schools (all middle schools) in the state made gains in both reading and mathematics while 12 schools ( 2 elementary, 7 middle, and 3 high schools) across the state made gains in just reading and 8 schools ( 2 elementary, 4 middle, and 2 high schools) made gains in just mathematics. Only 5 schools (4 elementary schools and 1 high school) had a statistically significant lower percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher in reading and even fewer schools (1 elementary and 1 high school) across the state demonstrated statistically significant lower percentages in mathematics. Although changes from 2010 to 2011 in reading or mathematics performance in all other schools were not considered statistically significant, changes from 2007 to 2011 were much more encouraging. A total of 56 schools improved in both reading and mathematics compared to their performance back in 2007 while 91 schools made improvements in reading only and 74 schools made improvements in mathematics only. Conversely, 20 schools (all of which are elementary schools) failed to make statistically significant improvement in either reading or mathematics over that same time period.

## State-Level Results

## Question 1:

How did RI students perform on the NECAP Reading, Mathematics, and Writing assessments?

## RESPONSE:

Statewide, more Rhode Island students achieved proficiency or higher this year in both reading and mathematics, with roughly $73 \%$ of students proficient or higher in reading (up 2 percentage points from 2010), and $56 \%$ in mathematics (up 1 percentage point from 2010). See Tables 1 and 2 for details.

In general, most students appear to be making progress in reading as they move through higher grade levels; however, a similar pattern is not seen in mathematics (see Tables 6 and 7). Statewide NECAP Reading results by grade level show that Grades 4, 6, 7, and 8 students made gains (up 2, 2, 7, and 3 percentage points, respectively) in the percent of students achieving proficiency or above in reading while grade 5 students’ scores were lower (down 5 percentage points) than last year. Grades 3 and 11 remained constant compared to last year's results. NECAP Mathematics results by grade-level indicate that students in Grades 6, 7, and 8 made gains in the percent of students achieving proficient or above in mathematics over last year's results (up 3, 3, and 4 percentage points, respectively) while Grade 11 students’ scores were lower (down 3 percentage points) than last year. Grades 3, 4, and 5 remained constant compared to last year's results (see Tables 3 and 4).

Results of the NECAP Writing tests administered to students in grades 5, 8, and 11 indicate that $55 \%$ of fifth-graders, $59 \%$ of eight-graders, and $51 \%$ of eleventh-graders achieved proficiency or higher (see Table 5).

## SUPPORTING DATA:

Statewide performance in reading, mathematics, and writing are shown below in Tables 1 through 7.

Table 1. NECAP Reading statewide school-level results ${ }^{1}$ by achievement level: Baseline year, ${ }^{2}$ 2009, 2010, and 2011. ${ }^{3}$

| School Level | \% SBP <br> Baseline Year | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { PP } \\ \text { Baseline } \\ \text { Year } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \mathbf{P} \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Baseline } \\ \text { Year } \end{array} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { PwD } \\ \text { Baseline } \\ \text { Year } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. Baseline Year | SE | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { SBP } \\ & 2009 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PP } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { P } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2009 | SE | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { SBP } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PP } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { P } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2010 | SE | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { SBP } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { PP } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { P } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2011 | SE | Difference in Total \% Proficient, 2010-2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL | 16 | 25 | 47 | 12 | 58 | . 19 | 10 | 19 | 52 | 18 | 70 | . 17 | 10 | 19 | 51 | 20 | 71 | . 17 | 10 | 17 | 50 | 23 | 73 | . 16 | +2 |
| ELEM | 16 | 24 | 47 | 13 | 60 | . 26 | 12 | 18 | 53 | 18 | 70 | . 25 | 10 | 19 | 52 | 19 | 71 | . 25 | 11 | 18 | 49 | 21 | 70 | . 25 | 0 |
| MID | 17 | 27 | 46 | 10 | 57 | . 27 | 10 | 21 | 53 | 16 | 69 | . 26 | 9 | 21 | 51 | 19 | 70 | . 26 | 9 | 17 | 52 | 22 | 74 | . 25 | +4 |
| HIGH | 14 | 24 | 45 | 16 | 62 | . 46 | 9 | 17 | 50 | 23 | 73 | . 43 | 8 | 16 | 48 | 28 | 76 | . 41 | 9 | 15 | 45 | 31 | 77 | . 41 | 0 |

Table 2. NECAP Mathematics statewide school-level results by achievement level: Baseline year, 2009, 2010, and 2011. ${ }^{3}$

| School Level | $\left.\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \\ \hline \text { SBP } \\ \text { Baseline } \\ \text { Year } \end{array} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \hline \text { PP } \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Baseline } \\ \text { Year } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c} \% \\ \begin{array}{c} \text { Baseline } \\ \text { Year } \end{array} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ \text { Paseline } \\ \text { Year } \end{array}$ | Total \% Prof. Baseline Year | SE | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { SBP } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PP } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \mathrm{P} \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2009 | SE | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { SBP } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PP } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \mathrm{P} \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2010 | SE | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { SBP } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PP } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\left.\begin{gathered} \% \\ \mathrm{P} \\ 2011 \end{gathered} \right\rvert\,$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2011 | SE | Difference in Total \% Proficient, 2010-2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL | 28 | 23 | 39 | 11 | 50 | . 19 | 26 | 21 | 39 | 15 | 54 | . 18 | 24 | 21 | 39 | 16 | 55 | . 18 | 24 | 19 | 39 | 17 | 56 | . 18 | +1 |
| ELEM | 25 | 23 | 40 | 12 | 52 | . 26 | 20 | 19 | 44 | 17 | 61 | . 27 | 19 | 20 | 43 | 18 | 61 | . 27 | 20 | 18 | 43 | 19 | 62 | . 27 | 0 |
| MID | 30 | 22 | 38 | 11 | 48 | . 27 | 25 | 20 | 39 | 17 | 56 | . 28 | 24 | 20 | 39 | 17 | 57 | . 28 | 22 | 18 | 40 | 20 | 60 | . 28 | +3 |
| HIGH | 51 | 27 | 21 | 1 | 22 | . 39 | 45 | 28 | 26 | 2 | 27 | . 43 | 38 | 29 | 30 | 3 | 33 | . 45 | 44 | 26 | 28 | 2 | 30 | . 44 | -3 |

Key (see Appendix A for NECAP performance level descriptors)
SBP = Substantially Below Proficient
PP = Partially Proficient
$\mathbf{P}=$ Proficient
PwD = Proficient with Distinction
SE = Standard Error (see Appendix B)
$=$ Statistically significant decrease in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
$=$ Statistically significant increase in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
$=$ No statistically significant difference between 2010 and 2011 NECAP results

[^0]Table 3. NECAP Reading statewide grade-level results ${ }^{4}$ by achievement level: 2009 to 2011. ${ }^{5}$

| Grade | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { SBP } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PP } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { P } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2009 | SE | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { SBP } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PP } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { P } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2010 | SE | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { SBP } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PP } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { P } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2011 | SE | Difference in Total \% Proficient, 2010 to 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 11 | 17 | 55 | 17 | 72 | . 43 | 11 | 18 | 57 | 14 | 71 | . 44 | 11 | 17 | 52 | 21 | 72 | . 43 | +1 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | 14 | 19 | 49 | 18 | 67 | . 47 | 11 | 20 | 48 | 20 | 69 | . 45 | 12 | 18 | 48 | 23 | 71 | . 44 | +2 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | 10 | 18 | 54 | 18 | 72 | . 46 | 9 | 18 | 50 | 23 | 73 | . 44 | 11 | 20 | 49 | 20 | 68 | . 45 | -5 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 11 | 21 | 53 | 15 | 68 | . 45 | 9 | 20 | 54 | 17 | 71 | . 46 | 9 | 18 | 51 | 22 | 73 | . 44 | +2 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 9 | 21 | 55 | 15 | 70 | . 44 | 12 | 23 | 51 | 14 | 65 | . 46 | 10 | 18 | 55 | 17 | 71 | . 46 | +7 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 8 | 21 | 51 | 20 | 70 | . 43 | 7 | 20 | 50 | 24 | 74 | . 42 | 7 | 16 | 52 | 26 | 77 | . 40 | +3 |
| $11^{\text {th }}$ | 9 | 17 | 50 | 23 | 73 | . 43 | 8 | 16 | 48 | 28 | 76 | . 41 | 8 | 15 | 45 | 31 | 77 | . 41 | 0 |

Table 4. NECAP Mathematics statewide grade-level results by achievement level: 2009 to 2011. ${ }^{5}$

| Grade | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { SBP } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PP } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \mathbf{P} \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2009 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2009 | SE | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { SBP } \\ & 2010 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PP } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { P } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2010 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2010 | SE | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { SBP } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PP } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { P } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { PwD } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Total \% Prof. 2011 | SE | Difference in Total \% Proficient, 2010 to 2011 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $3^{\text {rd }}$ | 19 | 20 | 43 | 18 | 61 | . 47 | 17 | 22 | 43 | 19 | 61 | . 47 | 20 | 20 | 42 | 18 | 60 | . 47 | -1 |
| $4^{\text {th }}$ | 19 | 19 | 46 | 16 | 62 | . 48 | 17 | 20 | 43 | 20 | 63 | . 47 | 18 | 18 | 43 | 22 | 65 | . 46 | +2 |
| $5^{\text {th }}$ | 23 | 17 | 44 | 17 | 61 | . 50 | 22 | 17 | 45 | 17 | 62 | . 48 | 21 | 17 | 44 | 18 | 63 | . 47 | +1 |
| $6^{\text {th }}$ | 23 | 18 | 40 | 19 | 59 | . 47 | 22 | 18 | 39 | 21 | 60 | . 50 | 21 | 16 | 41 | 21 | 63 | . 48 | +3 |
| $7^{\text {th }}$ | 25 | 21 | 38 | 16 | 54 | . 48 | 26 | 20 | 38 | 16 | 54 | . 47 | 25 | 18 | 36 | 20 | 56 | \| 50 | +3 |
| $8^{\text {th }}$ | 25 | 21 | 39 | 16 | 54 | . 47 | 24 | 22 | 38 | 16 | 54 | . 48 | 22 | 20 | 41 | 17 | 58 | . 47 | +4 |
| $11^{\text {th }}$ | 45 | 28 | 26 | 2 | 27 | . 43 | 38 | 29 | 30 | 3 | 33 | . 45 | 44 | 26 | 28 | 2 | 30 | . 45 | -3 |

Key (see Appendix A for NECAP performance level descriptors)
SBP = Substantially Below Proficient
PP = Partially Proficient
$\mathbf{P}=$ Proficient
PwD = Proficient with Distinction
SE = Standard Error (see Appendix B)
$=$ Statistically significant decrease in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
$=$ Statistically significant increase in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
$=$ No statistically significant difference between 2010 and 2011 NECAP results

[^1]Table 5. NECAP Writing statewide grade-level results ${ }^{6,7}$ by achievement level: 2011.

| Grade | \% SBP | \% PP | \% P | \% PwD | Total \% Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ALL | 10 | 36 | 46 | 9 | $\mathbf{5 5}$ |
| $\mathbf{5}^{\text {th }}$ | 11 | 34 | 44 | 11 | 55 |
| $\mathbf{8}^{\text {th }}$ | 11 | 30 | 48 | 11 | 59 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}^{\text {th }}$ | 7 | 43 | 46 | 5 | $\mathbf{5 1}$ |

Key (see Appendix A for NECAP performance level descriptors)
SBP = Substantially Below Proficient
PP = Partially Proficient
P = Proficient
PwD $=$ Proficient with Distinction
Table 6. NECAP Reading: Percent of students at/above "Proficient" from 2005 to 2011. ${ }^{8}$

|  | Testing Year: NECAP Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 60 | 65 | 68 | 70 | 72 | 71 | 72 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 60 | 63 | 64 | 68 | 67 | 68 | 71 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 60 | 65 | 66 | 68 | 72 | 73 | 68 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 58 | 64 | 62 | 68 | 68 | 71 | 73 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 56 | 59 | 67 | 71 | 70 | 65 | 71 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 55 | 59 | 61 | 65 | 70 | 74 | 77 |
| $\mathbf{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathbf{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | - | 61 | 69 | 73 | 76 | 77 |

Table 7. NECAP Mathematics. Percent of students at/above "Proficient" from 2005 to 2011. ${ }^{9}$

|  | Testing Year: NECAP Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade | $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ |
| $\mathbf{3}$ | 51 | 56 | 60 | 60 | 61 | 61 | 60 |
| $\mathbf{4}$ | 52 | 54 | 54 | 63 | 62 | 63 | 65 |
| $\mathbf{5}$ | 52 | 57 | 57 | 61 | 61 | 62 | 63 |
| $\mathbf{6}$ | 49 | 54 | 54 | 55 | 59 | 60 | 63 |
| $\mathbf{7}$ | 47 | 51 | 49 | 52 | 54 | 54 | 56 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 48 | 48 | 48 | 53 | 54 | 54 | 58 |
| $\mathbf{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathbf{-}$ | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $\mathbf{-}$ | - | 22 | 27 | 27 | 33 | 30 |

[^2]
## Question 2:

How are students in Rhode Island performing on the NECAP Reading, Mathematics, and Writing assessments compared to students in Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont?

## RESPONSE:

Although 72\% of Rhode Island students in grades 3-8 achieved proficiency or better on the reading test, they continue to lag behind their peers in New Hampshire and Vermont (where 79\% and 74\%, respectively, scored proficient or better in reading) and perform similarly to their peers in Maine (where $72 \%$ also scored proficient or better). Results indicate that across all four NECAP states (Rhode Island, Maine, New Hampshire, and Vermont), students in grades 3-8 students made progress in reading compared to last year's results with RI, ME, and NH each gaining 2 percentage points and VT gaining 1 percentage point. Rhode Island students in grade 11 were among the highest performing of the NECAP states, performing similarly to their peers in NH with $77 \%$ achieving proficiency or better in reading, versus $73 \%$ in VT . ${ }^{10}$

In mathematics this year, Rhode Island students in grades $3-8$ went up 2 percentage points statewide to $61 \%$ but, like reading, continue to lag behind their peers in the other NECAP states where $73 \%$ of students in New Hampshire, $65 \%$ in Vermont, and $63 \%$ in Maine achieved proficiency or better. Although Rhode Island eleventh graders had a 5 percentage point gain from 2009 to 2010, they had a 3 percentage point loss from 2010 to 2011, with only $30 \%$ of students achieving proficiency or higher in mathematics this year. This loss results in a 6 percentage point gap in achievement between Rhode Island grade 11 students and their peers in New Hampshire and Vermont, where 36\% achieved proficiency or better in both states.

In writing, compared to their peers in the other NECAP states, Rhode Island students out-performed students in grades 3 through 8 in Vermont and Maine and nearly matched the performance of their peers in New Hampshire. Grade 11 students in Rhode Island out-performed their peers in both New Hampshire and Vermont by 5 and 3 percentage points, respectively (see Table 10).

## Supporting Data:

The state-by-state comparisons in reading, mathematics, and writing are shown below in Tables 8 through 10.

[^3]Table 8. NECAP Reading: 2005 to 2011 percent of students at/above "Proficient" results by state. ${ }^{11}$

|  | Reading Grades 3-8 and (11) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Testing Year | Rhode Island | Vermont | New Hampshire | Maine |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 59 | 67 | 67 | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 62 | 68 | 71 | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $65(62)$ | $70(68)$ | $73(67)$ | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $68(69)$ | $71(72)$ | $75(72)$ | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $70(73)$ | $74(69)$ | $77(73)$ | $70(n / a)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $70(76)$ | $73(72)$ | $77(74)$ | $70(n / a)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $72(77)$ | $74(73)$ | $79(77)$ | $72(n / a)$ |

Note: Numbers in parentheses are for Grade 11 results only.

Table 9. NECAP Mathematics: 2005 to 2011 percent of students at/above "Proficient" results by state. ${ }^{12}$

|  | Mathematics Grades 3-8 and (11) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Testing Year | Rhode Island | Vermont | New Hampshire | Maine |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 5}$ | 50 | 63 | 62 | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 6}$ | 53 | 64 | 65 | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 7}$ | $54(22)$ | $63(30)$ | $67(28)$ | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 8}$ | $57(27)$ | $65(35)$ | $69(32)$ | - |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 9}$ | $58(27)$ | $66(35)$ | $71(33)$ | $62(n / a)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 0}$ | $59(33)$ | $65(38)$ | $71(36)$ | $61(n / a)$ |
| $\mathbf{2 0 1 1}$ | $61(30)$ | $65(36)$ | $73(36)$ | $63(n / a)$ |

Note: Numbers in parentheses are for Grade 11 results only.

Table 10. NECAP Writing: 2011 percent of students at/above "Proficient" results by state. ${ }^{13}$

|  | Writing Grades 5, 8, and (11) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Testing Year | Rhode Island | Vermont | New Hampshire | Maine |
| 2011 | $57(51)$ | $53(48)$ | $58(46)$ | $46(n / a)$ |

Note: Numbers in parentheses are for Grade 11 results only.

[^4]
## Question 3:

How did various student groups perform on the NECAP Reading and Mathematics assessments?

## RESPONSE:

Statewide, while an achievement gap continues between White students and other student groups in reading and mathematics, it has widened for some groups and narrowed for others. For example, the achievement gap between economically disadvantaged students and their non-disadvantaged peers decreased statewide this year in reading and mathematics by 2 and 1 percentage points, respectively. The same is true for the gap between White and Black students in reading, which decreased by 1 percentage point compared to last year (although in mathematics, the 31 percentage gap remained constant). Unfortunately, achievement gaps have increased for all other student groups in both math and reading by at least 1 percentage point and by as many as 3 percentage points. See Figures 1 and 2 below for graphical representations of each achievement gap.

At the state-level, the biggest performance gaps continue to be found in mathematics between students with IEPs and those without as well as between students who receive LEP services and those who do not. These gaps increased by 2 and 3 percentage points respectively compared to last year's in mathematics while in reading these gaps both increased by 1 percentage point. The results are more encouraging when they are delineated by school level (elementary, middle, and high). For example, this year achievement gaps between LEP students and their non-LEP peers in reading and mathematics at the high school level has been reduced by roughly 2 and 4 percentage points respectively.

## SUPPORTING DATA:

The achievement gaps in reading and mathematics for some selected student groups are shown below in Tables 11 through 19. Figures 1 through 6 provide graphical displays of the achievement gaps.

Table 11. Statewide group performance on NECAP Reading and Mathematics assessments. ${ }^{14}$

| Student Groups | Reading |  |  |  |  |  | Mathematics |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \% \\ \text { Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \% \\ \text { Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | 2010 $S E$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ S E \end{gathered}$ | Difference <br> 2010-2011 | $\begin{gathered} 2009 \\ \% \\ \text { Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \% \\ \text { Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | 2010 $S E$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { \% } \\ \text { Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ S E \end{gathered}$ | Difference <br> 2010-2011 |
| State Average | 70 | 71 | . 17 | 73 | . 16 | +2 | 54 | 55 | . 18 | 56 | . 18 | +1 |
| American Indian | 55 | 56 | 2.17 | 57 | 2.26 | +1 | 38 | 35 | 2.08 | 39 | 2.22 | +4 |
| Asian | 75 | 75 | . 94 | 76 | . 94 | +1 | 62 | 62 | 1.04 | 64 | 1.05 | +2 |
| Black | 54 | 54 | . 67 | 57 | . 65 | +2 | 31 | 33 | . 63 | 35 | . 62 | +2 |
| Hispanic | 51 | 52 | . 41 | 53 | . 40 | +2 | 31 | 35 | . 39 | 36 | . 38 | +1 |
| White | 78 | 79 | . 18 | 81 | . 18 | +2 | 63 | 64 | . 22 | 66 | . 22 | +2 |
| IEP | 29 | 29 | . 43 | 30 | . 45 | +1 | 19 | 19 | . 37 | 18 | . 37 | -1 |
| Plan 504 | 75 | 77 | 1.16 | 78 | 1.21 | +1 | 57 | 56 | 1.37 | 57 | 1.44 | +1 |
| Non-IEP | 78 | 78 | . 16 | 80 | . 16 | +2 | 61 | 62 | . 19 | 63 | . 19 | +1 |
| LEP | 24 | 24 | . 79 | 25 | . 70 | 0 (<0.5) | 16 | 17 | . 65 | 16 | . 57 | -1 |
| Non-LEP | 72 | 73 | . 17 | 75 | . 16 | +2 | 56 | 57 | . 19 | 59 | . 19 | +2 |
| Monitored | 47 | 58 | 1.82 | 56 | 1.52 | -2 | 31 | 44 | 1.83 | 47 | 1.53 | +3 |
| Economically Disadvantaged | 55 | 56 | . 28 | 59 | . 27 | +2 | 37 | 39 | . 27 | 41 | . 27 | +2 |
| Non-Econ. Disadvantaged | 82 | 83 | . 19 | 84 | . 18 | +2 | 66 | 68 | . 23 | 69 | . 23 | +1 |
| Males | 65 | 67 | . 24 | 68 | . 24 | +1 | 54 | 56 | . 25 | 56 | . 25 | +1 |
| Females | 76 | 76 | . 23 | 78 | . 22 | +3 | 53 | 55 | . 26 | 57 | . 26 | +2 |
| Title I | 53 | 54 | . 32 | 56 | . 31 | +2 | 36 | 38 | . 31 | 40 | . 31 | +2 |
| Non-Title I | 79 | 80 | . 18 | 82 | . 18 | +2 | 63 | 64 | . 22 | 65 | . 22 | +2 |

[^5]${ }^{14}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

Figure 1. Student group achievement gaps in reading (all grades combined).


Figure 2. Student group achievement gaps in mathematics (all grades combined).


Figure 3. NECAP Reading: Proficiency rates by race/ethnicity (all grades combined).


Figure 4. NECAP Mathematics: Proficiency rates by race/ethnicity (all grades combined).


Figure 5. NECAP Reading: Student group proficiency rates (all grades combined).


Figure 6. NECAP Mathematics: Student group proficiency rates (all grades combined).


Table 12. Mathematics Achievement Gap: White Students vs. Black or Hispanic Students.

| Groups | Elementary |  |  |  |  |  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{N}{2011}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{N}{2011}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{SE}}{2011}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ |
| White Students* | 20083 | 72 | . 32 | - | - | - | 20249 | 70 | . 32 | - | - | - | 7213 | 37 | . 57 | - | - | - |
| Black Students | 2710 | 43 | . 95 | 34.4 | 31.1 | 29.0 | 2300 | 36 | 1.00 | 35.3 | 32.9 | 34.0 | 868 | 9 | . 98 | 20.8 | 28.2 | 28.3 |
| Hispanic Students | 7559 | 42 | . 57 | 34.4 | 28.9 | 29.6 | 6084 | 36 | . 62 | 37.0 | 34.2 | 34.3 | 1956 | 11 | . 71 | 20.7 | 27.4 | 26.5 |

$\frac{\text { Key }}{*}$
${ }^{*}=$ Group to which Black Students and Hispanic Students are being compared to determine gap.
$N=$ Number of students who took the NECAP mathematics test in 2011
SE $=$ Standard Error (see Appendix B)

- Statistically significant gap between White students and comparison group in percent who scored proficient or above on the NECAP Mathematics test

Table 13. Mathematics Achievement Gap: Students without IEPs vs. Students with IEPs.

| Groups | Elementary |  |  |  |  |  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\stackrel{2011}{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2011 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ |
| Students w/o IEPs* | 28102 | 68 | . 28 | - | - | - | 25984 | 67 | . 29 | - | - | - | 8957 | 35 | . 50 | - | - | - |
| Students w/IEPs | 4486 | 24 | . 64 | 32.4 | 41.8 | 43.9 | 4497 | 18 | . 57 | 40.6 | 46.2 | 49.7 | 1644 | 4 | . 50 | 22.7 | 31.9 | 30.3 |

$\frac{\text { Key }}{*}=\quad$ Group to which Students with IEPs is being compared to determine gap
$N=$ Number of students who took the NECAP mathematics test in 2011
SE $=$ Standard Error (see Appendix B)
Statistically significant gap between the two groups in percent of students who scored proficient or above on the NECAP Mathematics test
Table 14. Mathematics Achievement Gap: Non-LEP Students vs. LEP Students.

|  | Elementary |  |  |  |  |  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groups | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { \% } \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{array}$ |
| Non-LEP Students* | 30152 | 65 | . 27 | - | - | - | 29144 | 62 | . 28 | - | - | - | 10221 | 31 | . 46 | - | - | - |
| LEP Students | 2436 | 20 | . 81 | 43.6 | 41.9 | 45.0 | 1337 | 13 | . 91 | 43.1 | 45.8 | 49.3 | 380 | 4 | . 97 | 19.9 | 31.1 | 27.3 |
| Monitored | 657 | 58 | 1.92 | 19.8 | 13.3 | 7.0 | 348 | 32 | 2.51 | 35.7 | 18.5 | 29.6 | 58 | 9 | 3.69 | 18.5 | 23.6 | 22.3 |

$\frac{\text { Key }}{*}=\quad$ Group to which LEP and Monitored Students are being compared to determine gap
$N=$ Number of students who took the NECAP mathematics test in 2011
SE $=$ Standard Error (see Appendix B)

- Statistically significant gap between Non-LEP students and comparison group in percent of students who scored proficient or above on the NECAP Mathematics test

Table 15. Mathematics Achievement Gap: Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students vs. Economically Disadvantaged Students.

| Groups | Elementary |  |  |  |  |  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\underset{N}{2011}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{N}{2011}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{N}{2011}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-Econ. Disadv.* | 16904 | 75 | . 33 | - | - | - | 17091 | 75 | . 33 | - | - | - | 6763 | 39 | . 59 | - | - | - |
| Econ. Disadvantaged | 15684 | 47 | . 40 | 31.9 | 29.0 | 28.1 | 13390 | 41 | . 43 | 33.4 | 33.2 | 33.4 | 3838 | 14 | . 56 | 18.4 | 25.9 | 25.0 |

$\frac{\text { Key }}{*}=$ Group to which Economically Disadvantaged Students is being compared to determine gap
$N=\quad$ Number of students who took the NECAP mathematics test in 2011
SE $=\quad$ Standard Error (see Appendix B)

- Statistically significant gap between the two groups in percent of students who scored proficient or above on the NECAP Mathematics test

Table 16. Reading Achievement Gap: White Students vs. Black or Hispanic Students.

|  | Elementary |  |  |  |  |  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groups | $\underset{N}{2011}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{N}{2011}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{\mathrm{SE}}{2011}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{N}{2011}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ |
| White Students* | 20070 | 79 | . 29 | - | - | - | 20239 | 82 | . 27 | - | - | - | 7195 | 84 | 43 | - | - | - |
| Black Students | 2697 | 57 | . 95 | 31.6 | 23.2 | 22.4 | 2278 | 56 | 1.04 | 33.4 | 26.7 | 25.9 | 875 | 56 | 1.68 | 27.0 | 25.9 | 27.7 |
| Hispanic Students | 7413 | 52 | . 58 | 36.0 | 24.8 | 27.5 | 5987 | 54 | . 64 | 37.7 | 31.5 | 28.6 | 1935 | 58 | 1.12 | 28.0 | 23.7 | 25.6 |

$\frac{\text { Key }}{*}=\quad$ Group to which Black Students and Hispanic Students is being compared to determine gap.
$N=\quad$ Number of students who took the NECAP reading test in 2011
SE $=$ Standard Error (see Appendix B)
$\square=$ Statistically significant gap between White students and comparison group in percent who scored proficient or above on the NECAP Reading test
Table 17. Reading Achievement Gap: Students without IEPs vs. Students with IEPs.

|  | Elementary |  |  |  |  |  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groups | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { SE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { SE } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2005 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ |
| Students w/o IEPs* | 27910 | 77 | . 25 | - | - | - | 25825 | 82 | . 24 | - | - | - | 8916 | 84 | . 39 | - | - | - |
| Students w/IEPs | 4484 | 28 | . 67 | 40.7 | 49.1 | 49.3 | 4503 | 30 | . 68 | 44.5 | 49.7 | 51.8 | 1644 | 38 | 1.20 | 45.8 | 47.6 | 45.6 |

$\frac{\text { Key }}{*}=\quad$ Group to which Students with IEPs is being compared to determine gap
$N=$ Number of students who took the NECAP reading test in 2011
SE $=$ Standard Error (see Appendix B)
$\square=$ Statistically significant gap between the two groups in percent of students who scored proficient or above on the NECAP Reading test

Table 18. Reading Achievement Gap: Non-LEP Students vs. LEP Students.

| Groups | Elementary |  |  |  |  |  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ N \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-LEP Students* | 30157 | 74 | . 25 | - | - | - | 29166 | 76 | . 25 | - | - | - | 10211 | 79 | . 40 | - | - | - |
| LEP Students | 2237 | 28 | . 95 | 52.2 | 44.8 | 45.4 | 1162 | 22 | 1.21 | 50.7 | 54.2 | 54.3 | 349 | 12 | 1.72 | 56.9 | 58.0 | 67.0 |
| Monitored | 658 | 63 | 1.88 | 20.8 | 11.5 | 10.7 | 350 | 43 | 2.65 | 39.7 | 20.9 | 32.8 | 58 | 64 | 6.31 | 37.8 | 22.4 | 15.0 |

$\frac{\text { Key }}{*}=\quad$ Group to which LEP and Monitored Students are being compared to determine gap
$\begin{aligned} * & =\text { Group to which LEP and Monitored Students are being compared to } \\ N & =\text { Number of students who took the NECAP mathematics test in } 2011\end{aligned}$
SE $=$ Standard Error (see Appendix B)

- Statistically significant gap between Non-LEP students and comparison group in percent of students who scored proficient or above on the NECAP Reading test

Table 19. Reading Achievement Gap: Non-Economically Disadvantaged Students vs. Economically Disadvantaged Students.

|  | Elementary |  |  |  |  |  | Middle |  |  |  |  |  | High |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Groups | $\underset{N}{2011}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\underset{N}{2011}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2005 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ | $\stackrel{2011}{N}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2011 \\ \% \\ \text { prof. } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 2011 | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2010 \\ & \text { Gap } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Gap } \end{gathered}$ |
| Non-Econ. Disadv.* | 16840 | 83 | . 29 | - | - | - | 17049 | 86 | . 27 | - | - | - | 6735 | 85 | . 44 | - | - | - |
| Econ. Disadvantaged | 15554 | 57 | . 40 | 32.5 | 24.5 | 25.4 | 13279 | 59 | . 43 | 34.6 | 29.2 | 26.5 | 3825 | 63 | . 78 | 25.4 | 21.6 | 22.0 |

$\frac{\text { Key }}{*}=$ Group to which Economically Disadvantaged Students is being compared to determine gap
$N=\quad$ Number of students who took the NECAP reading test in 2011
SE $=$ Standard Error (see Appendix B)
$\square=$ Statistically significant gap between the two groups in percent of students who scored proficient or above on the NECAP Reading test

## Question 4:

How did Rhode Island perform in relation to the annual statewide performance measures and goals?

## RESPONSE:

To hold itself accountable, the Rhode Island Department of Elementary and Secondary Education established annual performance measures to ensure that progress is being made toward reaching each of its 2014 goals. These goals are based on the Strategic Education Plan and the State Scope of Work that is part of our Race to the Top grant. Of the 33 performance measures, 4 were met, 3 were nearly met, and 24 were not met. Two of the performance measures do not have data available at this time.

## Supporting Data:

Progress towards the attainment of each of the established goals for 2011 is shown below in Table 20. In addition, the goals for 2012 through 2014 are presented.

Table 20. Statewide progress toward the attainment of statewide performance measures and goals.

| Rhode Island's Annual Performance Measures | 2010 <br> Goal | $\begin{gathered} 2010 \\ \text { Actual } \end{gathered}$ | 2011 <br> Goal | 2011 <br> Actual | Future Goals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2012 | 2013 | 2014 |
| Students entering the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade will be proficient in reading on NECAP | 70\% | 68.7\% | 75\% | 70.5\% | 81\% | 86\% | 90\% |
| - The gap between white and black students will be cut in half | 26 | 23.8 | 24 | 22.5 | 20 | 16 | 13.5 |
| - The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half | 26 | 25.0 | 23 | 29.5 | 19 | 16 | 14 |
| - The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half | 27 | 24.6 | 24 | 26.7 | 20 | 17 | 14.5 |
| - The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half | 47 | 49.9 | 42 | 49.6 | 35 | 29 | 25 |
| Students entering the $4^{\text {th }}$ grade will be proficient in mathematics on NECAP | 65\% | 63.2\% | 70\% | 64.8\% | 77\% | 84\% | 90\% |
| - The gap between white and black students will be cut in half | 30 | 27.1 | 27 | 31.7 | 23 | 19 | 16 |
| - The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half | 30 | 25.6 | 27 | 30.4 | 23 | 19 | 16 |
| - The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half | 28 | 26.8 | 25 | 28.8 | 21 | 18 | 15 |
| - The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half | 41 | 45.8 | 36 | 45.0 | 30 | 25 | 22 |
| Students entering the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade will be proficient in reading on NECAP | 73\% | 73.7\% | 77\% | 77.1\% | 82\% | 87\% | 90\% |
| - The gap between white and black students will be cut in half | 26 | 24.4 | 23 | 23.9 | 19 | 16 | 14 |
| - The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half | 29 | 29.5 | 26 | 27.4 | 22 | 18 | 15.5 |
| - The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half | 27 | 25.8 | 24 | 23.1 | 20 | 17 | 14.5 |
| - The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half | 47 | 45.6 | 42 | 50.2 | 35 | 29 | 25 |
| Students entering the $8^{\text {th }}$ grade will be proficient in mathematics on NECAP | 57\% | 54.1\% | 61\% | 58.3\% | 66\% | 71\% | 75\% |
| - The gap between white and black students will be cut in half | 32 | 32.3 | 29 | 30.9 | 24 | 20 | 17 |
| - The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half | 31 | 32.7 | 28 | 33.5 | 23 | 19 | 16.5 |
| - The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half | 31 | 31.5 | 28 | 30.8 | 23 | 19 | 16.5 |
| - The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half | 44 | 45.4 | 39 | 50.9 | 33 | 28 | 23.5 |
| Students entering the $11^{\text {th }}$ grade will be proficient in reading on NECAP | 77\% | 76.1\% | 80\% | 76.6\% | 83\% | 86\% | 90\% |
| - The gap between white and black students will be cut in half | 18 | 26.0 | 16 | 27.8 | 14 | 12 | 9.5 |
| - The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half | 17 | 23.7 | 15 | 25.7 | 13 | 11 | 9 |
| - The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half | 18 | 21.7 | 16 | 21.9 | 14 | 12 | 8.5 |
| - The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half | 46 | 47.5 | 41 | 45.7 | 35 | 30 | 24.5 |
| Students entering the $11^{\text {th }}$ grade will be proficient in mathematics on NECAP | 32\% | 32.8\% | 40\% | 29.9\% | 50\% | 60\% | 75\% |
| - The gap between white and black students will be cut in half | 26 | 28.1 | 24 | 28.3 | 20 | 16 | 13.5 |
| - The gap between white and Hispanic students will be cut in half | 23 | 27.3 | 21 | 26.5 | 18 | 15 | 12.5 |
| - The gap between non-economically disadvantaged students and economically disadvantaged students will be cut in half | 21 | 25.8 | 19 | 24.9 | 17 | 14 | 11.5 |
| - The gap between students without IEPs and those with IEPs will be cut in half | 26 | 31.8 | 23 | 30.5 | 19 | 16 | 14 |
| 85\% of students who first entered 9th grade 4 years prior will graduate from HS | 76\% | 76\% | 77\% | 77.2\% | 80\% | 83\% | 85\% |
| $77 \%$ of students who graduate from high school will enroll in an institution of higher education (IHE) within 16 months of receiving a diploma | 72\% | Not Avail. | 73\% | Not Avail. | 75\% | 76\% | 77\% |
| $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ of students who enroll in an institution of higher education (IHE) will complete at least 1 year's worth of credit within two years of enrollment in the IHE | 82\% | Not Avail. | 83\% | Not Avail. | 85\% | 88\% | 90\% |

Key
$=\quad$ Performance Measure/Goal was not met.
$\square=\quad$ Performance Measure/Goal was nearly met (within $\pm 2$ percentage points).
$\square=$ Performance Measure/Goal was met and/or exceeded.

## DISTRICT RESULTS

## QUESTION 5:

How did public school districts/local education agencies (LEAs) in Rhode Island perform on the NECAP Reading, Mathematics, and Writing assessments?

## RESPONSE:

In reading, 8 districts/LEAs: Burrillville; Chariho; Cumberland; Learning Community; North Kingstown, Scituate, Tiverton; and Westerly made gains while only 2 districts, Blackstone Valley Prep and Segue Institute for Learning, made gains in mathematics (see Table 21 and Figures 7 and 8). Although changes from 2010 to 2011 in reading or mathematics performance in all other districts were not considered statistically significant, changes from 2007 to 2011 were more encouraging: A total of 32 districts have made significant improvement in both mathematics and reading achievement compared to their performance back in 2007 (see Table 22).

In writing, 18 districts/LEAs had higher percentages of students achieving proficiency or above than the state average of $55 \%$ at the elementary school level (all grades combined) whereas 8 had lower percentages. The remaining districts/LEAs were not significantly different from the state’s overall performance at the elementary school level. At the middle school level (all grades combined), 22 districts/LEAs out-performed the state average of $59 \%$ while 9 districts/LEAs had lower percentages of students achieving proficiency or above. The remaining districts/LEAs were not significantly different from the state average. At the high school level, 20 districts/LEAs had higher percentages of students achieving proficiency or above than the state average of $51 \%$ and 8 districts/LEAs were lower. The remaining district’s/LEA's percentages of students achieving proficiency or above were not significantly different from the state average (see Table 23).

## Supporting Data:

Statewide performance in reading, mathematics, and writing are shown below for each district/LEA in Tables 21 through 23 . Figures 7 and 8 provide graphical representations of results.

Table 21. Percent of students at/above "proficient" in reading/math by District (all school levels). ${ }^{15}$

| District | Reading |  |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | \% '09 | \% '10 | SE '10 | \% '11 | SE '11 | Diff. '10-‘11 | \% '09 | \% '10 | SE '10 | \% '11 | SE '11 | Diff. '10-‘11 |
| Barrington | 93 | 93 | . 60 | 92 | . 64 | -1 | 85 | 86 | . 80 | 85 | . 83 | -1 |
| Beacon Charter School | 98 | 91 | 4.15 | 91 | 3.75 | 0 | 16 | 48 | 7.37 | 58 | 6.54 | 10 |
| Blackstone Academy | 78 | 74 | 7.14 | 79 | 6.33 | 5 | 3 | 32 | 7.70 | 32 | 7.27 | -1 |
| Blackstone Valley Prep | $n / a$ | 61 | 4.88 | 68 | 3.26 | 7 | $n / a$ | 48 | 4.97 | 72 | 3.14 | 25 |
| Bristol Warren | 80 | 80 | . 94 | 80 | . 93 | 0 | 65 | 67 | 1.11 | 69 | 1.08 | 2 |
| Burrillville | 69 | 70 | 1.27 | 76 | 1.18 | 5 | 54 | 56 | 1.38 | 59 | 1.35 | 4 |
| Central Falls | 51 | 49 | 1.36 | 47 | 1.40 | -2 | 35 | 31 | 1.24 | 30 | 1.26 | -2 |
| Chariho | 84 | 84 | . 85 | 89 | . 74 | 5 | 68 | 70 | 1.07 | 74 | 1.04 | 3 |
| Coventry | 78 | 81 | . 74 | 83 | . 72 | 2 | 63 | 64 | . 91 | 65 | . 92 | 1 |
| Cranston | 78 | 78 | . 55 | 78 | . 55 | 0 | 56 | 55 | . 66 | 58 | . 66 | 3 |
| Cumberland | 78 | 78 | . 82 | 83 | . 75 | 5 | 63 | 64 | . 95 | 66 | . 94 | 3 |
| Davies Career-Tech. Center | 85 | 87 | 2.43 | 87 | 2.53 | 0 | 27 | 34 | 3.40 | 35 | 3.59 | 1 |
| DCYF |  | 5 | 4.87 | 6 | 4.04 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Greenwich | 90 | 90 | . 83 | 92 | . 77 | 1 | 82 | 81 | 1.08 | 83 | 1.05 | 2 |
| East Providence | 66 | 69 | . 86 | 70 | . 86 | 1 | 50 | 52 | . 94 | 55 | . 94 | 2 |
| Exeter-West Greenwich | 76 | 79 | 1.29 | 83 | 1.21 | 4 | 67 | 75 | 1.38 | 75 | 1.40 | 0 |
| Foster | 82 | 74 | 3.62 | 86 | 3.00 | 11 | 81 | 74 | 3.65 | 80 | 3.42 | 6 |
| Foster-Glocester | 79 | 79 | 1.53 | 83 | 1.47 | 3 | 58 | 56 | 1.87 | 61 | 1.89 | 5 |
| Glocester | 79 | 76 | 2.40 | 75 | 2.43 | -1 | 71 | 67 | 2.66 | 65 | 2.68 | -1 |
| Highlander | 55 | 66 | 3.53 | 68 | 3.37 | 2 | 39 | 46 | 3.72 | 54 | 3.60 | 8 |
| International Charter | 69 | 58 | 4.22 | 65 | 3.85 | 7 | 58 | 54 | 4.23 | 62 | 3.92 | 8 |
| Jamestown | 86 | 86 | 2.00 | 86 | 1.99 | 0 | 80 | 83 | 2.17 | 84 | 2.10 | 1 |
| Johnston | 71 | 69 | 1.15 | 73 | 1.09 | 4 | 49 | 51 | 1.25 | 52 | 1.23 | 1 |
| Kingston Hill Academy | 76 | 87 | 4.26 | 88 | 3.66 | 1 | 55 | 81 | 5.02 | 88 | 3.66 | 7 |
| Learning Community | 60 | 61 | 2.94 | 73 | 2.38 | 13 | 51 | 50 | 3.01 | 61 | 2.63 | 11 |
| Lincoln | 82 | 82 | . 91 | 84 | . 89 | 2 | 69 | 69 | 1.11 | 71 | 1.10 | 2 |
| Little Compton | 83 | 84 | 2.46 | 92 | 1.88 | 8 | 77 | 80 | 2.71 | 81 | 2.71 | 1 |
| MET Career and Tech | 55 | 66 | 4.39 | 66 | 3.36 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 3.28 | 15 | 2.56 | 1 |
| Middletown | 72 | 75 | 1.23 | 77 | 1.22 | 2 | 67 | 67 | 1.32 | 68 | 1.33 | 1 |
| Narragansett | 86 | 86 | 1.26 | 89 | 1.17 | 3 | 72 | 71 | 1.65 | 75 | 1.62 | 4 |
| New Shoreham | 88 | 85 | 4.29 | 89 | 4.02 | 4 | 75 | 74 | 5.19 | 82 | 4.85 | 9 |
| Newport | 64 | 64 | 1.53 | 68 | 1.45 | 4 | 44 | 43 | 1.57 | 46 | 1.54 | 3 |
| North Kingstown | 82 | 82 | . 79 | 86 | . 75 | 3 | 69 | 71 | . 94 | 72 | . 96 | 0 |
| North Providence | 69 | 72 | 1.10 | 75 | 1.04 | 3 | 45 | 49 | 1.22 | 52 | 1.21 | 3 |
| North Smithfield | 85 | 87 | 1.12 | 88 | 1.06 | 1 | 67 | 65 | 1.57 | 69 | 1.52 | 4 |
| Paul Cuffee Charter School | 63 | 72 | 2.47 | 73 | 2.41 | 1 | 56 | 62 | 2.67 | 66 | 2.58 | 4 |
| Pawtucket | 58 | 59 | . 74 | 61 | . 73 | 1 | 42 | 42 | . 74 | 42 | . 74 | 0 |
| Portsmouth | 84 | 85 | . 94 | 87 | . 91 | 1 | 75 | 78 | 1.10 | 76 | 1.15 | -2 |
| Providence | 47 | 47 | . 47 | 48 | . 46 | 1 | 28 | 31 | . 43 | 33 | . 43 | 2 |
| RI Nurses Inst. Middle College | $n / a$ | n/a | n/a | 65 | 5.61 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | 4 | 2.39 | $n / a$ |
| Rhode Island School for the Deaf | 4 | 8 | 5.43 | 15 | 7.98 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 3.77 | 10 | 6.71 | 6 |
| Scituate | 85 | 83 | 1.26 | 88 | 1.09 | 5 | 71 | 70 | 1.54 | 73 | 1.51 | 3 |
| Segue Institute for Learning | $n / a$ | 50 | 4.24 | 60 | 3.45 | 10 | $n / a$ | 26 | 3.69 | 41 | 3.46 | 15 |
| Smithfield | 87 | 88 | . 91 | 89 | . 88 | 1 | 71 | 72 | 1.24 | 72 | 1.24 | 1 |
| South Kingstown | 85 | 83 | . 86 | 86 | . 80 | 3 | 78 | 78 | . 96 | 78 | . 96 | 1 |
| The Compass School | 81 | 92 | 2.61 | 93 | 2.50 | 0 | 79 | 87 | 3.35 | 94 | 2.35 | 7 |
| The Greene School | $n / a$ | n/a | n/a | 100 | . 00 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | 28 | 7.06 | $n / a$ |
| Tiverton | 76 | 78 | 1.29 | 84 | 1.12 | 6 | 67 | 68 | 1.45 | 69 | 1.43 | 1 |
| Trinity Academy | $n / a$ | 47 | 8.56 | 62 | 5.89 | 15 | $n / a$ | 41 | 8.44 | 29 | 5.53 | -12 |
| Urban Collaborative | 48 | 35 | 4.03 | 47 | 4.20 | 11 | 29 | 23 | 3.53 | 19 | 3.31 | -4 |
| Warwick | 77 | 79 | . 56 | 79 | . 57 | 0 | 58 | 58 | . 67 | 57 | . 69 | -1 |
| West Warwick | 66 | 72 | 1.07 | 72 | 1.07 | 0 | 51 | 54 | 1.19 | 53 | 1.18 | 0 |
| Westerly | 77 | 79 | 1.01 | 83 | . 94 | 4 | 63 | 65 | 1.19 | 68 | 1.18 | 3 |
| Woonsocket | 56 | 57 | . 90 | 60 | . 91 | 2 | 38 | 40 | . 89 | 43 | . 91 | 3 |
| State: | 70 | 71 | . 17 | 73 | . 16 | 2 | 54 | 55 | . 18 | 56 | . 18 | 1 |

## Kev

SE = Standard Error (see Appendix B)
Statistically significant decrease in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
$=$ Statistically significant increase in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
$\square=\quad$ No statistically significant change between 2010 and 2011 NECAP results

[^6]Figure 7. NECAP Reading: Difference between 2010 and 2011 percent at/above "proficient" in each district.


Figure 8. NECAP Mathematics: Difference between 2010 and 2011 percent at/above "proficient" in each district.


Table 22. Districts' progress from 2007 to 2011 in reading and mathematics. ${ }^{16}$

| District | 2007 <br> Reading \% Prof. | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | 2011 <br> Reading <br> \% Prof. | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ 2007 \text { to } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ |  | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \text { SE } \end{gathered}$ | $2011$ <br> Math \% Prof. | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ 2007 \text { to } \\ 2011 \end{gathered}$ | Sig. Progress in Both Math AND Reading? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrington | 92 | . 63 | 92 | . 64 | 0 | 83 | . 86 | 85 | . 83 | 1 |  |
| Beacon Charter School | 50 | 10.66 | 91 | 3.75 | 41 | 10 | 6.41 | 58 | 6.54 | 48 | YES |
| Blackstone Academy | 59 | 8.07 | 79 | 6.33 | 19 | 6 | 3.82 | 32 | 7.27 | 26 |  |
| Blackstone Valley Prep | n/a | n/a | 68 | 3.26 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | 72 | 3.14 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Bristol Warren | 77 | . 99 | 80 | . 93 | 3 | 61 | 1.15 | 69 | 1.08 | 9 |  |
| Burrillville | 67 | 1.32 | 76 | 1.18 | 8 | 50 | 1.41 | 59 | 1.35 | 9 | YES |
| Central Falls | 42 | 1.20 | 47 | 1.40 | 5 | 28 | 1.08 | 30 | 1.26 | 2 |  |
| Chariho | 77 | . 95 | 89 | . 74 | 12 | 59 | 1.11 | 74 | 1.04 | 15 | YES |
| Coventry | 72 | . 83 | 83 | . 72 | 11 | 58 | . 91 | 65 | . 92 | 7 | YES |
| Cranston | 70 | . 60 | 78 | . 55 | 8 | 49 | . 66 | 58 | . 66 | 8 | YES |
| Cumberland | 69 | . 88 | 83 | . 75 | 14 | 53 | . 95 | 66 | . 94 | 14 | YES |
| Davies Career-Tech. Center | 48 | 3.89 | 87 | 2.53 | 39 | 7 | 1.94 | 35 | 3.59 | 28 | YES |
| DCYF |  |  | 6 | 4.04 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| East Greenwich | 84 | 1.00 | 92 | . 77 | 8 | 74 | 1.20 | 83 | 1.05 | 9 | YES |
| East Providence | 62 | . 90 | 70 | . 86 | 8 | 47 | . 92 | 55 | . 94 | 8 | YES |
| Exeter-West Greenwich | 74 | 1.33 | 83 | 1.21 | 9 | 62 | 1.48 | 75 | 1.40 | 13 | YES |
| Foster | 78 | 3.42 | 86 | 3.00 | 8 | 76 | 3.53 | 80 | 3.42 | 4 |  |
| Foster-Glocester | 74 | 1.51 | 83 | 1.47 | 8 | 48 | 1.73 | 61 | 1.89 | 13 | YES |
| Glocester | 74 | 2.37 | 75 | 2.43 | 2 | 66 | 2.56 | 65 | 2.68 | 0 |  |
| Highlander | 40 | 3.75 | 68 | 3.37 | 28 | 30 | 3.50 | 54 | 3.60 | 24 | YES |
| International Charter | 49 | 4.27 | 65 | 3.85 | 16 | 28 | 3.79 | 62 | 3.92 | 34 | YES |
| Jamestown | 81 | 2.28 | 86 | 1.99 | 5 | 75 | 2.51 | 84 | 2.10 | 9 |  |
| Johnston | 67 | 1.12 | 73 | 1.09 | 6 | 49 | 1.19 | 52 | 1.23 | 3 |  |
| Kingston Hill Academy | 78 | 3.85 | 88 | 3.66 | 9 | 53 | 4.65 | 88 | 3.66 | 35 |  |
| Learning Community | 59 | 5.01 | 73 | 2.38 | 14 | 54 | 5.09 | 61 | 2.63 | 7 |  |
| Lincoln | 75 | . 99 | 84 | . 89 | 9 | 63 | 1.11 | 71 | 1.10 | 8 | YES |
| Little Compton | 80 | 2.65 | 92 | 1.88 | 12 | 71 | 3.03 | 81 | 2.71 | 10 |  |
| MET Career and Tech | 41 | 3.88 | 66 | 3.36 | 26 | 4 | 1.62 | 15 | 2.56 | 11 | YES |
| Middletown | 76 | 1.22 | 77 | 1.22 | 1 | 65 | 1.33 | 68 | 1.33 | 2 |  |
| Narragansett | 78 | 1.48 | 89 | 1.17 | 12 | 62 | 1.72 | 75 | 1.62 | 13 | YES |
| New Shoreham | 85 | 4.04 | 89 | 4.02 | 4 | 72 | 5.04 | 82 | 4.85 | 10 |  |
| Newport | 51 | 1.51 | 68 | 1.45 | 17 | 40 | 1.47 | 46 | 1.54 | 6 | YES |
| North Kingstown | 80 | . 81 | 86 | . 75 | 5 | 65 | . 97 | 72 | . 96 | 6 | YES |
| North Providence | 67 | 1.13 | 75 | 1.04 | 8 | 39 | 1.17 | 52 | 1.21 | 13 | YES |
| North Smithfield | 74 | 1.38 | 88 | 1.06 | 14 | 57 | 1.55 | 69 | 1.52 | 12 | YES |
| Paul Cuffee Charter School | 52 | 2.92 | 73 | 2.41 | 21 | 38 | 2.83 | 66 | 2.58 | 28 | YES |
| Pawtucket | 51 | . 73 | 61 | . 73 | 9 | 39 | . 71 | 42 | . 74 | 3 | YES |
| Portsmouth | 77 | 1.07 | 87 | . 91 | 9 | 65 | 1.22 | 76 | 1.15 | 11 | YES |
| Providence | 40 | . 45 | 48 | . 46 | 8 | 26 | . 40 | 33 | . 43 | 7 | YES |
| RI Nurses Inst. Middle College | $n / a$ | n/a | 65 | 5.61 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 4 | 2.39 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| RI School for the Deaf | 29 | 9.86 | 15 | 7.98 | -14 | 38 | 10.60 | 10 | 6.71 | -28 |  |
| Scituate | 81 | 1.26 | 88 | 1.09 | 8 | 64 | 1.54 | 73 | 1.51 | 9 | YES |
| Segue Institute for Learning | $n / a$ | n/a | 60 | 3.45 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 41 | 3.46 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Smithfield | 81 | 1.05 | 89 | . 88 | 8 | 66 | 1.26 | 72 | 1.24 | 7 | YES |
| South Kingstown | 83 | . 86 | 86 | . 80 | 4 | 71 | 1.02 | 78 | . 96 | 7 | YES |
| The Compass School | 90 | 3.03 | 93 | 2.50 | 2 | 84 | 3.78 | 94 | 2.35 | 10 |  |
| The Greene School | $n / a$ | n/a | 100 | . 00 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 28 | 7.06 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Tiverton | 65 | 1.46 | 84 | 1.12 | 19 | 61 | 1.49 | 69 | 1.43 | 8 | YES |
| Trinity Academy | $n / a$ | n/a | 62 | 5.89 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 29 | 5.53 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Urban Collaborative | 33 | 3.97 | 47 | 4.20 | 13 | 11 | 2.67 | 19 | 3.31 | 8 |  |
| Warwick | 72 | . 58 | 79 | . 57 | 7 | 54 | . 65 | 57 | . 69 | 4 | YES |
| West Warwick | 60 | 1.14 | 72 | 1.07 | 12 | 45 | 1.16 | 53 | 1.18 | 8 | YES |
| Westerly | 76 | 1.02 | 83 | . 94 | 8 | 60 | 1.16 | 68 | 1.18 | 8 | YES |
| Woonsocket | 46 | . 88 | 60 | . 91 | 13 | 31 | . 82 | 43 | . 91 | 12 | YES |

## Key

[^7][^8]Table 23. Percent of students at/above "proficient" in writing by District. ${ }^{17}$

| District | Elementary School (ES) |  |  |  | Middle School (MS) |  |  |  | High School (HS) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Dist. } \\ \% \\ \text { Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | SE |  | Diff. | Dist. \% Prof. | SE | $\begin{gathered} \text { State } \\ \% \\ \text { Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | Diff. | Dist. \% Prof. | SE | $\begin{gathered} \text { State } \\ \% \\ \text { Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | Diff. |
| Barrington | 70 | 2.8 | 55 | 15 | 84 | 2.4 | 59 | 25 | 70 | 2.9 | 51 | 19 |
| Beacon Charter School | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 75 | 5.7 | 51 | 25 |
| Blackstone Academy | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | $n / a$ | 46 | 7.8 | 51 | -4 |
| Blackstone Valley Prep | 42 | 5.0 | 55 | -12 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Bristol Warren | 62 | 3.0 | 55 | 7 | 71 | 2.7 | 59 | 12 | 78 | 2.5 | 51 | 28 |
| Burrillville | 64 | 3.4 | 55 | 9 | 60 | 3.7 | 59 | 1 | 55 | 3.8 | 51 | 4 |
| Central Falls | 36 | 3.4 | 55 | -18 | 27 | 3.3 | 59 | -32 | 19 | 2.6 | 51 | -32 |
| Chariho | 67 | 3.0 | 55 | 12 | 75 | 2.8 | 59 | 16 | 67 | 2.7 | 51 | 17 |
| Coventry | 51 | 2.5 | 55 | -3 | 76 | 2.1 | 59 | 17 | 61 | 2.4 | 51 | 10 |
| Cranston | 68 | 1.6 | 55 | 13 | 68 | 1.7 | 59 | 9 | 48 | 1.7 | 51 | -3 |
| Cumberland | 61 | 2.6 | 55 | 6 | 65 | 2.4 | 59 | 7 | 47 | 2.7 | 51 | -3 |
| Davies Career-Technical High School | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | $n / a$ | 45 | 3.7 | 51 | -5 |
| DCYF | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |  |  | 51 |  |
| East Greenwich | 81 | 2.9 | 55 | 26 | 82 | 2.7 | 59 | 23 | 76 | 3.2 | 51 | 25 |
| East Providence | 49 | 2.4 | 55 | -5 | 57 | 2.4 | 59 | -1 | 41 | 2.4 | 51 | -10 |
| Exeter-West Greenwich | 68 | 4.1 | 55 | 13 | 76 | 3.6 | 59 | 17 | 73 | 3.8 | 51 | 23 |
| Foster | 82 | 5.1 | 55 | 27 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Foster-Glocester | n/a | n/a | n/a | $n / a$ | 63 | 3.6 | 59 | 5 | 48 | 3.7 | 51 | -2 |
| Glocester | 55 | 4.7 | 55 | 0 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Highlander | 39 | 8.1 | 55 | -16 | 78 | 9.8 | 59 | 19 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| International Charter | 65 | 7.0 | 55 | 10 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Jamestown | 61 | 7.6 | 55 | 6 | 85 | 5.2 | 59 | 26 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Johnston | 46 | 3.2 | 55 | -9 | 48 | 2.9 | 59 | -11 | 56 | 3.4 | 51 | 5 |
| Kingston Hill Academy | 79 | 9.4 | 55 | 24 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Learning Community | 68 | 5.9 | 55 | 14 | 50 | 7.9 | 59 | -9 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Lincoln | 65 | 2.9 | 55 | 10 | 71 | 2.7 | 59 | 12 | 64 | 3.1 | 51 | 14 |
| Little Compton | 63 | 9.9 | 55 | 8 | 82 | 6.5 | 59 | 24 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| MET Career and Tech | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 22 | 3.0 | 51 | -28 |
| Middletown | 38 | 3.7 | 55 | -17 | 63 | 3.7 | 59 | 4 | 79 | 3.2 | 51 | 29 |
| Narragansett | 59 | 4.8 | 55 | 4 | 79 | 4.2 | 59 | 20 | 66 | 4.3 | 51 | 15 |
| New Shoreham | 80 | 12.6 | 55 | 25 |  |  | 59 |  |  |  | 51 |  |
| Newport | 53 | 4.3 | 55 | -2 | 53 | 4.0 | 59 | -5 | 42 | 4.0 | 51 | -8 |
| North Kingstown | 63 | 2.8 | 55 | 9 | 77 | 2.3 | 59 | 18 | 60 | 2.5 | 51 | 10 |
| North Providence | 54 | 3.3 | 55 | -1 | 65 | 2.9 | 59 | 7 | 67 | 3.1 | 51 | 16 |
| North Smithfield | 84 | 3.4 | 55 | 29 | 84 | 3.1 | 59 | 25 | 74 | 3.8 | 51 | 23 |
| Paul Cuffee Charter School | 56 | 6.8 | 55 | 1 | 63 | 6.3 | 59 | 4 | $n / a$ | n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Pawtucket | 48 | 2.0 | 55 | -7 | 44 | 1.9 | 59 | -14 | 30 | 2.0 | 51 | -20 |
| Portsmouth | 61 | 3.5 | 55 | 6 | 74 | 3.2 | 59 | 16 | 59 | 3.2 | 51 | 9 |
| Providence | 32 | 1.1 | 55 | -23 | 31 | 1.1 | 59 | -28 | 35 | 1.2 | 51 | -15 |
| RI Nurses Institute Middle College | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 44 | 5.9 | 51 | -7 |
| Rhode Island School for the Deaf |  |  | 55 |  |  |  | 59 |  |  |  | 51 |  |
| Scituate | 71 | 4.1 | 55 | 16 | 74 | 3.5 | 59 | 16 | 66 | 4.4 | 51 | 16 |
| Segue Institute for Learning | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | 44 | 6.4 | 59 | -14 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Smithfield | 78 | 2.9 | 55 | 23 | 86 | 2.4 | 59 | 27 | 64 | 3.5 | 51 | 13 |
| South Kingstown | 63 | 3.1 | 55 | 8 | 79 | 2.3 | 59 | 21 | 64 | 3.0 | 51 | 14 |
| The Compass School | 37 | 11.1 | 55 | -18 | 79 | 9.4 | 59 | 20 | $n / a$ | n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| The Greene School | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 58 | 7.8 | 51 | 7 |
| Tiverton | 70 | 3.9 | 55 | 15 | 63 | 3.8 | 59 | 4 | 60 | 3.8 | 51 | 9 |
| Trinity Academy for the Performing Arts | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 33 | 8.2 | 59 | -25 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Urban Collaborative | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | n/a | 30 | 5.6 | 59 | -29 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ |
| Warwick | 65 | 1.7 | 55 | 10 | 59 | 1.7 | 59 | 1 | 47 | 1.8 | 51 | -4 |
| West Warwick | 57 | 3.0 | 55 | 3 | 61 | 3.0 | 59 | 2 | 63 | 3.2 | 51 | 12 |
| Westerly | 59 | 3.3 | 55 | 4 | 70 | 2.9 | 59 | 11 | 60 | 3.2 | 51 | 10 |
| Woonsocket | 41 | 2.4 | 55 | -14 | 37 | 2.2 | 59 | -22 | 29 | 2.4 | 51 | -22 |

[^9]
## Question 6:

How did public school districts/local education agencies (LEAs) in Rhode Island do in terms of high school graduation rates this year versus previous years?

## Response:

A 4-year high school graduation rate ${ }^{18}$ as well as 5 -year and 6 -year high school graduation rates ${ }^{19}$ were calculated for each district/LEA (see Table 24 and Figures 9 and 10). Looking at the 2011 4-year graduation results, 28 districts/LEAs equaled or bettered the state average of $77 \%$ and 10 had lower percentages of graduates. Results of the 20115 -year graduation rate results revealed that 28 districts/LEAs equaled or bettered the state average of $80 \%$ while 10 had lower graduation rates. For the 2011 6-year graduation results, 28 districts/LEAs equaled or bettered the state average of $79 \%$ and 10 had lower percentages of graduates.

## Supporting Data:

District/LEA graduation rates are shown below in Table 24.

[^10]Table 24. District/LEA high school graduation rates: 2009-2011.

| District | Class of 2009 |  |  | Class of 2010 |  |  | Class of 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-Yr. } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5-Yr. } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | 6-Yr. Rate | 4-Yr. Rate | 5-Yr. Rate | 6-Yr. Rate | 4-Yr. Rate | 5-Yr. Rate | 6-Yr. Rate |
| Barrington | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 96 |
| Beacon Charter School | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 76 | 60 | 77 | 73 | 79 |
| Blackstone Academy | 73 | 79 | 60 | 88 | 80 | 82 | 86 | 90 | 80 |
| Bristol Warren | 84 | 83 | 79 | 82 | 87 | 83 | 87 | 86 | 87 |
| Burrillville | 85 | 78 | 73 | 85 | 87 | 78 | 87 | 88 | 87 |
| Central Falls | 47 | 56 | 56 | 54 | 54 | 56 | 70 | 62 | 56 |
| Chariho | 86 | 87 | 80 | 82 | 89 | 87 | 88 | 85 | 89 |
| Coventry | 79 | 84 | 81 | 81 | 82 | 85 | 83 | 83 | 83 |
| Cranston | 80 | 84 | 83 | 81 | 82 | 85 | 77 | 84 | 83 |
| Cumberland | 83 | 84 | 80 | 83 | 85 | 84 | 79 | 85 | 85 |
| Davies Career-Tech | 59 | 79 | 79 | 77 | 66 | 79 | 75 | 83 | 68 |
| DCYF | 5 | 7 | 19 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 14 | 8 |
| East Greenwich | 94 | 96 | 95 | 96 | 94 | 96 | 95 | 97 | 95 |
| East Providence | 76 | 78 | 72 | 76 | 79 | 78 | 67 | 81 | 79 |
| Exeter-West Greenwich | 88 | 90 | 87 | 89 | 90 | 90 | 86 | 90 | 90 |
| Foster-Glocester | 88 | 89 | 95 | 83 | 89 | 89 | 93 | 85 | 90 |
| Johnston | 73 | 80 | 65 | 67 | 76 | 82 | 82 | 72 | 76 |
| Lincoln | 85 | 84 | 88 | 84 | 86 | 84 | 83 | 87 | 87 |
| MET Career and Tech | 76 | 81 | 91 | 82 | 83 | 82 | 81 | 90 | 83 |
| Middletown | 82 | 90 | 83 | 82 | 86 | 90 | 72 | 83 | 86 |
| Narragansett | 87 | 95 | 90 | 90 | 87 | 95 | 84 | 91 | 87 |
| New Shoreham |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newport | 74 | 67 | 64 | 77 | 78 | 67 | 81 | 79 | 80 |
| North Kingstown | 92 | 90 | 92 | 86 | 93 | 90 | 88 | 89 | 94 |
| North Providence | 81 | 90 | 89 | 80 | 83 | 90 | 93 | 82 | 83 |
| North Smithfield | 86 | 91 | 90 | 83 | 87 | 91 | 92 | 89 | 87 |
| Pawtucket | 56 | 64 | 51 | 59 | 61 | 65 | 63 | 66 | 62 |
| Portsmouth | 83 | 88 | 89 | 86 | 85 | 89 | 89 | 88 | 85 |
| Providence | 66 | 67 | 64 | 68 | 69 | 67 | 66 | 72 | 70 |
| RI School for the Deaf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scituate | 85 | 86 | 87 | 93 | 86 | 86 | 91 | 94 | 86 |
| Smithfield | 90 | 90 | 86 | 91 | 91 | 90 | 92 | 92 | 91 |
| South Kingstown | 86 | 87 | 87 | 86 | 89 | 88 | 84 | 88 | 89 |
| Tiverton | 83 | 83 | 80 | 77 | 83 | 83 | 84 | 80 | 83 |
| Warwick | 75 | 74 | 69 | 77 | 77 | 75 | 82 | 79 | 77 |
| West Warwick | 69 | 70 | 72 | 68 | 71 | 70 | 75 | 73 | 72 |
| Westerly | 90 | 89 | 93 | 90 | 91 | 89 | 88 | 91 | 91 |
| Woonsocket | 64 | 64 | 56 | 63 | 68 | 65 | 63 | 71 | 70 |
| STATE: | 76 | 77 | 74 | 77 | 79 | 77 | 77 | 80 | 79 |

NOTE: This year's report includes 6 year graduation rates. In the table above, the Class of 2009 contains traditional 4 -year student graduation rates (blue column), 5 -year rates of the 2008 cohort (purple column) and 6-year rates of the 2007 cohort (gray column). To see how the 2009 graduation cohort of students fared (students who entered as freshman in the 2005-06 school year), you must look across the three years, (2009, 2010 and 2011) and by 4 -year, 5 -year and 6 -year rates, respectively. Cohorts are color-coded: the Cohort of 2008 is shaded in purple; the Cohort of 2009 is shaded in blue and the Cohort of 2010 is shaded in orange. Under the Class of 2011, one column is un-shaded to represent the 4 -year graduation rate for 2011 cohort.

## School-Level Analyses

## Question 7:

How did public schools in RI perform on the NECAP Reading, Mathematics, and Writing assessments?

## Response:

In reading at the elementary school level, Fort Barton School in Tiverton and Meadowbrook Farms School in East Greenwich tied for the highest percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher on the NECAP Reading test, with both schools having a 97\% proficiency rate. Only 2 schools (Winters Elementary in Pawtucket and Horgan Elementary in West Warwick) made statistically significant gains in the percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher while 4 schools (Hampden Meadows Elementary in Barrington; Hennessey Elementary in East Providence; Feinstein Elementary at Broad Street in Providence; and Messer Elementary in Providence) had significant losses. In mathematics at the elementary school level, James H. Eldredge Elementary School in East Greenwich and Matunuck School in South Kingstown tied for the highest percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher on the NECAP Mathematics test, with both schools having a $91 \%$ proficiency rate. Only 2 elementary schools (Blackstone Valley Prep Charter School and The Learning Community Charter School) made statistically significant gains while only 1 (Messer Elementary in Providence) had significant losses. All other elementary schools reported differences that were not statistically significant. See Table 25 for reading and mathematics results at the elementary school level.

In reading at the middle school level, Wilbur and McMahon School in Little Compton had the highest percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher on the NECAP Reading test, with a proficiency rate of $95 \%$. A total of 10 schools (Feinstein Middle in Coventry; Ponaganset Middle in FosterGlocester; Thompson Middle in Newport; Davisville Middle in North Kingstown; Hopkins, Stuart, Bishop, and Greene Middle Schools in Providence; Scituate Middle in Scituate; and Tiverton Middle in Tiverton) made statistically significant gains while no middle schools in the state had losses compared to last year's results. In mathematics at the middle school level, The Compass School had the highest percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher on the NECAP Mathematics test, with a proficiency rate of 94\%. A total of 7 schools (Kickemuit Middle in Bristol Warren; Park View and Western Hills Middle Schools in Cranston; Ponaganset Middle in Foster-Glocester; Stuart and Bishop Middle Schools in Providence; and Segue Institute for Learning) made significant gains while none had losses this year in the percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher in mathematics. All other middle schools reported differences that were not statistically significant. It bears noting that only 3 schools in the state made statistically significant gains in both reading and mathematics and they were all middle schools: Ponaganset Middle in Foster-Glocester, Stuart Middle School in Providence, and Bishop Middle School in Providence. See Table 27 for middle school reading and mathematics results.

In reading at the high school level, Jaqueline M. Walsh School for the Performing and Visual Arts in Pawtucket and The Greene School tied for the highest percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher on the NECAP Reading test, with both schools having a 100\% proficiency rate. Only 3 schools (Chariho Regional High; Westerly High; and Woonsocket High) made statistically significant gains while only 1 (Ponaganset High in Foster-Glocester) had a lower percentage of students achieve proficiency or higher in reading this year compared to last year's results. In mathematics at the high school level, Barrington High School and Block Island School in New Shoreham tied for the highest
percentage of students achieving proficiency or higher on the NECAP Mathematics test, with both schools having a 69\% proficiency rate. Only 2 schools (The RYSE School in Chariho and Providence Career \& Technology Center in Providence) made gains while only 1 (ACES in Providence) had a lower percentage of students achieve proficiency or higher in mathematics this year compared to last year's results. All other high schools reported differences that were not statistically significant. See Table 29 for reading and mathematics results at the high school level.

Finally, although changes from 2010 to 2011 in reading or mathematics performance in all other schools were not considered statistically significant, 65 schools had $75 \%$ or more students achieve proficiency in both reading and mathematics and 4 of these schools had $90 \%$ or more students achieve proficiency or high in both reading and mathematics (see Table 32). Gains in reading and mathematics achievement from 2007 to 2011 were also encouraging. A total of 56 schools demonstrated significant improvement in both reading and mathematics achievement compared to their performance back in 2007 (see Table 31). A total of 91 schools improved just in reading and 74 schools made improvement just in mathematics (see Appendices E and F). In contrast, only 20 schools (all of which are elementary schools) failed to make statistically significant improvement in either reading or mathematics over that same time period (See Appendix G) and 31 schools had less than $50 \%$ of students achieving proficiency (3 of which had less than 25\%) while 97 schools had less than a $50 \%$ proficiency rate (30 of which had less than $25 \%$ ). See Tables 33 and 34 for details.

In writing at the elementary school level, the highest performing school was William Winsor School in Smithfield, where $92 \%$ of the students achieved proficiency or higher. Altogether, 40 schools had higher percentages of students achieving proficiency or above than the state average of $55 \%$ and 36 had lower percentages. The remaining schools were not significantly different from the state's overall performance at the elementary school level See Table 26 for writing results at the elementary school level.

At the middle school level, the highest performing school was Block Island School in New Shoreham, where the highest percentage of students achieved proficiency or higher on the NECAP Writing test. Altogether, 24 schools out-performed the state average of $59 \%$ while 17 schools had lower percentages of students achieving proficiency or above. The remaining schools were not significantly different from the state. See Table 28 for writing results at the middle school level.

At the high school level, the highest performing school was Middletown High School, where 82\% of the students achieved proficiency or higher on the NECAP Writing test. Altogether, 24 schools had higher percentages of students achieving proficiency or above than the state average of $51 \%$ and 18 schools were lower. The remaining schools' percentages of students achieving proficiency or above were not significantly different from the state’s at the high school level. See Table 30 for writing results at the high school level.

## SUPPORTING DATA:

Tables 25 through 30 delineate results by school level (elementary, middle, and high school) and Tables 31-34 delineate by individual school performance. See also Appendices E, F, and G.

Table 25. Percent of students at/above "proficient" in reading/math: ELEMENTARY SChOOLS. ${ }^{20}$

| District | School | Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline \text { '09 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SE } \\ & ‘ 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \hline \mathbf{N} 11 \end{gathered}$ | $\%$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SE } \\ & \text { '11 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Diff. \% '10-'11 | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline \text { '09 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SE } \\ & \text { '10 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \hline 1 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \% \\ & \text { '11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SE } \\ & \text { '11 } \end{aligned}$ | Diff. \% '10-'11 |
| Barrington | Hampden Mead. ES | 93 | 93 | 1.1 | 543 | 87 | 1.4 | -6 | 88 | 87 | 1.4 | 545 | 85 | 1.5 | -1 |
|  | Nayatt ES | 98 | 92 | 2.7 | 94 | 95 | 2.3 | 3 | 93 | 90 | 2.9 | 95 | 87 | 3.4 | -2 |
|  | Primrose Hill ES | 89 | 98 | 1.6 | 90 | 93 | 2.6 | -4 | 84 | 90 | 3.3 | 90 | 77 | 4.5 | -13 |
|  | Sowams ES | 94 | 90 | 3.4 | 74 | 95 | 2.6 | 5 | 86 | 84 | 4.2 | 74 | 89 | 3.6 | 6 |
| Bstone Val Prep | Blackstone Valley Prep | $n / a$ | 61 | 4.9 | 203 | 68 | 3.3 | 7 | n/a | 48 | 5.0 | 203 | 72 | 3.1 | 25 |
| Bris. Warren | Colt Andrews ES | 79 | 81 | 2.8 | 211 | 74 | 3.0 | -6 | 74 | 76 | 3.0 | 210 | 73 | 3.1 | -3 |
|  | Guiteras ES | 84 | 84 | 3.1 | 132 | 73 | 3.8 | -11 | 80 | 83 | 3.1 | 133 | 78 | 3.6 | -5 |
|  | Hugh Cole ES | 71 | 72 | 2.8 | 290 | 73 | 2.6 | 1 | 68 | 71 | 2.8 | 292 | 69 | 2.7 | -1 |
|  | Rockwell ES | 86 | 85 | 3.1 | 158 | 85 | 2.8 | 1 | 84 | 80 | 3.4 | 158 | 85 | 2.9 | 5 |
| Burrillville | Steere Farm ES | 73 | 70 | 2.6 | 328 | 79 | 2.3 | 8 | 69 | 62 | 2.7 | 328 | 71 | 2.5 | 9 |
|  | Callahan ES | 65 | 67 | 2.8 | 274 | 71 | 2.7 | 4 | 68 | 64 | 2.9 | 274 | 62 | 2.9 | -1 |
| Central Falls | Ella Risk ES | 57 | 55 | 3.6 | 225 | 44 | 3.3 | -11 | 41 | 46 | 3.5 | 227 | 36 | 3.2 | -10 |
|  | Robertson | 73 | 51 | 3.8 | 198 | 52 | 3.6 | 1 | 66 | 38 | 3.6 | 199 | 46 | 3.5 | 7 |
|  | Veterans Mem.ES | 46 | 53 | 3.2 | 215 | 50 | 3.4 | -3 | 41 | 41 | 3.1 | 224 | 37 | 3.2 | -5 |
| Chariho | Ashaway ES | 86 | 76 | 4.5 | 104 | 88 | 3.2 | 12 | 81 | 77 | 4.5 | 104 | 83 | 3.7 | 6 |
|  | Charlestown ES | 82 | 80 | 3.3 | 127 | 89 | 2.8 | 9 | 82 | 79 | 3.4 | 128 | 80 | 3.5 | 2 |
|  | Hope Valley ES | 92 | 91 | 3.1 | 80 | 93 | 2.9 | 2 | 85 | 85 | 3.9 | 80 | 85 | 4.0 | 0 |
|  | Richmond ES | 89 | 93 | 1.9 | 182 | 94 | 1.8 | 1 | 84 | 92 | 2.1 | 182 | 88 | 2.4 | -3 |
| Coventry | Blackrock ES | 83 | 80 | 2.7 | 209 | 82 | 2.6 | 3 | 74 | 76 | 2.9 | 209 | 72 | 3.1 | -4 |
|  | Hopkins Hill ES | 73 | 81 | 2.7 | 195 | 76 | 3.0 | -5 | 72 | 70 | 3.2 | 195 | 74 | 3.1 | 4 |
|  | Tiogue ES | 78 | 77 | 2.8 | 209 | 81 | 2.7 | 4 | 69 | 67 | 3.2 | 209 | 72 | 3.1 | 5 |
|  | Washington Oak ES | 81 | 82 | 2.1 | 302 | 83 | 2.2 | 0 | 72 | 74 | 2.4 | 300 | 80 | 2.3 | 5 |
|  | Western Cov. ES | 88 | 88 | 2.3 | 215 | 85 | 2.4 | -3 | 84 | 76 | 2.9 | 215 | 80 | 2.7 | 4 |
| Cranston | Arlington ES | 73 | 81 | 3.1 | 186 | 75 | 3.2 | -7 | 57 | 62 | 3.8 | 186 | 53 | 3.7 | -9 |
|  | Barrows ES | 76 | 79 | 3.7 | 131 | 71 | 4.0 | -8 | 55 | 62 | 4.4 | 131 | 62 | 4.2 | 0 |
|  | Waterman ES | 80 | 78 | 3.4 | 162 | 86 | 2.7 | 8 | 65 | 63 | 3.9 | 161 | 68 | 3.7 | 4 |
|  | Eden Park ES | 76 | 77 | 3.1 | 204 | 76 | 3.0 | 0 | 61 | 62 | 3.5 | 204 | 64 | 3.4 | 2 |
|  | Edgewood High. ES | 65 | 66 | 3.7 | 148 | 57 | 4.1 | -9 | 47 | 42 | 3.9 | 153 | 44 | 4.0 | 2 |
|  | Rhodes ES | 81 | 73 | 3.6 | 199 | 75 | 3.1 | 2 | 73 | 69 | 3.8 | 200 | 65 | 3.4 | -4 |
|  | Garden City ES | 80 | 86 | 2.8 | 163 | 79 | 3.2 | -8 | 65 | 77 | 3.4 | 163 | 63 | 3.8 | -14 |
|  | Peters ES | 69 | 74 | 3.3 | 197 | 69 | 3.3 | -5 | 50 | 61 | 3.7 | 197 | 54 | 3.5 | -7 |
|  | Gladstone Street ES | 73 | 59 | 2.9 | 280 | 57 | 3.0 | -2 | 59 | 45 | 2.9 | 289 | 43 | 2.9 | -2 |
|  | Glen Hills ES | 80 | 80 | 2.9 | 206 | 80 | 2.8 | 1 | 64 | 66 | 3.4 | 206 | 65 | 3.3 | -1 |
|  | Hope Highlands ES | 87 | 88 | 2.2 | 244 | 85 | 2.3 | -4 | 74 | 76 | 2.9 | 244 | 80 | 2.6 | 4 |
|  | Oak Lawn ES | 85 | 81 | 2.8 | 174 | 79 | 3.1 | -2 | 72 | 65 | 3.5 | 174 | 74 | 3.3 | 10 |
|  | Orchard Farms ES | 90 | 89 | 2.0 | 227 | 87 | 2.2 | -1 | 79 | 78 | 2.6 | 227 | 78 | 2.8 | 0 |
|  | Stadium ES | 73 | 74 | 3.2 | 198 | 74 | 3.1 | 1 | 54 | 59 | 3.6 | 198 | 63 | 3.4 | 4 |
|  | Stone Hill ES | 83 | 87 | 2.6 | 185 | 88 | 2.4 | 1 | 70 | 70 | 3.5 | 185 | 68 | 3.4 | -2 |
|  | Dutemple ES | 70 | 74 | 3.3 | 164 | 85 | 2.8 | 10 | 51 | 51 | 3.8 | 164 | 56 | 3.9 | 5 |
|  | Woodridge ES | 92 | 88 | 2.3 | 190 | 88 | 2.3 | 0 | 76 | 74 | 3.1 | 190 | 73 | 3.2 | -2 |
| Cumberland | Ashton ES | 72 | 69 | 3.7 | 175 | 80 | 3.0 | 11 | 65 | 61 | 3.9 | 175 | 73 | 3.4 | 11 |
|  | B.F. Norton ES | 56 | 64 | 4.1 | 159 | 73 | 3.5 | 9 | 52 | 56 | 4.2 | 162 | 53 | 3.9 | -3 |
|  | Community ES | 85 | 88 | 1.9 | 295 | 93 | 1.5 | 5 | 78 | 79 | 2.3 | 295 | 86 | 2.0 | 7 |
|  | Garvin Memorial ES | 74 | 73 | 3.3 | 179 | 80 | 3.0 | 7 | 63 | 67 | 3.5 | 179 | 66 | 3.5 | -1 |
|  | Cumberland Hill ES | 79 | 80 | 2.4 | 303 | 86 | 2.0 | 7 | 67 | 69 | 2.8 | 303 | 75 | 2.5 | 6 |
| E. Greenwich | Frenchtown ES | 96 | 90 | 3.2 | 101 | 88 | 3.2 | -2 | 92 | 88 | 3.6 | 101 | 83 | 3.7 | -5 |
|  | Hanaford ES | 88 | 92 | 1.7 | 173 | 92 | 2.1 | 0 | 85 | 82 | 2.4 | 172 | 88 | 2.4 | 6 |
|  | Eldredge ES | 86 | 86 | 2.1 | 181 | 92 | 2.0 | 5 | 85 | 87 | 2.1 | 181 | 91 | 2.2 | 4 |
|  | Meadowbrook Farms | 98 | 86 | 4.0 | 78 | 97 | 1.8 | 11 | 95 | 85 | 4.1 | 78 | 85 | 4.1 | -1 |
| E. Providence | Hennessey ES | 65 | 67 | 4.0 | 150 | 51 | 4.1 | -16 | 55 | 54 | 4.3 | 150 | 41 | 4.0 | -13 |
|  | Waddington ES | 76 | 69 | 3.1 | 221 | 67 | 3.2 | -2 | 65 | 64 | 3.2 | 221 | 63 | 3.2 | 0 |
|  | Whiteknact ES | 52 | 55 | 4.2 | 145 | 55 | 4.1 | 0 | 37 | 43 | 4.2 | 145 | 46 | 4.1 | 3 |
|  | Oldham ES | 59 | 59 | 5.6 | 76 | 62 | 5.6 | 3 | 50 | 62 | 5.6 | 76 | 58 | 5.7 | -4 |
|  | Kent Heights ES | 71 | 61 | 4.0 | 141 | 67 | 4.0 | 6 | 61 | 58 | 4.0 | 141 | 57 | 4.2 | 0 |
|  | Francis ES | 83 | 81 | 2.6 | 218 | 82 | 2.6 | 1 | 80 | 71 | 3.0 | 217 | 79 | 2.8 | 8 |
|  | Orlo Avenue ES | 46 | 54 | 4.1 | 136 | 64 | 4.1 | 10 | 39 | 47 | 4.1 | 136 | 54 | 4.3 | 6 |
|  | Silver Spring ES | 75 | 75 | 4.0 | 119 | 77 | 3.8 | 3 | 68 | 62 | 4.5 | 119 | 67 | 4.3 | 5 |
| Ext-W. Grnw | Metcalf ES | 77 | 80 | 1.7 | 533 | 82 | 1.7 | 1 | 77 | 80 | 1.7 | 533 | 81 | 1.7 | 1 |

[^11]| District | School | Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline \text { '09 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SE } \\ & \text { '10 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { '11 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SE } \\ & \mathfrak{\prime} 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diff. \% } \\ & \hline 1011 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline \mathbf{~} 09 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \mathbf{\%} 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SE } \\ & \text { '10 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} N \\ \mathbf{N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \text { '11 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SE } \\ & \text { '11 } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diff. \% } \\ & \text { '10-'11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Foster | Paine ES | 82 | 74 | 3.6 | 137 | 86 | 3.0 | 12 | 81 | 74 | 3.7 | 137 | 80 | 3.4 | 6 |
| Glocester | Fogarty Memorial | 81 | 82 | 2.9 | 190 | 79 | 2.9 | -2 | 75 | 70 | 3.4 | 190 | 68 | 3.4 | -2 |
|  | West Glocester ES | 77 | 69 | 4.1 | 124 | 69 | 4.1 | 1 | 67 | 62 | 4.2 | 124 | 61 | 4.4 | -1 |
| Highlander | *Highlander Charter | 46 | 61 | 5.7 | 108 | 68 | 4.5 | 6 | 38 | 43 | 5.8 | 108 | 53 | 4.8 | 10 |
| International | International Charter | 69 | 58 | 4.2 | 154 | 65 | 3.8 | 7 | 58 | 54 | 4.2 | 154 | 62 | 3.9 | 8 |
| Jamestown | Jamestown ES | 77 | 83 | 3.9 | 114 | 86 | 3.3 | 3 | 78 | 82 | 4.0 | 114 | 82 | 3.6 | 1 |
| Johnston | Brown Avenue ES | 84 | 79 | 3.6 | 136 | 79 | 3.5 | -1 | 71 | 71 | 4.1 | 136 | 79 | 3.5 | 8 |
|  | Barnes ES | 77 | 71 | 3.8 | 160 | 70 | 3.6 | -1 | 54 | 56 | 4.2 | 160 | 60 | 3.9 | 4 |
|  | Thornton ES | 71 | 61 | 3.5 | 216 | 64 | 3.3 | 4 | 54 | 47 | 3.6 | 216 | 46 | 3.4 | -1 |
|  | Winsor Hill ES | 74 | 70 | 3.2 | 208 | 74 | 3.0 | 4 | 58 | 58 | 3.4 | 207 | 50 | 3.5 | -8 |
| Kingston Hill | Kingston Hill Acad. | 76 | 87 | 4.3 | 81 | 88 | 3.7 | 1 | 55 | 81 | 5.0 | 81 | 88 | 3.7 | 7 |
| Lrng. Comm. | *Lng. Comm. Chrt. | 60 | 61 | 2.9 | 189 | 71 | 3.3 | 11 | 51 | 50 | 3.0 | 189 | 65 | 3.5 | 15 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln Central ES | 86 | 82 | 2.7 | 206 | 84 | 2.5 | 2 | 86 | 75 | 3.1 | 206 | 82 | 2.7 | 7 |
|  | Lonsdale ES | 85 | 86 | 3.1 | 138 | 86 | 3.0 | 0 | 80 | 75 | 3.9 | 138 | 83 | 3.2 | 7 |
|  | Northern Lincoln ES | 71 | 76 | 3.1 | 199 | 76 | 3.0 | 0 | 66 | 63 | 3.5 | 198 | 69 | 3.3 | 5 |
|  | Saylesville ES | 86 | 82 | 2.9 | 193 | 78 | 3.0 | -4 | 81 | 77 | 3.2 | 194 | 72 | 3.2 | -5 |
| L. Compton | *Wilbur \& McMahon | 82 | 81 | 3.9 | 99 | 89 | 3.2 | 8 | 78 | 81 | 3.9 | 99 | 75 | 4.4 | -7 |
| Middletown | Aquidneck ES | 74 | 78 | 4.4 | 71 | 82 | 4.6 | 4 | 71 | 69 | 4.8 | 71 | 76 | 5.1 | 7 |
|  | Forest Avenue ES | 87 | 80 | 4.0 | 88 | 83 | 4.0 | 3 | 73 | 71 | 4.6 | 93 | 65 | 5.0 | -6 |
|  | Gaudet Lng. Acad. | n/a | 73 | 3.4 | 194 | 77 | 3.0 | 4 | n/a | 63 | 3.6 | 199 | 66 | 3.3 | 4 |
| Narragansett | Narragansett ES | 83 | 88 | 2.4 | 175 | 88 | 2.5 | 0 | 77 | 78 | 3.0 | 175 | 83 | 2.8 | 4 |
| New Shore. | *Block Island ES | 85 | 89 | 4.5 | 49 | 88 | 4.7 | -2 | 83 | 81 | 5.7 | 49 | 86 | 5.0 | 5 |
| Newport | Coggeshall ES | 58 | 67 | 6.8 | 57 | 61 | 6.4 | -5 | 48 | 51 | 7.1 | 57 | 44 | 6.6 | -7 |
|  | Cranston - Calvert | 52 | 69 | 5.6 | 82 | 57 | 5.5 | -12 | 52 | 59 | 6.0 | 82 | 59 | 5.4 | 0 |
|  | Sullivan ES | 50 | 50 | 5.2 | 86 | 49 | 5.4 | -1 | 36 | 35 | 4.8 | 88 | 31 | 4.9 | -4 |
|  | Underwood ES | 71 | 82 | 4.5 | 79 | 85 | 4.0 | 3 | 65 | 66 | 5.4 | 85 | 73 | 4.8 | 7 |
| N. Kingstown | Fishing Cove ES | 79 | 66 | 4.6 | 111 | 68 | 4.4 | 3 | 76 | 70 | 4.4 | 110 | 64 | 4.6 | -7 |
|  | Forest Park ES | 96 | 86 | 2.9 | 131 | 82 | 3.4 | -4 | 85 | 75 | 3.6 | 131 | 73 | 3.9 | -2 |
|  | Hamilton ES | 80 | 86 | 2.2 | 250 | 85 | 2.2 | -1 | 80 | 85 | 2.2 | 251 | 86 | 2.2 | 1 |
|  | Stony Lane ES | 91 | 87 | 2.1 | 244 | 91 | 1.8 | 4 | 88 | 89 | 2.0 | 244 | 89 | 2.0 | 0 |
|  | Quidnessett ES | 75 | 73 | 3.5 | 167 | 74 | 3.4 | 0 | 67 | 62 | 3.9 | 168 | 62 | 3.7 | 0 |
| N. Providence | Centredale ES | 71 | 73 | 4.4 | 103 | 72 | 4.4 | -1 | 65 | 64 | 4.7 | 102 | 66 | 4.7 | 2 |
|  | Whelan ES | 69 | 78 | 4.5 | 100 | 90 | 3.0 | 12 | 68 | 67 | 5.1 | 100 | 71 | 4.5 | 4 |
|  | Greystone ES | 67 | 73 | 4.0 | 148 | 72 | 3.7 | -1 | 56 | 57 | 4.4 | 147 | 56 | 4.1 | -1 |
|  | McGuire ES | 67 | 67 | 4.3 | 139 | 68 | 3.9 | 1 | 59 | 59 | 4.5 | 142 | 58 | 4.1 | -1 |
|  | Marieville ES | 61 | 58 | 4.3 | 121 | 62 | 4.4 | 4 | 41 | 47 | 4.4 | 121 | 45 | 4.5 | -1 |
|  | Olney ES | 85 | 90 | 2.7 | 144 | 85 | 3.0 | -5 | 87 | 86 | 3.2 | 144 | 78 | 3.4 | -7 |
| N. Smithfield | Halliwell Memorial | 87 | 87 | 1.7 | 357 | 84 | 1.9 | -3 | 76 | 74 | 2.3 | 357 | 75 | 2.3 | 1 |
|  | N. Smithfield ES | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 47 | 91 | 4.1 | $n / a$ | n/a | n/a |  | 47 | 74 | 6.4 | $n / a$ |
| Paul Cuffee | *Paul Cuffee Charter | 65 | 74 | 3.5 | 163 | 72 | 3.5 | -2 | 62 | 64 | 3.8 | 163 | 66 | 3.7 | 2 |
| Pawtucket | Little ES | 57 | 59 | 3.3 | 242 | 63 | 3.1 | 5 | 49 | 44 | 3.3 | 241 | 54 | 3.2 | 10 |
|  | Curvin-McCabe ES | 68 | 72 | 2.9 | 245 | 67 | 3.0 | -4 | 67 | 60 | 3.2 | 245 | 62 | 3.1 | 2 |
|  | Baldwin ES | 51 | 57 | 2.5 | 395 | 54 | 2.5 | -3 | 38 | 42 | 2.4 | 409 | 47 | 2.5 | 6 |
|  | Fallon Memorial ES | 58 | 67 | 2.8 | 285 | 59 | 2.9 | -8 | 50 | 47 | 3.0 | 285 | 43 | 2.9 | -4 |
|  | Curtis Memorial ES | 66 | 66 | 4.0 | 161 | 61 | 3.8 | -4 | 47 | 57 | 4.2 | 161 | 46 | 3.9 | -11 |
|  | Varieur ES | 77 | 75 | 3.2 | 189 | 75 | 3.2 | 0 | 72 | 68 | 3.5 | 189 | 65 | 3.5 | -3 |
|  | Winters ES | 47 | 44 | 3.3 | 211 | 59 | 3.4 | 15 | 36 | 32 | 3.1 | 213 | 38 | 3.3 | 5 |
|  | Cunningham ES | 56 | 57 | 3.1 | 291 | 56 | 2.9 | 0 | 43 | 43 | 2.9 | 305 | 43 | 2.8 | 0 |
|  | Greene ES | 54 | 60 | 2.9 | 298 | 55 | 2.9 | -6 | 50 | 48 | 3.0 | 298 | 44 | 2.9 | -3 |
|  | Potter-Burns ES | 62 | 64 | 2.7 | 302 | 70 | 2.6 | 7 | 50 | 55 | 2.8 | 302 | 59 | 2.8 | 4 |
| Portsmouth | Hathaway ES | 79 | 85 | 3.2 | 98 | 88 | 3.3 | 3 | 73 | 82 | 3.5 | 99 | 86 | 3.5 | 4 |
|  | Melville ES | 85 | 89 | 3.4 | 75 | 91 | 3.4 | 2 | 85 | 89 | 3.4 | 75 | 84 | 4.2 | -5 |
| Providence | Feinstein-Broad St. | 56 | 63 | 3.8 | 194 | 46 | 3.6 | -17 | 35 | 47 | 3.9 | 198 | 36 | 3.4 | -10 |
|  | Lima ES | 49 | 52 | 3.7 | 523 | 42 | 2.2 | -9 | 30 | 45 | 3.7 | 534 | 39 | 2.1 | -7 |
|  | Carnevale ES | 61 | 55 | 3.5 | 277 | 52 | 3.0 | -4 | 55 | 49 | 3.5 | 277 | 49 | 3.0 | 0 |
|  | Messer ES | 50 | 53 | 3.6 | 287 | 35 | 2.8 | -18 | 30 | 44 | 3.5 | 293 | 30 | 2.7 | -14 |
|  | Lauro ES | 41 | 40 | 2.5 | 427 | 36 | 2.3 | -4 | 31 | 35 | 2.4 | 455 | 32 | 2.2 | -3 |
|  | Dr. MLK Jr. ES | 62 | 69 | 3.5 | 302 | 59 | 2.8 | -11 | 42 | 47 | 3.8 | 301 | 43 | 2.9 | -4 |
|  | Spaziano Ave. ES | 45 | 46 | 3.1 | 312 | 35 | 2.7 | -11 | 28 | 36 | 2.9 | 323 | 29 | 2.5 | -7 |
|  | West ES | 41 | 42 | 2.6 | 348 | 40 | 2.6 | -2 | 31 | 35 | 2.5 | 355 | 32 | 2.5 | -3 |
|  | Kizirian ES | 35 | 45 | 3.1 | 280 | 43 | 3.0 | -2 | 25 | 32 | 2.9 | 282 | 31 | 2.8 | -1 |
|  | Feinstein Sackett St. | 36 | 34 | 3.3 | 220 | 34 | 3.2 | 0 | 19 | 25 | 2.9 | 233 | 30 | 3.0 | 5 |


| District | School | Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline \text { '09 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SE } \\ & \mathfrak{\prime} 10 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline \text { '11 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SE } \\ & \mathfrak{\prime} 11 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diff. \% } \\ & \text { '10-'11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline \mathbf{0 9} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \mathbf{\%} 10 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { SE } \\ & \mathfrak{\prime} 10 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{N} \\ \mathrm{~N} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \% \\ \hline \text { '11 } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { SE } \\ \mathbf{c} 11 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. \% } \\ \text { '10-'11 } \end{gathered}$ |
| Providence | Fogarty ES | 40 | 38 | 3.3 | 215 | 30 | 3.1 | -8 | 18 | 26 | 3.0 | 218 | 26 | 3.0 | -1 |
|  | Pleasant View ES | 46 | 46 | 4.0 | 151 | 42 | 4.0 | -5 | 19 | 24 | 3.5 | 151 | 33 | 3.8 | 9 |
|  | Reservoir Ave. ES | 53 | 75 | 4.1 | 154 | 60 | 3.9 | -15 | 38 | 56 | 4.7 | 153 | 50 | 4.0 | -6 |
|  | Kennedy ES | 64 | 66 | 2.9 | 257 | 69 | 2.9 | 3 | 42 | 48 | 3.0 | 257 | 53 | 3.1 | 5 |
|  | Bailey ES | 49 | 48 | 3.9 | 213 | 42 | 3.4 | -6 | 32 | 40 | 3.9 | 213 | 28 | 3.1 | -12 |
|  | C. Young/C. Woods | 33 | 38 | 4.0 | 297 | 35 | 2.8 | -3 | 26 | 29 | 3.8 | 302 | 23 | 2.4 | -6 |
|  | *Times2 Academy | 67 | 68 | 3.3 | 205 | 67 | 3.3 | -1 | 41 | 49 | 3.5 | 205 | 60 | 3.4 | 11 |
|  | Vartan Gregorian ES | 64 | 69 | 3.5 | 178 | 61 | 3.7 | -8 | 58 | 66 | 3.6 | 178 | 66 | 3.5 | 1 |
|  | Veazie Street ES | 49 | 60 | 3.0 | 293 | 61 | 2.8 | 1 | 41 | 55 | 3.0 | 293 | 60 | 2.9 | 5 |
|  | Webster Avenue ES | 66 | 62 | 3.8 | 190 | 58 | 3.6 | -4 | 45 | 42 | 3.8 | 189 | 45 | 3.6 | 3 |
|  | D'Abate ES | 43 | 52 | 3.5 | 193 | 50 | 3.6 | -2 | 27 | 45 | 3.4 | 198 | 49 | 3.6 | 4 |
| RI Deaf | *RI Sch. for Deaf |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |
| Scituate | Clayville ES | 82 | 79 | 3.7 | 94 | 90 | 3.0 | 11 | 76 | 75 | 4.0 | 94 | 82 | 4.0 | 7 |
|  | Hope ES | 81 | 76 | 3.8 | 123 | 83 | 3.4 | 7 | 74 | 75 | 3.8 | 124 | 73 | 4.0 | -3 |
|  | North Scituate ES | 91 | 88 | 2.9 | 132 | 86 | 3.1 | -3 | 84 | 79 | 3.6 | 132 | 80 | 3.5 | 2 |
| Smithfield | McCabe ES | 83 | 87 | 2.6 | 152 | 86 | 2.9 | -2 | 74 | 81 | 3.1 | 152 | 80 | 3.3 | -1 |
|  | Old County Rd. ES | 85 | 84 | 3.3 | 133 | 86 | 3.0 | 2 | 77 | 77 | 3.7 | 134 | 78 | 3.6 | 1 |
|  | LaPerche ES | 94 | 89 | 2.8 | 131 | 91 | 2.5 | 2 | 87 | 88 | 2.9 | 131 | 86 | 3.0 | -2 |
|  | Winsor ES | 91 | 95 | 1.8 | 128 | 93 | 2.3 | -2 | 85 | 87 | 2.9 | 128 | 85 | 3.1 | -2 |
| S. Kingstown | Matunuck ES | 91 | 83 | 3.5 | 103 | 92 | 2.6 | 9 | 92 | 87 | 3.1 | 103 | 91 | 2.8 | 4 |
|  | Peace Dale ES | 84 | 83 | 2.9 | 164 | 86 | 2.7 | 3 | 82 | 82 | 3.0 | 164 | 85 | 2.8 | 3 |
|  | Wakefield ES | 82 | 82 | 4.0 | 103 | 85 | 3.5 | 4 | 78 | 82 | 4.0 | 103 | 78 | 4.1 | -4 |
|  | West Kingston ES | 78 | 93 | 2.7 | 103 | 87 | 3.3 | -5 | 82 | 84 | 3.7 | 104 | 85 | 3.5 | 1 |
| Tiverton | Fort Barton ES | 82 | 90 | 3.2 | 76 | 97 | 1.8 | 7 | 82 | 86 | 3.8 | 76 | 88 | 3.7 | 2 |
|  | Pocasset ES | 70 | 77 | 4.3 | 89 | 84 | 3.9 | 8 | 70 | 82 | 3.9 | 89 | 84 | 3.9 | 3 |
|  | Ranger ES | 77 | 75 | 4.1 | 108 | 84 | 3.5 | 9 | 83 | 81 | 3.7 | 108 | 74 | 4.2 | -7 |
| Warwick | Cedar Hill ES | 90 | 88 | 2.1 | 249 | 87 | 2.1 | -1 | 77 | 81 | 2.5 | 249 | 76 | 2.7 | -5 |
|  | Hoxsie ES | 80 | 76 | 3.0 | 208 | 75 | 3.0 | -1 | 64 | 60 | 3.5 | 208 | 57 | 3.4 | -3 |
|  | Robertson ES | 84 | 84 | 2.7 | 179 | 87 | 2.5 | 4 | 74 | 77 | 3.1 | 179 | 77 | 3.1 | 0 |
|  | Francis ES | 82 | 81 | 3.0 | 161 | 80 | 3.1 | -1 | 69 | 67 | 3.6 | 160 | 64 | 3.8 | -3 |
|  | Greenwood ES | 82 | 81 | 2.9 | 187 | 78 | 3.1 | -3 | 73 | 72 | 3.3 | 187 | 70 | 3.4 | -2 |
|  | Scott ES | 84 | 78 | 3.0 | 163 | 79 | 3.2 | 1 | 71 | 68 | 3.4 | 162 | 71 | 3.6 | 3 |
|  | Holliman ES | 74 | 77 | 3.0 | 191 | 74 | 3.2 | -3 | 66 | 68 | 3.3 | 191 | 59 | 3.6 | -9 |
|  | Wickes ES | 74 | 71 | 3.2 | 203 | 75 | 3.0 | 4 | 57 | 63 | 3.4 | 204 | 59 | 3.4 | -4 |
|  | Lippitt ES | 74 | 71 | 3.9 | 131 | 72 | 3.9 | 0 | 63 | 57 | 4.2 | 131 | 58 | 4.3 | 1 |
|  | Norwood ES | 81 | 87 | 2.7 | 156 | 81 | 3.2 | -6 | 72 | 66 | 3.7 | 156 | 67 | 3.8 | 0 |
|  | Oakland Beach ES | 70 | 72 | 3.2 | 199 | 76 | 3.0 | 3 | 61 | 67 | 3.4 | 198 | 67 | 3.4 | 0 |
|  | Park ES | 82 | 83 | 3.2 | 128 | 73 | 3.9 | -10 | 72 | 69 | 3.9 | 134 | 58 | 4.3 | -11 |
|  | Holden ES | 79 | 84 | 3.2 | 146 | 88 | 2.7 | 3 | 70 | 86 | 3.1 | 146 | 75 | 3.6 | -11 |
|  | Sherman ES | 79 | 75 | 2.8 | 235 | 77 | 2.7 | 2 | 63 | 60 | 3.2 | 235 | 57 | 3.2 | -3 |
|  | Warwick Neck ES | 81 | 75 | 3.1 | 192 | 76 | 3.1 | 0 | 69 | 60 | 3.6 | 192 | 65 | 3.4 | 5 |
|  | Wyman ES | 78 | 76 | 3.2 | 186 | 78 | 3.0 | 3 | 68 | 62 | 3.6 | 186 | 64 | 3.5 | 2 |
| W. Warwick | Greenbush ES | 76 | 80 | 2.9 | 184 | 74 | 3.2 | -6 | 62 | 65 | 3.4 | 184 | 67 | 3.5 | 2 |
|  | Horgan ES | 69 | 56 | 3.5 | 192 | 71 | 3.3 | 15 | 54 | 53 | 3.5 | 192 | 66 | 3.4 | 13 |
|  | Wakefield Hills ES | 69 | 63 | 4.1 | 153 | 67 | 3.8 | 3 | 63 | 51 | 4.2 | 153 | 45 | 4.0 | -6 |
| Westerly | Bradford ES | 69 | 67 | 7.9 | 34 | 62 | 8.3 | -5 | 75 | 75 | 7.2 | 34 | 68 | 8.0 | -7 |
|  | Dunn's Corners ES | 85 | 82 | 3.4 | 138 | 91 | 2.5 | 8 | 78 | 80 | 3.6 | 138 | 80 | 3.4 | 0 |
|  | Springbrook ES | 77 | 79 | 3.7 | 116 | 86 | 3.2 | 7 | 70 | 68 | 4.2 | 119 | 76 | 3.9 | 8 |
|  | State Street ES | 84 | 76 | 3.8 | 124 | 85 | 3.2 | 9 | 77 | 76 | 3.9 | 124 | 77 | 3.8 | 1 |
| Woonsocket | Bernon Heights ES | 75 | 73 | 3.1 | 222 | 63 | 3.2 | -10 | 64 | 68 | 3.2 | 221 | 62 | 3.3 | -6 |
|  | Citizens Memorial | 48 | 57 | 3.2 | 263 | 59 | 3.0 | 1 | 37 | 47 | 3.2 | 267 | 50 | 3.1 | 3 |
|  | Globe Park ES | 67 | 75 | 3.0 | 225 | 67 | 3.1 | -8 | 65 | 69 | 3.2 | 226 | 67 | 3.1 | -2 |
|  | Harris ES | 57 | 59 | 3.5 | 190 | 54 | 3.6 | -5 | 50 | 50 | 3.6 | 190 | 52 | 3.6 | 2 |
|  | Coleman ES | 44 | 45 | 3.2 | 215 | 47 | 3.4 | 2 | 30 | 33 | 2.9 | 221 | 42 | 3.3 | 9 |
|  | Savoie ES | 64 | 64 | 3.4 | 205 | 60 | 3.4 | -4 | 54 | 54 | 3.6 | 208 | 59 | 3.4 | 5 |

Key
$\frac{\text { Kev }}{\text { SE }}=$
日
$\square=$
$\square=$
$\square=$
Standard Error (see Appendix B)
Statistically significant decrease in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
Statistically significant increase in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
No statistically significant change between 2010 and 2011 NECAP results
Elementary school with the highest percentage of students who scored Proficient or higher on either the NECAP Reading or NECAP Mathematics test.
See Appendix C

Table 26. Percent of students at/above "proficient" in writing: Elementary Schools. ${ }^{21} 22$

| District | School | School \% Prof. | SE | $\begin{gathered} \text { State \% } \\ \text { Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | SE | School/State Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrington | Hampden Meadows School | 70 | 2.8 | 55 | . 48 | 15 |
| Blackstone Valley Prep | Blackstone Valley Prep Middle School (gr. 5) | 42 | 5.0 | 55 | . 48 | -12 |
| Bristol Warren | Colt Andrews School | 63 | 6.1 | 55 | . 48 | 9 |
|  | Guiteras School | 58 | 7.5 | 55 | . 48 | 3 |
|  | Hugh Cole School | 54 | 5.0 | 55 | . 48 | -1 |
|  | Rockwell School | 80 | 5.8 | 55 | . 48 | 25 |
| Burrillville | Steere Farm Elementary School | 66 | 4.7 | 55 | . 48 | 11 |
|  | William L. Callahan School | 62 | 5.0 | 55 | . 48 | 8 |
| Central Falls | Margaret I. Robertson School | 36 | 3.4 | 55 | . 48 | -18 |
| Chariho | Chariho Regional Middle School (gr. 5) | 67 | 3.0 | 55 | . 48 | 12 |
| Coventry | Blackrock School | 65 | 5.7 | 55 | . 48 | 10 |
|  | Hopkins Hill School | 47 | 6.0 | 55 | . 48 | -8 |
|  | Tiogue School | 34 | 5.3 | 55 | . 48 | -21 |
|  | Washington Oak School | 53 | 4.7 | 55 | . 48 | -2 |
|  | Western Coventry School | 59 | 6.1 | 55 | . 48 | 5 |
| Cranston | Arlington School | 66 | 8.8 | 55 | . 48 | 11 |
|  | Chester W. Barrows School | 54 | 8.0 | 55 | . 48 | -1 |
|  | Daniel D. Waterman School | 49 | 7.6 | 55 | . 48 | -6 |
|  | Eden Park School | 76 | 5.7 | 55 | . 48 | 22 |
|  | Edgewood Highland School | 55 | 9.2 | 55 | . 48 | 0 |
|  | Edward S. Rhodes School | 55 | 7.7 | 55 | . 48 | 0 |
|  | Garden City School | 70 | 7.2 | 55 | . 48 | 15 |
|  | George J. Peters School | 63 | 7.0 | 55 | . 48 | 8 |
|  | Gladstone Street School | 72 | 4.7 | 55 | . 48 | 17 |
|  | Glen Hills School | 71 | 6.1 | 55 | . 48 | 16 |
|  | Hope Highlands Elementary School | 84 | 4.8 | 55 | . 48 | 29 |
|  | Oak Lawn School | 76 | 6.7 | 55 | . 48 | 21 |
|  | Orchard Farms Elementary School | 75 | 5.3 | 55 | . 48 | 20 |
|  | Stadium School | 61 | 7.0 | 55 | . 48 | 6 |
|  | Stone Hill School | 58 | 6.7 | 55 | . 48 | 3 |
|  | William R. Dutemple School | 67 | 7.5 | 55 | . 48 | 12 |
|  | Woodridge School | 82 | 5.1 | 55 | . 48 | 27 |
| Cumberland | Ashton School | 57 | 6.9 | 55 | . 48 | 2 |
|  | B.F. Norton Elementary School | 47 | 7.4 | 55 | . 48 | -8 |
|  | Community School | 77 | 4.2 | 55 | . 48 | 23 |
|  | Garvin Memorial School | 52 | 6.4 | 55 | . 48 | -2 |
|  | John J. McLaughlin Cumberland Hill School | 60 | 4.9 | 55 | . 48 | 6 |
| East Greenwich | George Hanaford School | 81 | 3.9 | 55 | . 48 | 27 |
|  | James H. Eldredge Elementary School | 80 | 4.2 | 55 | . 48 | 25 |
| East Providence | Agnes B. Hennessey School | 35 | 6.9 | 55 | . 48 | -19 |
|  | Alice M. Waddington School | 38 | 5.5 | 55 | . 48 | -17 |
|  | Emma G. Whiteknact School | 40 | 7.2 | 55 | . 48 | -14 |
|  | James R. D. Oldham School | 37 | 9.3 | 55 | . 48 | -18 |
|  | Kent Heights School | 55 | 7.1 | 55 | . 48 | 0 |
|  | Myron J. Francis Elementary School | 88 | 3.8 | 55 | . 48 | 33 |
|  | Orlo Avenue School | 39 | 7.0 | 55 | . 48 | -16 |
|  | Silver Spring School | 44 | 7.8 | 55 | . 48 | -11 |
| Exeter-West Greenwich | Metcalf School | 69 | 4.1 | 55 | . 48 | 14 |

${ }^{21}$ Only Schools with Grade 5 are shown.
${ }^{22}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

| District | School | School \% Prof. | SE | State \% Prof. | SE | School/State Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Foster | Captain Isaac Paine Elementary School | 84 | 5.0 | 55 | . 48 | 29 |
| Glocester | Fogarty Memorial School | 66 | 5.8 | 55 | . 48 | 11 |
|  | West Glocester Elementary | 39 | 7.2 | 55 | . 48 | -16 |
| Highlander | *Highlander Charter School (gr. 5) | 39 | 8.1 | 55 | . 48 | -16 |
| International Charter | International Charter School | 65 | 7.0 | 55 | . 48 | 10 |
| Jamestown | Jamestown School-Lawn (gr. 5) | 61 | 7.6 | 55 | . 48 | 6 |
| Johnston | Brown Avenue School | 62 | 7.2 | 55 | . 48 | 7 |
|  | Sarah Dyer Barnes School | 58 | 7.4 | 55 | . 48 | 3 |
|  | Thornton School | 24 | 5.0 | 55 | . 48 | -30 |
|  | Winsor Hill School | 53 | 6.0 | 55 | . 48 | -2 |
| Kingston Hill Academy | Kingston Hill Academy | 79 | 9.4 | 55 | . 48 | 24 |
| Learning Community | *The Learning Community Charter School (gr. 5) | 68 | 5.9 | 55 | . 48 | 14 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln Central Elementary School | 68 | 5.4 | 55 | . 48 | 13 |
|  | Lonsdale Elementary School | 63 | 7.0 | 55 | . 48 | 8 |
|  | Northern Lincoln Elementary School | 61 | 5.6 | 55 | . 48 | 7 |
|  | Saylesville Elementary School | 69 | 5.5 | 55 | . 48 | 14 |
| Little Compton | *Wilbur and McMahon Schools (gr. 5) | 65 | 9.9 | 55 | . 48 | 10 |
| Middletown | Joseph H. Gaudet School (gr. 5) | 38 | 3.7 | 55 | . 48 | -16 |
| Narragansett | Narragansett Pier School (gr. 5) | 60 | 4.8 | 55 | . 48 | 5 |
| Newport | Frank E. Thompson Middle School (gr. 5) | 54 | 4.3 | 55 | . 48 | -1 |
| New Shoreham | *Block Island School (gr. 5) | 80 | 12.6 | 55 | . 48 | 25 |
| North Kingstown | Fishing Cove Elementary School | 67 | 8.2 | 55 | . 48 | 12 |
|  | Forest Park Elementary School | 64 | 7.3 | 55 | . 48 | 9 |
|  | Hamilton Elementary School | 62 | 5.7 | 55 | . 48 | 7 |
|  | Stony Lane Elementary School | 79 | 4.2 | 55 | . 48 | 24 |
|  | Suzanne M. Henseler Quidnessett Elem. School | 37 | 6.9 | 55 | . 48 | -18 |
| North Providence | Centredale School | 41 | 8.7 | 55 | . 48 | -14 |
|  | Dr. Joseph A Whelan Elementary School | 73 | 7.8 | 55 | . 48 | 18 |
|  | Greystone School | 84 | 5.6 | 55 | . 48 | 29 |
|  | James L. McGuire School | 38 | 7.1 | 55 | . 48 | -16 |
|  | Marieville Elementary School | 39 | 7.3 | 55 | . 48 | -16 |
|  | Stephen Olney School | 50 | 8.3 | 55 | . 48 | -5 |
| North Smithfield | Dr. Harry L. Halliwell Memorial School | 84 | 3.4 | 55 | . 48 | 29 |
| Paul Cuffee Charter | *Paul Cuffee Charter School (gr. 5) | 56 | 6.8 | 55 | . 48 | 1 |
| Pawtucket | Agnes E. Little School | 39 | 6.2 | 55 | . 48 | -16 |
|  | Curvin-McCabe School | 68 | 6.2 | 55 | . 48 | 13 |
|  | Elizabeth Baldwin School | 37 | 5.2 | 55 | . 48 | -18 |
|  | Fallon Memorial School | 54 | 6.0 | 55 | . 48 | -1 |
|  | Flora S. Curtis Memorial School | 52 | 7.5 | 55 | . 48 | -2 |
|  | Francis J. Varieur School | 66 | 6.9 | 55 | . 48 | 11 |
|  | Henry J. Winters School | 48 | 6.6 | 55 | . 48 | -6 |
|  | M. Virginia Cunningham School | 57 | 6.4 | 55 | . 48 | 2 |
|  | Nathanael Greene School | 32 | 5.8 | 55 | . 48 | -22 |
|  | Potter-Burns School | 45 | 5.4 | 55 | . 48 | -10 |
| Portsmouth | Portsmouth Middle School (gr. 5) | 61 | 3.5 | 55 | . 48 | 6 |
| Providence | Alan Shawn Feinstein Elementary at Broad Street | 23 | 5.5 | 55 | . 48 | -31 |
|  | Alfred Lima, Sr. Elementary School | 28 | 3.5 | 55 | . 48 | -26 |
|  | Anthony Carnevale Elementary School | 36 | 5.5 | 55 | . 48 | -19 |
|  | Asa Messer Elementary School | 28 | 4.9 | 55 | . 48 | -27 |
|  | Carl G. Lauro Elementary School | 15 | 3.0 | 55 | . 48 | -40 |
|  | Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Elementary School | 36 | 4.8 | 55 | . 48 | -18 |
|  | Frank D. Spaziano Elementary School | 29 | 4.3 | 55 | . 48 | -25 |


| District | School | School \% Prof. | SE | State \% Prof. | SE | School/State Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Providence (continued) | George J. West Elementary School | 28 | 4.0 | 55 | . 48 | -27 |
|  | Harry Kizirian Elementary School | 22 | 4.5 | 55 | . 48 | -32 |
|  | Lillian Feinstein Elementary, Sackett Street | 20 | 4.6 | 55 | . 48 | -35 |
|  | Mary E. Fogarty Elementary School | 21 | 5.0 | 55 | . 48 | -34 |
|  | Pleasant View School | 36 | 6.8 | 55 | . 48 | -19 |
|  | Reservoir Avenue School | 63 | 6.8 | 55 | . 48 | 8 |
|  | Robert F. Kennedy Elementary School | 56 | 5.6 | 55 | . 48 | 2 |
|  | Robert L Bailey IV, Elementary School | 30 | 5.5 | 55 | . 48 | -25 |
|  | Sgt. C. Young, Jr \& C. Woods ES | 15 | 3.6 | 55 | . 48 | -40 |
|  | *Times2 Academy (gr. 5) | 60 | 6.8 | 55 | . 48 | 5 |
|  | Vartan Gregorian Elementary School | 21 | 5.9 | 55 | . 48 | -34 |
|  | Veazie Street School | 55 | 4.9 | 55 | . 48 | 1 |
|  | Webster Avenue School | 41 | 5.5 | 55 | . 48 | -14 |
|  | William D'Abate Elementary School | 46 | 6.9 | 55 | . 48 | -9 |
| RI Sch. for the Deaf | *Rhode Island School for the Deaf (gr. 5) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scituate | Clayville Elementary School | 88 | 5.7 | 55 | . 48 | 33 |
|  | Hope Elementary School | 64 | 7.4 | 55 | . 48 | 10 |
|  | North Scituate Elementary School | 65 | 6.8 | 55 | . 48 | 11 |
| Smithfield | Anna M. McCabe School | 77 | 5.7 | 55 | . 48 | 23 |
|  | Old County Road School | 60 | 7.3 | 55 | . 48 | 5 |
|  | Raymond C. LaPerche School | 82 | 5.5 | 55 | . 48 | 27 |
|  | William Winsor School | 92 | 3.8 | 55 | . 48 | 37 |
| South Kingstown | Broad Rock Middle School (gr. 5) | 63 | 3.1 | 55 | . 48 | 9 |
| The Compass School | The Compass School (gr. 5) | 37 | 11.1 | 55 | . 48 | -18 |
| Tiverton | Tiverton Middle School (gr. 5) | 71 | 3.8 | 55 | . 48 | 16 |
| Warwick | Cedar Hill School | 80 | 5.2 | 55 | . 48 | 25 |
|  | Cottrell F. Hoxsie School | 59 | 7.3 | 55 | . 48 | 4 |
|  | E. G. Robertson School | 78 | 6.5 | 55 | . 48 | 23 |
|  | Francis School | 52 | 7.5 | 55 | . 48 | -2 |
|  | Greenwood School | 69 | 6.6 | 55 | . 48 | 15 |
|  | Harold F. Scott School | 72 | 6.6 | 55 | . 48 | 17 |
|  | Holliman School | 57 | 7.6 | 55 | . 48 | 2 |
|  | John Wickes School | 60 | 5.9 | 55 | . 48 | 5 |
|  | Lippitt School | 48 | 7.5 | 55 | . 48 | -7 |
|  | Norwood School | 56 | 7.2 | 55 | . 48 | 2 |
|  | Oakland Beach Elementary School | 42 | 7.0 | 55 | . 48 | -13 |
|  | Park School | 68 | 8.0 | 55 | . 48 | 13 |
|  | Randall Holden School | 71 | 7.0 | 55 | . 48 | 17 |
|  | Sherman School | 83 | 4.9 | 55 | . 48 | 28 |
|  | Warwick Neck School | 70 | 6.2 | 55 | . 48 | 16 |
|  | Wyman School | 76 | 6.3 | 55 | . 48 | 21 |
| Westerly | Westerly Middle School (gr. 5) | 59 | 3.3 | 55 | . 48 | 4 |
| West Warwick | John F. Deering Middle School (gr. 5) | 57 | 3.0 | 55 | . 48 | 3 |
| Woonsocket | Bernon Heights School | 52 | 6.2 | 55 | . 48 | -2 |
|  | Citizens Memorial School | 28 | 5.1 | 55 | . 48 | -27 |
|  | Globe Park School | 58 | 5.4 | 55 | . 48 | 3 |
|  | Harris School | 35 | 6.4 | 55 | . 48 | -20 |
|  | Kevin K. Coleman Elementary School | 37 | 5.5 | 55 | . 48 | -18 |
|  | Leo A. Savoie School | 36 | 5.6 | 55 | . 48 | -19 |

Standard error (see Appendix B)
Statistically significant negative difference between school \% Proficient and state \% Proficient for elementary schools.
Statistically significant positive difference between school \% Proficient and state \% Proficient for elementary schools.
No statistically significant difference between school \% Proficient and state \% Proficient for elementary schools.
Elementary School with the highest percentage of students achieving Proficient or higher on the NECAP Writing assessment.

Table 27. Percent of students at/above "proficient" in reading/math: Middle Schools. ${ }^{23}$

| District | School | Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { '09 } \end{gathered}$ | \% $\mathrm{\%}$ | SE '10 | N '11 | \% '11 | SE '11 |  | \% | \% <br> 10 | SE '10 | N '11 | \% '11 | SE '11 |  |
| Barrington | Barrington MS | 93 | 92 | 1.0 | 785 | 94 | 0.8 | 2 | 89 | 89 | 1.1 | 786 | 90 | 1.0 | 1 |
| Bristol Warren | Kickemuit MS | 79 | 80 | 1.4 | 760 | 82 | 1.4 | 2 | 67 | 69 | 1.7 | 760 | 76 | 1.5 | 7 |
| Burrillville | Burrillville MS | 67 | 69 | 2.0 | 541 | 74 | 1.9 | 5 | 53 | 53 | 2.2 | 538 | 61 | 2.1 | 8 |
| Central Falls | Calcutt MS | 47 | 46 | 2.1 | 417 | 49 | 2.4 | 3 | 33 | 27 | 1.9 | 438 | 26 | 2.1 | 0 |
| Chariho | Chariho Reg. MS | 83 | 84 | 1.1 | 997 | 88 | 1.0 | 4 | 69 | 72 | 1.4 | 998 | 76 | 1.4 | 4 |
| Coventry | Feinstein MS | 78 | 77 | 1.2 | 1175 | 84 | 1.1 | 7 | 64 | 65 | 1.4 | 1172 | 66 | 1.4 | 2 |
| Cranston | Bain MS | 71 | 72 | 2.2 | 391 | 75 | 2.2 | 3 | 52 | 53 | 2.5 | 391 | 60 | 2.5 | 7 |
|  | Park View MS | 75 | 75 | 2.0 | 440 | 80 | 1.9 | 4 | 47 | 49 | 2.3 | 442 | 59 | 2.3 | 10 |
|  | Western Hills MS | 84 | 82 | 1.5 | 660 | 87 | 1.3 | 5 | 68 | 61 | 1.9 | 660 | 73 | 1.7 | 13 |
| Cumberland | McCourt MS | 69 | 67 | 2.1 | 473 | 74 | 2.0 | 7 | 58 | 56 | 2.2 | 476 | 60 | 2.2 | 4 |
|  | N. Cumberland | 86 | 86 | 1.4 | 571 | 89 | 1.3 | 3 | 77 | 79 | 1.6 | 571 | 80 | 1.7 | 2 |
| East Greenwich | Cole MS | 92 | 91 | 1.4 | 569 | 92 | 1.2 | 1 | 80 | 81 | 1.9 | 569 | 85 | 1.5 | 3 |
| East Providence | Martin MS | 63 | 72 | 1.8 | 584 | 72 | 1.9 | 0 | 50 | 56 | 2.0 | 586 | 60 | 2.0 | 5 |
|  | Riverside MS | 75 | 73 | 1.8 | 583 | 73 | 1.8 | 0 | 61 | 56 | 2.1 | 583 | 61 | 2.0 | 5 |
| Ex.-W. Grnwch | Ex.-W.Greenwich | 76 | 77 | 2.4 | 290 | 84 | 2.2 | 7 | 68 | 71 | 2.6 | 290 | 74 | 2.6 | 4 |
| Foster-Glocester | Ponaganset MS | 77 | 75 | 1.9 | 476 | 85 | 1.7 | 10 | 65 | 62 | 2.2 | 476 | 72 | 2.1 | 10 |
| Highlander | *Highlander Chrtr. | 61 | 69 | 4.4 | 82 | 70 | 5.1 | 0 | 41 | 48 | 4.8 | 84 | 56 | 5.4 | 8 |
| Jamestown | Jamestown MS | 89 | 87 | 2.3 | 196 | 85 | 2.5 | -2 | 81 | 83 | 2.6 | 196 | 85 | 2.6 | 1 |
| Johnston | Ferri MS | 71 | 70 | 1.7 | 715 | 76 | 1.6 | 6 | 50 | 52 | 1.9 | 716 | 56 | 1.9 | 4 |
| Lrng. Comm. | *Lrng. Community | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 158 | 75 | 3.4 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 157 | 55 | 4.0 | $n / a$ |
| Lincoln | Lincoln MS | 83 | 83 | 1.3 | 743 | 86 | 1.3 | 2 | 66 | 72 | 1.6 | 743 | 73 | 1.6 | 1 |
| Little Compton | *Wilbur/McMahon | 84 | 87 | 3.1 | 110 | 95 | 2.2 | 7 | 76 | 79 | 3.8 | 110 | 87 | 3.2 | 9 |
| Middletown | Gaudet MS | 72 | 74 | 1.6 | 679 | 74 | 1.7 | 0 | 71 | 70 | 1.7 | 704 | 72 | 1.7 | 3 |
| Narragansett | Narragansett Pier | 87 | 85 | 1.7 | 411 | 89 | 1.5 | 4 | 74 | 74 | 2.1 | 411 | 79 | 2.0 | 5 |
| Newport | Thompson MS | 63 | 62 | 2.0 | 566 | 71 | 1.9 | 9 | 44 | 46 | 2.1 | 572 | 49 | 2.1 | 3 |
| N. Kingstown | Davisville MS | 80 | 78 | 1.8 | 524 | 85 | 1.6 | 7 | 71 | 68 | 2.0 | 525 | 71 | 2.0 | 3 |
|  | Wickford MS | 86 | 86 | 1.7 | 391 | 92 | 1.4 | 6 | 78 | 83 | 1.8 | 391 | 84 | 1.8 | 1 |
| N. Providence | Birchwood MS | 68 | 71 | 2.3 | 385 | 76 | 2.2 | 5 | 40 | 44 | 2.5 | 384 | 51 | 2.6 | 7 |
|  | Ricci MS | 64 | 65 | 2.5 | 340 | 71 | 2.5 | 5 | 38 | 46 | 2.7 | 340 | 50 | 2.7 | 4 |
| N. Smithfield | N. Smithfield MS | 88 | 88 | 1.6 | 390 | 92 | 1.4 | 4 | 69 | 64 | 2.3 | 390 | 73 | 2.2 | 9 |
| Paul Cuffee | *Paul Cuffee Chtr. | 60 | 70 | 3.5 | 177 | 73 | 3.3 | 3 | 50 | 59 | 3.8 | 177 | 65 | 3.6 | 6 |
| Pawtucket | Goff MS | 58 | 55 | 2.2 | 477 | 56 | 2.3 | 0 | 46 | 45 | 2.2 | 481 | 37 | 2.2 | -9 |
|  | Joseph Jenks MS | 54 | 55 | 3.0 | 302 | 62 | 2.8 | 6 | 37 | 32 | 2.8 | 304 | 38 | 2.8 | 7 |
|  | Samuel Slater MS | 57 | 57 | 2.1 | 514 | 63 | 2.1 | 6 | 42 | 42 | 2.1 | 522 | 37 | 2.1 | -5 |
| Portsmouth | Portsmouth MS | 83 | 84 | 1.2 | 968 | 86 | 1.1 | 2 | 78 | 82 | 1.2 | 968 | 81 | 1.3 | -1 |
| Providence | Hopkins MS | 44 | 40 | 2.3 | 474 | 51 | 2.3 | 10 | 23 | 23 | 1.9 | 492 | 28 | 2.0 | 4 |
|  | Stuart MS | 41 | 32 | 1.7 | 731 | 40 | 1.8 | 8 | 21 | 18 | 1.4 | 746 | 24 | 1.6 | 6 |
|  | DelSesto MS | 44 | 40 | 2.3 | 878 | 43 | 1.7 | 2 | 23 | 27 | 2.0 | 896 | 26 | 1.5 | -1 |
|  | Bishop MS | 44 | 42 | 2.2 | 688 | 54 | 1.9 | 12 | 32 | 32 | 2.1 | 686 | 44 | 1.9 | 12 |
|  | Greene MS | 64 | 57 | 1.7 | 926 | 68 | 1.5 | 10 | 49 | 46 | 1.7 | 924 | 48 | 1.6 | 2 |
|  | Williams MS | 30 | 29 | 1.8 | 738 | 31 | 1.7 | 1 | 20 | 17 | 1.4 | 758 | 19 | 1.4 | 2 |
|  | *Times2 Acad. | 50 | 58 | 4.5 | 117 | 59 | 4.5 | 1 | 44 | 41 | 4.4 | 117 | 50 | 4.6 | 10 |
| RI Sch. for Deaf | *RI Sch. for Deaf | 10 | 13 | 8.8 | 9 |  |  |  | 10 | 6 | 6.1 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Scituate | Scituate MS | 84 | 82 | 1.9 | 403 | 89 | 1.6 | 7 | 73 | 70 | 2.3 | 403 | 74 | 2.2 | 5 |
| Segue Inst. | Segue Institute | $n / a$ | 50 | 4.2 | 201 | 60 | 3.5 | 10 | $n / a$ | 26 | 3.7 | 202 | 41 | 3.5 | 15 |

[^12]| District | School | Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \hline \mathbf{~ 0 9} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \hline 10 \end{gathered}$ | SE '10 | N '11 | \% '11 | SE |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \hline \mathbf{\%} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \hline 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{SE} \\ & ‘ 10 \end{aligned}$ | N '11 | \% '11 | SE '11 |  |
| Smithfield | Gallagher MS | 87 | 86 | 1.4 | 575 | 91 | 1.2 | 4 | 73 | 71 | 1.9 | 575 | 75 | 1.8 | 4 |
| S. Kingstown | Broad Rock MS | 84 | 83 | 1.6 | 509 | 83 | 1.6 | 1 | 81 | 82 | 1.7 | 509 | 82 | 1.7 | 0 |
|  | Curtis Corner MS | 88 | 83 | 1.5 | 579 | 88 | 1.3 | 5 | 81 | 79 | 1.7 | 579 | 82 | 1.6 | 3 |
| The Compass Sch. | Compass MS | 81 | 92 | 2.6 | 109 | 93 | 2.5 | 0 | 79 | 87 | 3.3 | 109 | 94 | 2.3 | 7 |
| Tiverton | Tiverton MS | 75 | 76 | 1.8 | 602 | 84 | 1.5 | 8 | 70 | 68 | 1.9 | 601 | 73 | 1.8 | 5 |
| Trinity Acad. | Trinity Academy | $n / a$ | 47 | 8.6 | 68 | 62 | 5.9 | 15 | $n / a$ | 41 | 8.4 | 68 | 29 | 5.5 | -12 |
| Urban Collab. | Urban Collab. | 48 | 35 | 4.0 | 141 | 47 | 4.2 | 11 | 29 | 23 | 3.5 | 141 | 19 | 3.3 | -4 |
| Warwick | Aldrich MS | 81 | 80 | 1.7 | 509 | 83 | 1.7 | 3 | 54 | 56 | 2.1 | 509 | 58 | 2.2 | 1 |
|  | Gorton MS | 73 | 72 | 2.0 | 450 | 78 | 2.0 | 6 | 57 | 52 | 2.2 | 450 | 58 | 2.3 | 5 |
|  | Winman MS | 77 | 80 | 1.7 | 527 | 82 | 1.7 | 1 | 60 | 58 | 2.1 | 527 | 59 | 2.1 | 1 |
| West Warwick | Deering MS | 64 | 73 | 1.4 | 987 | 71 | 1.4 | -2 | 54 | 58 | 1.6 | 987 | 58 | 1.6 | 0 |
| Westerly | Westerly MS | 75 | 80 | 1.3 | 904 | 81 | 1.3 | 1 | 65 | 68 | 1.5 | 906 | 70 | 1.5 | 3 |
| Woonsocket | Woonsocket MS | 55 | 56 | 1.4 | 1218 | 60 | 1.4 | 5 | 37 | 36 | 1.3 | 1233 | 39 | 1.4 | 2 |

Standard error (see Appendix B)
Statistically significant decrease in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
Statistically significant increase in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
No statistically significant change between 2010 and 2011 NECAP results
Middle school with the highest percentage of students who scored Proficient or higher on either the NECAP Reading or Mathematics test.
See Appendix C

Table 28. Percent of students at/above "Proficient" on 2011 Writing test: Middle Schools. ${ }^{24} 25$

| District | School | \# Test <br> Takers | School \% Prof. | SE | State \% Prof. | SE | Sch./State Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrington | Barrington Middle School | 237 | 84 | 2.4 | 59 | . 47 | 26 |
| Bristol Warren | Kickemuit Middle School | 278 | 71 | 2.7 | 59 | . 47 | 12 |
| Burrillville | Burrillville Middle School | 172 | 60 | 3.7 | 59 | . 47 | 2 |
| Central Falls | Dr. Earl F. Calcutt Middle School | 174 | 28 | 3.4 | 59 | . 47 | -31 |
| Chariho | Chariho Regional Middle School | 233 | 77 | 2.8 | 59 | . 47 | 18 |
|  | *The RYSE School | 6 | 17 | 15.2 | 59 | . 47 | -42 |
| Coventry | Alan Shawn Feinstein Middle School | 412 | 76 | 2.1 | 59 | . 47 | 17 |
| Cranston | Hugh B. Bain Middle School | 204 | 61 | 3.4 | 59 | . 47 | 3 |
|  | Park View Middle School | 233 | 68 | 3.1 | 59 | . 47 | 9 |
|  | Western Hills Middle School | 344 | 72 | 2.4 | 59 | . 47 | 13 |
| Cumberland | Joseph L. McCourt Middle School | 171 | 57 | 3.8 | 59 | . 47 | -1 |
|  | North Cumberland Middle School | 213 | 72 | 3.1 | 59 | . 47 | 13 |
| East Greenwich | Archie R. Cole Middle School | 211 | 82 | 2.7 | 59 | . 47 | 23 |
| East Providence | Edward R. Martin Middle School | 218 | 52 | 3.4 | 59 | . 47 | -6 |
|  | Riverside Middle School | 198 | 66 | 3.4 | 59 | . 47 | 7 |
| Ex-W. Greenwich | Exeter-West Greenwich Regional Junior High | 138 | 76 | 3.6 | 59 | . 47 | 17 |
| Foster-Glocester | Ponaganset Middle School | 180 | 63 | 3.6 | 59 | . 47 | 5 |
| Highlander | *Highlander Charter School (gr. 8) | 18 | 78 | 9.8 | 59 | . 47 | 19 |
| Jamestown | Jamestown School-Lawn | 47 | 85 | 5.2 | 59 | . 47 | 26 |
| Johnston | Nicholas A. Ferri Middle School | 291 | 48 | 2.9 | 59 | . 47 | -10 |
| Learning Community | *The Learning Community Charter School (gr. 8) | 40 | 50 | 7.9 | 59 | . 47 | -9 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln Middle School | 285 | 71 | 2.7 | 59 | . 47 | 12 |
| Little Compton | *Wilbur and McMahon Schools (gr. 8) | 34 | 82 | 6.5 | 59 | . 47 | 24 |
| Middletown | Joseph H. Gaudet School | 172 | 63 | 3.7 | 59 | . 47 | 5 |
| Narragansett | Narragansett Pier School | 94 | 79 | 4.2 | 59 | . 47 | 20 |
| New Shoreham | *Block Island School (gr. 8) |  |  |  | 59 | . 47 |  |
| Newport | Frank E. Thompson Middle School | 150 | 54 | 4.1 | 59 | . 47 | -5 |
| North Kingstown | Davisville Middle School | 181 | 73 | 3.3 | 59 | . 47 | 15 |
|  | Wickford Middle School | 142 | 82 | 3.2 | 59 | . 47 | 24 |
| North Providence | Birchwood Middle School | 138 | 80 | 3.4 | 59 | . 47 | 21 |
|  | Dr. Edward A. Ricci Middle School | 133 | 51 | 4.3 | 59 | . 47 | -8 |
| North Smithfield | North Smithfield Middle School | 140 | 84 | 3.1 | 59 | . 47 | 25 |
| Paul Cuffee | *Paul Cuffee Charter School (gr. 8) | 59 | 63 | 6.3 | 59 | . 47 | 4 |
| Pawtucket | Goff Junior High School | 257 | 47 | 3.1 | 59 | . 47 | -12 |
|  | Joseph Jenks Junior High School | 130 | 29 | 4.0 | 59 | . 47 | -29 |
|  | Samuel Slater Junior High School | 267 | 51 | 3.1 | 59 | . 47 | -8 |
| Portsmouth | Portsmouth Middle School | 189 | 75 | 3.1 | 59 | . 47 | 16 |
| Providence | Esek Hopkins Middle School | 183 | 26 | 3.3 | 59 | . 47 | -33 |
|  | Gilbert Stuart Middle School | 251 | 24 | 2.7 | 59 | . 47 | -35 |
|  | Governor Christopher DelSesto Middle School | 325 | 22 | 2.3 | 59 | . 47 | -37 |
|  | Nathan Bishop Middle School | 244 | 35 | 3.1 | 59 | . 47 | -24 |
|  | Nathanael Greene Middle School | 316 | 50 | 2.8 | 59 | . 47 | -9 |
|  | Roger Williams Middle School | 254 | 20 | 2.5 | 59 | . 47 | -38 |
|  | *Times2 Academy (gr. 8) | 59 | 47 | 6.5 | 59 | . 47 | -11 |
| RI Sch. for the Deaf | *Rhode Island School for the Deaf (gr. 8) |  |  |  | 59 | . 47 |  |

${ }^{24}$ Only schools with Grade 8 are shown.
${ }^{25}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

| District | School | \# Test <br> Takers | School <br> \% Prof. | SE | State \% <br> Prof. | SE | Sch./State <br> Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Scituate | Scituate Middle School | 152 | 74 | 3.5 | 59 | .47 | 16 |
| Segue Institute | Segue Institute for Learning | 61 | 44 | 6.4 | 59 | .47 | -14 |
| Smithfield | Vincent J. Gallagher Middle School | 212 | 86 | 2.4 | 59 | .47 | 27 |
| South Kingstown | Curtis Corner Middle School | 305 | 79 | 2.3 | 59 | .47 | 21 |
| The Compass School | The Compass School (gr. 8) | 19 | 79 | 9.4 | 59 | .47 | 20 |
| Tiverton | Tiverton Middle School | 162 | 64 | 3.8 | 59 | .47 | 5 |
| Trinity Academy | Trinity Academy for the Performing Arts | 33 | 33 | 8.2 | 59 | .47 | -25 |
| Urban Collaborative | Urban Collaborative Accelerated Program | 67 | 30 | 5.6 | 59 | .47 | -29 |
| Warwick | Aldrich Junior High School | 261 | 63 | 3.0 | 59 | .47 | 4 |
|  | Gorton Junior High School | 260 | 58 | 3.1 | 59 | .47 | -1 |
|  | Winman Junior High School | 269 | 58 | 3.0 | 59 | .47 | -1 |
| West Warwick | John F. Deering Middle School | 267 | 61 | 3.0 | 59 | .47 | 3 |
| Westerly | Westerly Middle School | 243 | 71 | 2.9 | 59 | .47 | 12 |
| Woonsocket | Woonsocket Middle School | 459 | 37 | 2.3 | 59 | .47 | -21 |

Standard error (see Appendix B)
Statistically significant negative difference between school \% Proficient and state \% Proficient for middle schools. Statistically significant positive difference between school \% Proficient and state \% Proficient for middle schools. No statistically significant difference between school \% Proficient and state \% Proficient for middle schools. Middle School with the highest percentage of students achieving Proficient or higher on the NECAP Writing assessment. See Appendix C

Table 29. Percent of students at/above "proficient" in reading/math: High Schools. ${ }^{26}$

| District | School | Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { '09 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text {, } 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE } \\ & \text { '10 } \end{aligned}$ | N '11 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { '11 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE } \\ & \text { ' } 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diff.\% } \\ & \text { '10-'11 } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { \% } \\ \text { '09 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \hline 10 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE } \\ & \text { ‘10 } \end{aligned}$ | N '11 | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { '11 } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE } \\ & \mathfrak{\prime} 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diff. \% } \\ & \text { '10-'11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| Barrington | Barrington HS | 95 | 95 | 1.3 | 259 | 93 | 1.6 | -2 | 66 | 74 | 2.5 | 261 | 69 | 2.9 | -5 |
| Beacon | Beacon Charter | 98 | 91 | 4.2 | 57 | 91 | 3.7 | 0 | 16 | 48 | 7.4 | 57 | 58 | 6.5 | 10 |
| Blackstone Acd. | Blackstone Academy | 78 | 74 | 7.1 | 42 | 79 | 6.3 | 5 | 3 | 32 | 7.7 | 41 | 32 | 7.3 | -1 |
| Bristol Warr. | Mt. Hope HS | 86 | 86 | 2.1 | 266 | 89 | 1.9 | 3 | 33 | 38 | 2.9 | 266 | 36 | 2.9 | -3 |
| Burrillville | Burrillville HS | 73 | 79 | 3.0 | 172 | 83 | 2.9 | 4 | 23 | 40 | 3.6 | 173 | 30 | 3.5 | -10 |
| Central Falls | Central Falls Sr. HS | 55 | 44 | 3.7 | 220 | 41 | 3.3 | -3 | 7 | 8 | 2.0 | 210 | 7 | 1.8 | -1 |
| Chariho | Chariho Regional HS | 88 | 86 | 2.1 | 283 | 93 | 1.5 | 7 | 42 | 45 | 2.9 | 285 | 53 | 3.0 | 8 |
|  | *The RYSE School |  |  |  | 25 | 52 | 10.0 |  |  |  |  | 25 | 16 | 7.3 |  |
| Coventry | Coventry HS | 75 | 89 | 1.5 | 403 | 86 | 1.7 | -3 | 27 | 37 | 2.3 | 401 | 31 | 2.3 | -5 |
| Cranston | Cranston HS East | 73 | 76 | 2.2 | 354 | 77 | 2.3 | 0 | 19 | 21 | 2.0 | 355 | 22 | 2.2 | 1 |
|  | Cranston HS West | 80 | 86 | 1.7 | 424 | 82 | 1.9 | -4 | 29 | 34 | 2.3 | 424 | 27 | 2.2 | -6 |
|  | NEL/CPS Career Acad. | 47 | 56 | 6.3 | 49 | 55 | 7.1 | -1 | 2 | 3 | 2.3 | 49 | 6 | 3.4 | 3 |
| Cumberland | Cumberland HS | 83 | 83 | 2.0 | 334 | 82 | 2.1 | -1 | 34 | 36 | 2.5 | 334 | 31 | 2.5 | -4 |
| Davies C-T | Davies Career-Tech. | 85 | 87 | 2.4 | 177 | 87 | 2.5 | 0 | 27 | 34 | 3.4 | 177 | 35 | 3.6 | 1 |
| DCYF | DCYF Alt. Ed. Prog. |  | 5 | 4.9 | 34 | 6 | 4.0 | 1 |  |  |  | 34 |  |  |  |
| E. Greenwich | East Greenwich HS | 93 | 92 | 1.9 | 177 | 91 | 2.2 | -2 | 71 | 68 | 3.3 | 177 | 64 | 3.6 | -3 |
| E. Providence | East Providence HS | 62 | 73 | 2.2 | 388 | 80 | 2.0 | 7 | 14 | 26 | 2.1 | 388 | 26 | 2.2 | 0 |
| Ex-W. Grnw. | Ex.W. Greenwich HS | 70 | 81 | 3.3 | 134 | 90 | 2.6 | 9 | 28 | 67 | 4.0 | 134 | 54 | 4.3 | -12 |
| Foster-Gloc. | Ponaganset HS | 86 | 90 | 2.1 | 185 | 78 | 3.0 | -12 | 39 | 41 | 3.4 | 185 | 34 | 3.5 | -7 |
| Greene Sch. | The Greene School | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 39 | 100 | 0.0 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 40 | 28 | 7.1 | $n / a$ |
| Johnston | Johnston Senior HS | 63 | 73 | 3.3 | 210 | 75 | 3.0 | 2 | 18 | 32 | 3.5 | 209 | 27 | 3.1 | -5 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln Senior HS | 84 | 84 | 2.4 | 232 | 90 | 2.0 | 6 | 52 | 49 | 3.2 | 231 | 49 | 3.3 | 0 |
| Met. School | Met. Reg. Career/Tech. | 55 | 66 | 4.4 | 197 | 66 | 3.4 | 0 | 4 | 15 | 3.3 | 197 | 15 | 2.6 | 1 |
| Middletown | Middletown HS | 76 | 84 | 3.1 | 152 | 89 | 2.6 | 5 | 47 | 58 | 4.1 | 156 | 54 | 4.0 | -4 |
| Narragansett | Narragansett HS | 93 | 90 | 2.6 | 123 | 94 | 2.1 | 4 | 54 | 56 | 4.4 | 123 | 54 | 4.5 | -2 |
| New Shoreham | *Block Island School | 95 | 74 | 9.2 | 13 | 92 | 7.4 | 18 | 55 | 63 | 9.9 | 13 | 69 | 12.8 | 7 |
| Newport | Rogers HS | 83 | 79 | 3.7 | 150 | 71 | 3.7 | -7 | 32 | 20 | 3.6 | 150 | 27 | 3.6 | 7 |
| N. Kingstown | N. Kingstown Sr. HS | 85 | 87 | 1.6 | 378 | 92 | 1.4 | 4 | 43 | 48 | 2.5 | 378 | 48 | 2.6 | 0 |
| N. Providence | North Providence HS | 77 | 82 | 2.4 | 234 | 84 | 2.4 | 2 | 22 | 21 | 2.6 | 235 | 22 | 2.7 | 0 |
| N. Smithfield | North Smithfield HS | 72 | 84 | 3.4 | 136 | 88 | 2.8 | 4 | 39 | 39 | 4.4 | 136 | 41 | 4.2 | 2 |
| Pawtucket | Walsh School | 94 | 93 | 5.0 | 20 | 100 | 0.0 | 7 | 50 | 37 | 9.3 | 20 | 25 | 9.7 | -12 |
|  | Shea Senior HS | 62 | 54 | 3.4 | 227 | 54 | 3.3 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 2.4 | 227 | 13 | 2.2 | -3 |
|  | Tolman Sr. HS | 63 | 63 | 2.9 | 259 | 65 | 3.0 | 2 | 14 | 16 | 2.2 | 256 | 14 | 2.2 | -2 |
| Portsmouth | Portsmouth HS | 88 | 90 | 1.9 | 232 | 91 | 1.9 | 0 | 58 | 57 | 3.1 | 234 | 53 | 3.3 | -4 |
| Providence | ACES | 71 | 81 | 5.7 | 56 | 80 | 5.3 | 0 | 2 | 10 | 4.4 | 56 |  |  |  |
|  | Central HS | 52 | 44 | 3.3 | 232 | 47 | 3.3 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 1.3 | 239 | 4 | 1.2 | 0 |
|  | Classical HS | 99 | 98 | 0.9 | 261 | 98 | 0.8 | 0 | 54 | 49 | 3.2 | 265 | 48 | 3.1 | -1 |
|  | Dr. J. Alvarez HS | 42 | 34 | 4.5 | 120 | 47 | 4.6 | 12 | 1 | 3 | 1.5 | 120 | 2 | 1.2 | -1 |
|  | E-Cubed Academy | 75 | 66 | 5.0 | 67 | 72 | 5.5 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2.0 | 73 | 5 | 2.7 | 1 |
|  | Hope Arts School | 66 | 58 | 4.2 | 122 | 45 | 4.5 | -13 | 3 | 4 | 1.6 | 121 | 3 | 1.6 | -1 |
|  | Hope Info Tech. | 47 | 43 | 4.8 | 117 | 45 | 4.6 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1.9 | 120 | 3 | 1.6 | -1 |
|  | Mount Pleasant HS | 46 | 31 | 3.0 | 220 | 34 | 3.2 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0.9 | 226 | 2 | 0.9 | 0 |
|  | Prov. Career \& Tech. | 58 | 50 | 6.0 | 98 | 46 | 5.0 | -4 | 5 |  |  | 98 | 6 | 2.4 |  |
|  | *Times2 Academy | 97 | 95 | 3.5 | 43 | 86 | 5.3 | -9 | 25 | 38 | 7.8 | 44 | 16 | 5.5 | -23 |
|  | Cooley HS/Prov. Acad. | 33 | 54 | 5.8 | 148 | 46 | 4.1 | -8 | 1 | 3 | 1.9 | 147 | 3 | 1.3 | 0 |
| RI Nurses | RI Nurses Institute | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 72 | 65 | 5.6 | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 71 | 4 | 2.4 | $n / a$ |

[^13]| District | School | Reading |  |  |  |  |  |  | Math |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} \% \\ \text { '09 } \end{gathered}$ | \% <br> 10 | SE | N '11 | $\begin{aligned} & \% \\ & \text { ' } 11 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SE } \\ & \text { '11 } \end{aligned}$ | Diff.\% | \% <br> 09 | \% <br> 10 | SE <br> '10 | N '11 | \% '11 | SE | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Diff. \% } \\ & \text { '10-'11 } \end{aligned}$ |
| RI Sch. Deaf | *RI School for Deaf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scituate | Scituate HS | 88 | 93 | 2.4 | 115 | 94 | 2.2 | 1 | 42 | 48 | 4.7 | 115 | 51 | 4.7 | 4 |
| Smithfield | Smithfield Senior HS | 88 | 88 | 2.4 | 184 | 83 | 2.8 | -5 | 36 | 43 | 3.5 | 181 | 36 | 3.6 | -7 |
| S. Kingstown | South Kingstown HS | 86 | 86 | 2.1 | 262 | 88 | 2.0 | 2 | 51 | 59 | 3.0 | 262 | 52 | 3.1 | -6 |
| Tiverton | Tiverton HS | 83 | 85 | 3.0 | 161 | 86 | 2.7 | 1 | 31 | 38 | 4.1 | 162 | 36 | 3.8 | -2 |
| Warwick | Pilgrim HS | 66 | 86 | 2.1 | 277 | 84 | 2.2 | -1 | 17 | 32 | 2.8 | 277 | 24 | 2.6 | -7 |
|  | Toll Gate HS | 77 | 90 | 1.9 | 222 | 84 | 2.4 | -6 | 27 | 38 | 3.0 | 221 | 33 | 3.2 | -5 |
|  | Warwick Vet. Mem. | 66 | 73 | 2.9 | 245 | 69 | 3.0 | -5 | 17 | 25 | 2.8 | 244 | 20 | 2.6 | -4 |
| W. Warwick | W Warwick Sr. HS | 65 | 81 | 2.5 | 232 | 80 | 2.6 | -1 | 24 | 30 | 3.0 | 260 | 21 | 2.5 | -9 |
| Westerly | Westerly HS | 81 | 80 | 2.6 | 232 | 91 | 1.8 | 11 | 38 | 42 | 3.1 | 234 | 46 | 3.3 | 4 |
| Woonsocket | Woonsocket HS | 61 | 55 | 2.5 | 355 | 65 | 2.5 | 10 | 12 | 15 | 1.8 | 355 | 16 | 1.9 | 1 |

Key
$\overline{\mathrm{SE}}=\quad$ Standard Error (see Appendix B)
Statistically significant decrease in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
Statistically significant increase in percent of students proficient or above from 2010 to 2011 NECAP results
No statistically significant change between 2010 and 2011 NECAP results
$\square$
High school with the highest percentage of students who scored Proficient or higher on either the NECAP Reading or NECAP Mathematics test.
See Appendix C

Table 30. Percent of students at/above "Proficient" on 2011 Writing test: High Schools. ${ }^{27} 28$

| District | School | School \% <br> Proficient | SE | State \% <br> Proficient | SE | School/State Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrington | Barrington High School | 70 | 2.9 | 51 | . 49 | 19 |
| Beacon Charter School | BEACON Charter School | 75 | 5.7 | 51 | . 49 | 25 |
| Blackstone Academy | Blackstone Academy Charter School | 46 | 7.8 | 51 | . 49 | -4 |
| Bristol Warren | Mt. Hope High School | 79 | 2.5 | 51 | . 49 | 29 |
| Burrillville | Burrillville High School | 55 | 3.8 | 51 | . 49 | 5 |
| Central Falls | Central Falls Senior High School | 19 | 2.6 | 51 | . 49 | -32 |
| Chariho | Chariho Regional High School | 69 | 2.8 | 51 | . 49 | 18 |
|  | *The RYSE School |  |  | 51 | . 49 |  |
| Coventry | Coventry High School | 61 | 2.4 | 51 | . 49 | 11 |
| Cranston | Cranston High School East | 48 | 2.7 | 51 | . 49 | -3 |
|  | Cranston High School West | 51 | 2.4 | 51 | . 49 | 0 |
|  | NEL/CPS Construction Career Academy | 22 | 6.0 | 51 | . 49 | -28 |
| Cumberland | Cumberland High School | 47 | 2.7 | 51 | . 49 | -3 |
| Davies Career-Tech | Davies Jr. Career-Technical High School | 45 | 3.7 | 51 | . 49 | -5 |
| DCYF | DCYF Alternative Education Program |  |  | 51 | . 49 |  |
| East Greenwich | East Greenwich High School | 76 | 3.2 | 51 | . 49 | 26 |
| East Providence | East Providence High School | 42 | 2.5 | 51 | . 49 | -8 |
| Exeter-W. Greenwich | Exeter-W. Greenwich Regional High School | 73 | 3.8 | 51 | . 49 | 23 |
| Foster-Glocester | Ponaganset High School | 49 | 3.7 | 51 | . 49 | -2 |
| Johnston | Johnston Senior High School | 56 | 3.4 | 51 | . 49 | 5 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln Senior High School | 65 | 3.1 | 51 | . 49 | 14 |
| MET Career and Tech | MET Regional Career and Technical Center | 22 | 3.0 | 51 | . 49 | -28 |
| Middletown | Middletown High School | 82 | 3.1 | 51 | . 49 | 32 |
| Narragansett | Narragansett High School | 66 | 4.3 | 51 | . 49 | 15 |
| New Shoreham | *Block Island School (gr. 11) |  |  | 51 | . 49 |  |
| Newport | Rogers High School | 43 | 4.0 | 51 | . 49 | -8 |
| North Kingstown | North Kingstown Senior High School | 60 | 2.5 | 51 | . 49 | 10 |
| North Providence | North Providence High School | 67 | 3.1 | 51 | . 49 | 17 |
| North Smithfield | North Smithfield High School | 74 | 3.8 | 51 | . 49 | 23 |
| Pawtucket | Walsh School for the Perf. and Visual Arts | 75 | 9.7 | 51 | . 49 | 24 |
|  | Shea Senior High School | 29 | 3.0 | 51 | . 49 | -22 |
|  | William E Tolman Senior High School | 30 | 2.8 | 51 | . 49 | -21 |
| Portsmouth | Portsmouth High School | 61 | 3.2 | 51 | . 49 | 10 |
| Providence | Academy for Career Exploration (ACES) | 64 | 6.4 | 51 | . 49 | 14 |
|  | Central High School | 23 | 2.8 | 51 | . 49 | -28 |
|  | Classical High School | 81 | 2.4 | 51 | . 49 | 30 |
|  | Dr. Jorge Alvarez High School | 14 | 3.2 | 51 | . 49 | -36 |
|  | E-Cubed Academy | 49 | 6.1 | 51 | . 49 | -1 |
|  | Hope Arts School | 21 | 3.7 | 51 | . 49 | -29 |
|  | Hope Information Technology School | 26 | 4.0 | 51 | . 49 | -25 |
|  | Mount Pleasant High School | 12 | 2.2 | 51 | . 49 | -39 |
|  | Providence Career and Technical Academy | 19 | 4.0 | 51 | . 49 | -31 |
|  | *Times2 Academy (gr. 11) | 69 | 7.1 | 51 | . 49 | 19 |
|  | Cooley. HS/Prov. Acad. of Int'l Studies HS | 34 | 3.9 | 51 | . 49 | -16 |

[^14]| District | School | School \% <br> Proficient | SE | State \% <br> Proficient | SE | School/State <br> Difference |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RI Nurses Institute | RI Nurses Institute Middle College Charter HS | 44 | 5.9 | 51 | .49 | -7 |
| RI Sch. for the Deaf | *Rhode Island School for the Deaf (gr. 11) |  |  | 51 | .49 |  |
| Scituate | Scituate High School | 66 | 4.4 | 51 | .49 | 16 |
| Smithfield | Smithfield Senior High School | 64 | 3.5 | 51 | .49 | 13 |
| South Kingstown | South Kingstown High School | 64 | 3.0 | 51 | .49 | 14 |
| The Greene School | The Greene School | 58 | 7.8 | 51 | .49 | 7 |
| Tiverton | Tiverton High School | 60 | 3.9 | 51 | .49 | 10 |
| Warwick | Pilgrim High School | 47 | 3.0 | 51 | .49 | -4 |
|  | Toll Gate High School | 55 | 3.3 | 51 | .49 | 4 |
|  | Warwick Veterans Memorial HS | 41 | 3.2 | 51 | .49 | -9 |
| West Warwick | West Warwick Senior High School | 63 | 3.2 | 51 | .49 | 13 |
| Westerly | Westerly High School | 60 | 3.2 | 51 | .49 | 10 |
| Woonsocket | Woonsocket High School | 29 | 2.4 | 51 | .49 | -21 |

Key
$\overline{\mathrm{SE}}=\quad$ Standard error (see Appendix B)
$\square=\quad$ Statistically significant negative difference between school \% Proficient and state \% Proficient for high schools. Statistically significant positive difference between school \% Proficient and state \% Proficient for high schools. $\square$ = No statistically significant difference between school \% Proficient and state \% Proficient for high schools.
$\square=\quad$ High school with the highest percentage of students who scored Proficient or higher on the NECAP Writing test.

* $=$

See Appendix C

Table 31. Schools that made statistically significant progress in both reading and math in 2011 compared to results in 2007. ${ }^{29}$

| District | School | Level | $\begin{aligned} & 2007 \text { R } \\ & \% \text { Prof. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | 2011 R \% Prof. | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ , 07-‘ 11 \end{gathered}$ | $2007 \text { M }$ \% Prof. | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \text { SE } \end{gathered}$ | 2011 M <br> \% Prof. | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \mathrm{SE} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \hline 07-‘ 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beacon Char. | Beacon Charter School | HIGH | 50 | 10.7 | 91 | 3.7 | 41 | 10 | 6.4 | 58 | 6.5 | 48 |
| Burrillville | Burrillville MS | MID | 64 | 2.0 | 74 | 1.9 | 11 | 51 | 2.1 | 61 | 2.1 | 9 |
| Chariho | Chariho Regional HS | HIGH | 71 | 2.6 | 93 | 1.5 | 22 | 29 | 2.6 | 53 | 3.0 | 24 |
|  | Chariho Regional MS | MID | 78 | 1.2 | 88 | 1.0 | 10 | 63 | 1.4 | 76 | 1.4 | 12 |
|  | Richmond ES | ELEM | 82 | 2.7 | 94 | 1.8 | 12 | 62 | 3.4 | 88 | 2.4 | 26 |
| Coventry | Feinstein MS | MID | 74 | 1.2 | 84 | 1.1 | 10 | 59 | 1.4 | 66 | 1.4 | 7 |
| Cranston | Cranston HS East | HIGH | 51 | 2.6 | 77 | 2.3 | 26 | 13 | 1.8 | 22 | 2.2 | 9 |
|  | Hugh B. Bain MS | MID | 66 | 1.8 | 75 | 2.2 | 10 | 40 | 1.8 | 60 | 2.5 | 20 |
|  | Park View MS | MID | 61 | 1.8 | 80 | 1.9 | 19 | 43 | 1.8 | 59 | 2.3 | 16 |
|  | Western Hills MS | MID | 79 | 1.2 | 87 | 1.3 | 8 | 59 | 1.5 | 73 | 1.7 | 15 |
| Cumberland | Ashton School | ELEM | 64 | 3.4 | 80 | 3.0 | 16 | 47 | 3.6 | 73 | 3.4 | 25 |
|  | B.F. Norton ES | ELEM | 49 | 3.9 | 73 | 3.5 | 24 | 30 | 3.6 | 53 | 3.9 | 23 |
|  | Cumberland HS | HIGH | 61 | 2.6 | 82 | 2.1 | 21 | 20 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2.1 \\ & 3.0 \end{aligned}$ | 31 | 2.5 | 11 |
|  | Cumberland Hill School | ELEM | 76 | 2.6 | 86 | 2.0 | 11 | 59 |  | 75 | 2.5 | 15 |
|  | Joseph L. McCourt MS | MID | 63 | 2.0 | 74 | 2.0 | 10 | 47 | 2.1 | 60 | 2.2 | 12 |
|  | North Cumberland MS | MID | 73 | 1.7 | 89 | 1.3 | 16 | 64 | 1.8 | 80 | 1.7 | 16 |
| Davies | Davies Career-Tech HS | HIGH | 48 | 3.9 | 87 | 2.5 | 39 | 7 | 1.9 | 35 | 3.6 | 28 |
| East Greenwich | George Hanaford School | ELEM | 79 | 2.5 | 92 | 2.1 | 13 | 73 | 2.7 | 88 | 2.4 | 15 |
|  | Eldredge ES | ELEM | 78 | 2.3 | 92 | 2.0 | 14 | 78 | 2.3 | 91 | 2.2 | 13 |
| East Providence | East Providence HS | HIGH | 54 | 2.3 | 80 | 2.0 | 25 | 14 | 1.6 | 26 | 2.2 | 12 |
|  | Edward R. Martin MS | MID | 62 | 1.7 | 72 | 1.9 | 10 | 49 | 1.8 | 60 | 2.0 | 12 |
| Exeter-W. Grn. | Exeter W. Greenwich HS | HIGH | 70 | 3.6 | 90 | 2.6 | 21 | 30 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 3.6 \\ & 2.0 \end{aligned}$ | 54 | 4.3 | 25 |
|  | Metcalf School | ELEM | 74 | 1.8 | 82 | 1.7 | 8 | 67 |  | 81 | 1.7 | 14 |
| Foster-Glocester | Ponaganset MS | MID | 75 | 1.7 | 85 | 1.7 | 10 | 58 | 2.0 | 72 | 2.1 | 14 |
| Highlander | Highlander Char. School | MID | 39 | 4.2 | 70 | 5.1 | 31 | 26 | 3.8 | 56 | 5.4 | 30 |
| International | International Charter | ELEM | 49 | 4.3 | 65 | 3.8 | 16 | 28 | 3.8 | 62 | 3.9 | 34 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln MS | MID | 74 | 1.5 | 86 | 1.3 | 12 | 63 | 1.7 | 73 | 1.6 | 10 |
|  | Lincoln Senior HS | HIGH | 75 | 2.6 | 90 | 2.0 | 15 | 35 | 2.8 | 49 | 3.3 | 14 |
| MET | Met. Reg. Career \& Tech. | HIGH | 41 | 3.9 | 66 | 3.4 | 26 | 4 | 1.6 | 15 | 2.6 | 11 |
| Narragansett | Narragansett HS | HIGH | 72 | 4.2 | 94 | 2.1 | 23 | 36 | 4.4 | 54 | 4.5 | 18 |
|  | Narragansett Pier School | MID | 79 | 1.8 | 89 | 1.5 | 10 | 64 | 2.1 | 79 | 2.0 | 15 |
| Newport | Frank E. Thompson MS | MID | 47 | 2.2 | 71 | 1.9 | 24 | 40 | 2.2 | 49 | 2.1 | 9 |
| N. Kingstown | Davisville MS | MID | 77 | 1.8 | 85 | 1.6 | 7 | 59 | 2.1 | 71 | 2.0 | 11 |
|  | Wickford MS | MID | 82 | 1.8 | 92 | 1.4 | 10 | 70 | 2.1 | 84 | 1.8 | 14 |
| N. Providence | Dr. Edward A. Ricci MS | MID | 59 | 2.5 | 71 | 2.5 | 12 | 30 | 2.3 | 50 | 2.7 | 20 |
| N. Smithfield | North Smithfield MS | MID | 62 | 2.8 | 92 | 1.4 | 30 | 50 | 2.9 | 73 | 2.2 | 24 |
| Paul Cuffee | Paul Cuffee Char. School | ELEM | 57 | 4.1 | 72 | 3.5 | 16 | 45 | 4.1 | 66 | 3.7 | 21 |
|  | Paul Cuffee Char. School | MID | 48 | 4.1 | 73 | 3.3 | 26 | 30 | 3.8 | 65 | 3.6 | 35 |
| Pawtucket | Agnes E. Little School | ELEM | 50 | 3.4 | 63 | 3.1 | 13 | 37 | 3.2 | 54 | 3.2 | 18 |
|  | Joseph Jenks Jr. HS | MID | 38 | 2.3 | 62 | 2.8 | 24 | 25 | 2.0 | 38 | 2.8 | 13 |
|  | Potter-Burns School | ELEM | 58 | 2.7 | 70 | 2.6 | 12 | 47 | 2.7 | 59 | 2.8 | 12 |
| Portsmouth | Howard Hathaway School | ELEM | 73 | 3.0 | 88 | 3.3 | 15 | 62 | 3.2 | 86 | 3.5 | 24 |
|  | Portsmouth HS | HIGH | 81 | 2.6 | 91 | 1.9 | 9 | 37 | 3.2 | 53 | 3.3 | 16 |
|  | Portsmouth MS | MID | 77 | 1.6 | 86 | 1.1 | 9 | 72 | 1.7 | 81 | 1.3 | 9 |
| Providence | Hope Arts School | HIGH | 26 | 4.9 | 45 | 4.5 | 19 | 0 | . 0 | 3 | 1.6 | 3 |
|  | Veazie Street School | ELEM | 35 | 2.9 | 61 | 2.8 | 26 | 25 | 2.6 | 60 | 2.9 | 35 |
|  | Webster Avenue School | ELEM | 37 | 3.7 | 58 | 3.6 | 21 | 30 | 3.5 | 45 | 3.6 | 15 |
| Scituate | Scituate HS | HIGH | 71 | 4.0 | 94 | 2.2 | 23 | 27 | 3.9 | 51 | 4.7 | 25 |
| Tiverton | Tiverton MS | MID | 60 | 2.0 | 84 | 1.5 | 24 | 63 | 2.0 | 73 | 1.8 | 10 |
| Warwick | Aldrich Jr. HS | MID | 67 | 1.9 | 83 | 1.7 | 16 | 48 | 2.0 | 58 | 2.2 | 10 |
|  | Gorton Jr. HS | MID | 64 | 2.1 | 78 | 2.0 | 14 | 49 | 2.1 | 58 | 2.3 | 9 |
|  | Pilgrim HS | HIGH | 63 | 2.7 | 84 | 2.2 | 21 | 14 | 1.9 | 24 | 2.6 | 10 |
| West Warwick | John F. Deering MS | MID | 60 | 1.7 | 71 | 1.4 | 11 | 45 | 1.7 | 58 | 1.6 | 13 |
| Westerly | Westerly HS | HIGH | 72 | 2.7 | 91 | 1.8 | 20 | 28 | 2.7 | 46 | 3.3 | 18 |
| Woonsocket | Citizens Memorial School | ELEM | 44 | 2.7 | 59 | 3.0 | 15 | 33 | 2.5 | 50 | 3.1 | 16 |
|  | Woonsocket MS | MID | 39 | 1.4 | 60 | 1.4 | 21 | 26 | 1.2 | 39 | 1.4 | 13 |
| Key |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{array}{lll} \overline{\mathrm{SE}}= & & \text { Standard } \\ \square= & & \text { Statistica } \end{array}$ | ror (see Appendix B) significant increase in perc | of stud | s profic | or ab | e from | to | 11 NEC | results |  |  |  |  |

[^15]Table 32. Schools with $>75 \%$ student proficiency in both reading and mathematics in 2011. ${ }^{30}$

| DISTRICT | SCHOOL | LEVEL | Reading \# Tested | Reading \% Proficient | Math \# Tested | Math \% Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrington | Barrington Middle School | MID | 785 | 94.1 | 786 | 90.5 |
|  | Hampden Meadows School | ELEM | 543 | 87.1 | 545 | 85.1 |
|  | Nayatt School | ELEM | 94 | 94.7 | 95 | 87.4 |
|  | Primrose Hill School | ELEM | 90 | 93.3 | 90 | 76.7 |
|  | Sowams Elementary School | ELEM | 74 | 94.6 | 74 | 89.2 |
| Bristol Warren | Kickemuit Middle School | MID | 760 | 81.8 | 760 | 76.4 |
|  | Rockwell School | ELEM | 158 | 85.4 | 158 | 84.8 |
| Chariho | Ashaway Elementary School | ELEM | 104 | 87.5 | 104 | 82.7 |
|  | Chariho Reg. Middle School | MID | 997 | 88.0 | 998 | 75.6 |
|  | Charlestown Elementary School | ELEM | 127 | 89.0 | 128 | 80.5 |
|  | Hope Valley Elementary School | ELEM | 80 | 92.5 | 80 | 85.0 |
|  | Richmond Elementary School | ELEM | 182 | 94.0 | 182 | 88.5 |
| Coventry | Washington Oak School | ELEM | 302 | 82.8 | 300 | 79.7 |
|  | Western Coventry School | ELEM | 215 | 85.1 | 215 | 80.0 |
| Cranston | Hope Highlands Elementary Sch. | ELEM | 244 | 84.8 | 244 | 79.5 |
|  | Orchard Farms Elementary Sch. | ELEM | 227 | 87.2 | 227 | 78.0 |
| Cumberland | Community School | ELEM | 295 | 93.2 | 295 | 86.1 |
|  | North Cumberland Middle School | MID | 571 | 89.1 | 571 | 80.2 |
| East Greenwich | Archie R. Cole Middle School | MID | 569 | 91.7 | 569 | 84.5 |
|  | Frenchtown School | ELEM | 101 | 88.1 | 101 | 83.2 |
|  | George Hanaford School | ELEM | 173 | 91.9 | 172 | 88.4 |
|  | James H. Eldredge Elem. School | ELEM | 181 | 91.7 | 181 | 90.6 |
|  | Meadowbrook Farms School | ELEM | 78 | 97.4 | 78 | 84.6 |
| East Providence | Myron J. Francis Elementary Sch. | ELEM | 218 | 82.1 | 217 | 78.8 |
| Exeter-West Greenwich | Metcalf School | ELEM | 533 | 81.6 | 533 | 80.9 |
| Foster | Captain Isaac Paine Elem.School | ELEM | 137 | 86.1 | 137 | 80.3 |
| Jamestown | Jamestown School-Lawn | MID | 196 | 85.2 | 196 | 84.7 |
|  | Jamestown School-Melrose | ELEM | 114 | 86.0 | 114 | 82.5 |
| Johnston | Brown Avenue School | ELEM | 136 | 78.7 | 136 | 79.4 |
| Kingston Hill Academy | Kingston Hill Academy | ELEM | 81 | 87.7 | 81 | 87.7 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln Central Elementary Sch. | ELEM | 206 | 84.5 | 206 | 82.0 |
|  | Lonsdale Elementary School | ELEM | 138 | 85.5 | 138 | 82.6 |
| Little Compton | Wilbur and McMahon Schools | MID | 110 | 94.5 | 110 | 87.3 |
| Middletown | Aquidneck School | ELEM | 71 | 81.7 | 71 | 76.1 |
| Narragansett | Narragansett Elementary School | ELEM | 175 | 88.0 | 175 | 82.9 |
|  | Narragansett Pier School | MID | 411 | 89.1 | 411 | 78.8 |
| New Shoreham | Block Island School | ELEM | 49 | 87.8 | 49 | 85.7 |
| North Kingstown | Hamilton Elementary School | ELEM | 250 | 85.2 | 251 | 85.7 |
|  | Stony Lane Elementary School | ELEM | 244 | 91.0 | 244 | 88.9 |
|  | Wickford Middle School | MID | 391 | 91.6 | 391 | 84.4 |
| North Providence | Stephen Olney School | ELEM | 144 | 84.7 | 144 | 78.5 |
| Portsmouth | Howard Hathaway School | ELEM | 98 | 87.8 | 99 | 85.9 |
|  | Melville Elementary School | ELEM | 75 | 90.7 | 75 | 84.0 |
|  | Portsmouth Middle School | MID | 968 | 86.1 | 968 | 80.8 |
| Scituate | Clayville Elementary School | ELEM | 94 | 90.4 | 94 | 81.9 |
|  | North Scituate Elementary School | ELEM | 132 | 85.6 | 132 | 80.3 |
| Smithfield | Anna M. McCabe School | ELEM | 152 | 85.5 | 152 | 79.6 |
|  | Old County Road School | ELEM | 133 | 85.7 | 134 | 78.4 |
|  | Raymond C. LaPerche School | ELEM | 131 | 90.8 | 131 | 86.3 |
|  | William Winsor School | ELEM | 128 | 93.0 | 128 | 85.2 |
| South Kingstown | Broad Rock Middle School | MID | 509 | 83.5 | 509 | 82.1 |
|  | Curtis Corner Middle School | MID | 579 | 88.3 | 579 | 82.2 |
|  | Matunuck School | ELEM | 103 | 92.2 | 103 | 91.3 |
|  | Peace Dale Elementary School | ELEM | 164 | 86.0 | 164 | 85.4 |

[^16]| DISTRICT | SCHOOL | LEVEL | Reading <br> \# Tested | Reading \% Proficient | Math \# Tested | Math \% Proficient |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S. Kingstown (continued) | Wakefield Elementary School | ELEM | 103 | 85.4 | 103 | 77.7 |
|  | W. Kingston Elementary School | ELEM | 103 | 87.4 | 104 | 84.6 |
| The Compass School | The Compass School | MID | 109 | 92.7 | 109 | 93.6 |
| Tiverton | Fort Barton School | ELEM | 76 | 97.4 | 76 | 88.2 |
|  | Pocasset School | ELEM | 89 | 84.3 | 89 | 84.3 |
| Warwick | Cedar Hill School | ELEM | 249 | 87.1 | 249 | 75.5 |
|  | E. G. Robertson School | ELEM | 179 | 87.2 | 179 | 77.1 |
|  | Randall Holden School | ELEM | 146 | 87.7 | 146 | 75.3 |
| Westerly | Dunn's Corners School | ELEM | 138 | 90.6 | 138 | 80.4 |
|  | Springbrook Elementary School | ELEM | 116 | 86.2 | 119 | 76.5 |
|  | State Street School | ELEM | 124 | 85.5 | 124 | 76.6 |

Key
$\square=\quad$ School has greater than $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ (after rounding) of students scoring Proficient or higher on both the NECAP Reading and Mathematics tests.
Table 33. Schools with $\leq \mathbf{5 0 \%}$ proficiency in 2011: READING ${ }^{31}$

| District | School | Level | Reading |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# Tested | \% Proficient |
| Central Falls | Central Falls Senior High School | HIGH | 220 | 41.4 |
|  | Dr. Earl F. Calcutt Middle School | MID | 417 | 48.7 |
|  | Ella Risk School | ELEM | 225 | 44.0 |
| DCYF | DCYF Alternative Education Program | HIGH | 34 | 5.9 |
| Newport | Dr. M. H. Sullivan School | ELEM | 86 | 48.8 |
| Providence | Feinstein Elementary at Broad Street | ELEM | 194 | 45.9 |
|  | Alfred Lima, Sr. Elementary School | ELEM | 523 | 42.4 |
|  | Asa Messer Elementary School | ELEM | 287 | 35.2 |
|  | Carl G. Lauro Elementary School | ELEM | 427 | 35.8 |
|  | Central High School | HIGH | 232 | 47.4 |
|  | Dr. Jorge Alvarez High School | HIGH | 120 | 46.7 |
|  | Frank D. Spaziano Elementary School | ELEM | 312 | 35.3 |
|  | George J. West Elementary School | ELEM | 348 | 39.9 |
|  | Gilbert Stuart Middle School | MID | 731 | 39.7 |
|  | Governor Christopher Delsesto Middle School | MID | 878 | 42.7 |
|  | Harry Kizirian Elementary School | ELEM | 280 | 42.5 |
|  | Hope Arts School | HIGH | 122 | 45.1 |
|  | Hope Info. Tech. School | HIGH | 117 | 45.3 |
|  | Lillian Feinstein Elementary | ELEM | 220 | 34.1 |
|  | Mary E. Fogarty Elementary School | ELEM | 215 | 29.8 |
|  | Mount Pleasant High School | HIGH | 220 | 33.6 |
|  | Pleasant View School | ELEM | 151 | 41.7 |
|  | Providence Career and Technical Academy | HIGH | 98 | 45.9 |
|  | Robert L Bailey IV, Elementary School | ELEM | 213 | 42.3 |
|  | Roger Williams Middle School | MID | 738 | 30.6 |
|  | The Sgt. Cornel Young, Jr \& Charlotte Woods Elementary School @ The B. Joe Clanton Complex | ELEM | 297 | 34.7 |
|  | William B. Cooley, Sr. High School and the Providence Academy of International Studies High School | HIGH | 148 | 45.9 |
| RI Sch. for the Deaf | Rhode Island School for the Deaf | ELEM | 7 |  |
|  | Rhode Island School for the Deaf | HIGH | 4 |  |
|  | Rhode Island School for the Deaf | MID | 9 | 33.3 |
| Urban Collaborative | Urban Collaborative Accelerated Program | MID | 141 | 46.8 |
| Woonsocket | Kevin K. Coleman Elementary School | ELEM | 215 | 46.5 |

## Key

$\square=$ School has less than 25\% (after rounding) of students scoring Proficient or higher on the NECAP Reading test.

[^17]Table 34. Schools with $\leq \mathbf{5 0 \%}$ proficiency in 2011: MATH ${ }^{32}$

| District | School | Level | Math |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# Tested | \% Proficient |
| Blackstone Acad. | Blackstone Academy Charter School | HIGH | 41 | 31.7 |
| Bristol Warren | Mt. Hope High School | HIGH | 266 | 35.7 |
| Burrillville | Burrillville High School | HIGH | 173 | 30.1 |
| Central Falls | Central Falls Senior High School | HIGH | 210 | 7.1 |
|  | Dr. Earl F. Calcutt Middle School | MID | 438 | 26.5 |
|  | Ella Risk School | ELEM | 227 | 35.7 |
|  | Margaret I. Robertson School | ELEM | 199 | 45.7 |
|  | Veterans Memorial Elementary | ELEM | 224 | 36.6 |
| Chariho | The RYSE School | HIGH | 25 | 16.0 |
| Coventry | Coventry High School | HIGH | 401 | 31.4 |
| Cranston | Cranston High School East | HIGH | 355 | 22.0 |
|  | Cranston High School West | HIGH | 424 | 27.1 |
|  | Edgewood Highland School | ELEM | 153 | 43.8 |
|  | Gladstone Street School | ELEM | 289 | 42.9 |
|  | NEL/CPS Construction Career Academy | HIGH | 49 | 6.1 |
| Cumberland | Cumberland High School | HIGH | 334 | 31.1 |
| Davies Career-Tech. | Wm. M. Davies Jr. Career-Technical High School | HIGH | 177 | 35.0 |
| DCYF | DCYF Alternative Education Program | HIGH | 34 |  |
| East Providence | Agnes B. Hennessey School | ELEM | 150 | 41.3 |
|  | East Providence High School | HIGH | 388 | 26.0 |
|  | Emma G. Whiteknact School | ELEM | 145 | 45.5 |
| Foster-Glocester | Ponaganset High School | HIGH | 185 | 34.1 |
| Greene School | The Greene School | HIGH | 40 | 27.5 |
| Johnston | Johnston Senior High School | HIGH | 209 | 26.8 |
|  | Thornton School | ELEM | 216 | 45.8 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln Senior High School | HIGH | 231 | 48.9 |
| Met. Career \& Tech | Metropolitan Regional Career \& Technical Center | HIGH | 197 | 15.2 |
| Newport | Coggeshall School | ELEM | 57 | 43.9 |
|  | Dr. M. H. Sullivan School | ELEM | 88 | 30.7 |
|  | Frank East Thompson Middle School | MID | 572 | 49.1 |
|  | Rogers High School | HIGH | 150 | 26.7 |
| North Kingstown | North Kingstown Senior High School | HIGH | 378 | 47.9 |
| North Providence | Marieville Elementary School | ELEM | 121 | 45.5 |
|  | North Providence High School | HIGH | 235 | 21.7 |
| North Smithfield | North Smithfield High School | HIGH | 136 | 41.2 |
| Pawtucket | Elizabeth Baldwin School | ELEM | 409 | 47.4 |
|  | Fallon Memorial School | ELEM | 285 | 43.2 |
|  | Flora South Curtis Memorial School | ELEM | 161 | 46.0 |
|  | Goff Junior High School | MID | 481 | 36.8 |
|  | Henry J. Winters School | ELEM | 213 | 37.6 |
|  | Jacqueline M. Walsh School for the Performing \& Visual Arts | HIGH | 20 | 25.0 |
|  | Joseph Jenks Junior High School | MID | 304 | 38.5 |
|  | M. Virginia Cunningham School | ELEM | 305 | 42.6 |
|  | Nathanael Greene School | ELEM | 298 | 44.3 |
|  | Samuel Slater Junior High School | MID | 522 | 36.6 |
|  | Shea Senior High School | HIGH | 227 | 13.2 |
|  | William E Tolman Senior High School | HIGH | 256 | 14.1 |
| Providence | Academy for Career Exploration (ACES) | HIGH | 56 |  |
|  | Feinstein Elementary at Broad Street | ELEM | 198 | 36.4 |
|  | Alfred Lima, Sr. Elementary School | ELEM | 534 | 38.6 |
|  | Anthony Carnevale Elementary School | ELEM | 277 | 49.1 |
|  | Asa Messer Elementary School | ELEM | 293 | 30.4 |
|  | Carl G. Lauro Elementary School | ELEM | 455 | 31.6 |
|  | Central High School | HIGH | 239 | 3.8 |

[^18]| District | School | Level | Math |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | \# Tested | \% Proficient |
| Providence (continued) | Classical High School | HIGH | 265 | 47.9 |
|  | Dr. Jorge Alvarez High School | HIGH | 120 | 1.7 |
|  | Dr. Martin Luther King, Jr. Elementary School | ELEM | 301 | 43.2 |
|  | E-Cubed Academy | HIGH | 73 | 5.5 |
|  | Esek Hopkins Middle School | MID | 492 | 27.6 |
|  | Frank D. Spaziano Elementary School | ELEM | 323 | 29.1 |
|  | George J. West Elementary School | ELEM | 355 | 31.8 |
|  | Gilbert Stuart Middle School | MID | 746 | 24.4 |
|  | Governor Christopher DelSesto Middle School | MID | 896 | 25.6 |
|  | Harry Kizirian Elementary School | ELEM | 282 | 30.9 |
|  | Hope Arts School | HIGH | 121 | 3.3 |
|  | Hope Information Technology School | HIGH | 120 | 3.3 |
|  | Lillian Feinstein Elementary | ELEM | 233 | 30.0 |
|  | Mary East Fogarty Elementary School | ELEM | 218 | 25.7 |
|  | Mount Pleasant High School | HIGH | 226 | 1.8 |
|  | Nathan Bishop Middle School | MID | 686 | 43.9 |
|  | Nathanael Greene Middle School | MID | 924 | 48.4 |
|  | Pleasant View School | ELEM | 151 | 33.1 |
|  | Providence Career and Technical Academy | HIGH | 98 | 6.1 |
|  | Robert L Bailey IV, Elementary School | ELEM | 213 | 27.7 |
|  | Roger Williams Middle School | MID | 758 | 18.7 |
|  | Sgt. Cornel Young, Jr \& C. Woods ES @ The B. Joe Clanton Complex | ELEM | 302 | 22.5 |
|  | Times2 Academy | HIGH | 44 | 15.9 |
|  | Webster Avenue School | ELEM | 189 | 45.0 |
|  | Cooley, HS and the Providence Acad. of International Studies HS | HIGH | 147 | 2.7 |
| RI Nurses Institute | RI Nurses Institute Middle College Charter High School | HIGH | 71 | 4.2 |
| RI Sch. for the Deaf | Rhode Island School for the Deaf | ELEM |  |  |
|  | Rhode Island School for the Deaf | HIGH |  |  |
|  | Rhode Island School for the Deaf | MID |  |  |
| Segue Institute | Segue Institute for Learning | MID | 202 | 41.1 |
| Smithfield | Smithfield Senior High School | HIGH | 181 | 35.9 |
| Tiverton | Tiverton High School | HIGH | 162 | 35.8 |
| Trinity Academy | Trinity Academy for the Performing Arts | MID | 68 | 29.4 |
| Urban Collaborative | Urban Collaborative Accelerated Program | MID | 141 | 19.1 |
| Warwick | Pilgrim High School | HIGH | 277 | 24.2 |
|  | Toll Gate High School | HIGH | 221 | 33.0 |
|  | Warwick Veterans Memorial High School | HIGH | 244 | 20.5 |
| West Warwick | Wakefield Hills Elementary School | ELEM | 153 | 45.1 |
|  | West Warwick Senior High School | HIGH | 260 | 21.2 |
| Westerly | Westerly High School | HIGH | 234 | 45.7 |
| Woonsocket | Kevin K. Coleman Elementary School | ELEM | 221 | 42.1 |
|  | Woonsocket High School | HIGH | 355 | 15.8 |
|  | Woonsocket Middle School | MID | 1233 | 38.5 |

$\frac{\text { Key }}{\square=}$
$=\quad$ School has less than $\mathbf{2 5 \%}$ (after rounding) of students scoring Proficient or higher on the NECAP Mathematics test.

## Question 8:

How did public schools in Rhode Island do in terms of high school graduation rates this year versus previous years?

## ReSponse:

A 4-year high school graduation rate ${ }^{33}$ as well as 5 -year and 6 -year high school graduation rates ${ }^{34}$ were calculated for each school (see Table 35). Looking at the 2011 4-year graduation results, 33 schools equaled or bettered the state average of $77 \%$ and 22 had lower percentages of graduates. Results of the 20115 -year graduation rate results revealed that 35 schools equaled or bettered the state average of $80 \%$ while 20 had lower graduation rates. Results of the 2011 6-year graduation rate results revealed that 35 schools equaled or bettered the state average of $79 \%$ while 20 had lower graduation rates.

## Supporting Data:

High school graduation rates are shown below in Table 35.

NOTE: This year's report includes 6 year graduation rates. In Table 35 below, the Class of 2009 contains traditional 4 -year student graduation rates (blue column), 5 -year rates of the 2008 cohort (purple column) and 6 -year rates of the 2007 cohort (gray column). To see how the 2009 graduation cohort of students fared (students who entered as freshman in the 2005-06 school year), you must look across the three years, (2009, 2010 and 2011) and by 4 -year, 5 -year and 6 -year rates, respectively. Cohorts are color-coded: the Cohort of 2008 is shaded in purple; the Cohort of 2009 is shaded in blue and the Cohort of 2010 is shaded in orange. Under the Class of 2011, one column is un-shaded to represent the 4 -year graduation rate for the 2011 cohort.

[^19]Table 35. High school graduation rates: Class of 2009 to Class of 2011.

| District | School | Class of 2009 |  |  | Class of 2010 |  |  | Class of 2011 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{array}{\|l\|} \hline \text { 4-Yr } \\ \text { Rate } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $5-\mathrm{Yr}$ <br> Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 6-\mathrm{Yr} \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 4-Yr } \\ & \text { Rate } \end{aligned}$ | $5-\mathrm{Yr}$ Rate | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { 6-Yr } \\ & \text { Rate } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $4-\mathrm{Yr}$ Rate | $5-\mathrm{Yr}$ <br> Rate | 6-Yr <br> Rate |
| Barrington | Barrington High School | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 96 | 97 | 97 | 96 |
| Beacon Charter | BEACON Charter School | 59 | 60 | 60 | 60 | 76 | 60 | 77 | 73 | 79 |
| Blackstone Academy | Blackstone Academy Charter School | 73 | 79 | 60 | 88 | 80 | 82 | 86 | 90 | 80 |
| Bristol Warren | Mt. Hope High School | 85 | 83 | 80 | 83 | 87 | 83 | 88 | 87 | 88 |
| Burrillville | Burrillville High School | 86 | 80 | 73 | 85 | 88 | 80 | 87 | 88 | 88 |
| Central Falls | Central Falls Senior High School | 48 | 56 | 56 | 54 | 55 | 57 | 71 | 62 | 56 |
| Chariho | Chariho Regional High School | 87 | 89 | 82 | 83 | 90 | 89 | 89 | 86 | 91 |
| Chariho | The R.Y.S.E. School | 40 | 45 | 59 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Coventry | Coventry High School | 79 | 84 | 81 | 81 | 83 | 85 | 84 | 84 | 83 |
| Cranston | Cranston High School East | 74 | 85 | 79 | 81 | 77 | 86 | 73 | 82 | 77 |
| Cranston | Cranston High School West | 89 | 90 | 90 | 87 | 91 | 90 | 88 | 90 | 91 |
| Cranston | NEL/CPS Construction Career Acad. | 62 | 64 | 81 | 63 | 69 | 64 | 46 | 68 | 71 |
| Cumberland | Cumberland High School | 84 | 85 | 81 | 83 | 85 | 85 | 81 | 85 | 85 |
| Davies Career-Tech | Wm. M. Davies Jr. Career-Tech. HS | 59 | 79 | 79 | 77 | 66 | 78 | 75 | 83 | 68 |
| DCYF | DCYF Alternative Education Program | 5 | 7 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 4 | 14 | 8 |
| East Greenwich | East Greenwich High School | 94 | 96 | 95 | 96 | 94 | 96 | 95 | 97 | 95 |
| East Providence | East Providence High School | 77 | 79 | 73 | 77 | 80 | 79 | 69 | 82 | 81 |
| Exeter-W.Greenwich | Exeter-W. Greenwich High School | 88 | 90 | 89 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 86 | 91 | 90 |
| Foster-Glocester | Ponaganset High School | 88 | 89 | 95 | 83 | 89 | 89 | 93 | 85 | 90 |
| Johnston | Johnston Senior High School | 73 | 81 | 65 | 67 | 77 | 83 | 83 | 73 | 77 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln Senior High School | 86 | 85 | 88 | 84 | 87 | 85 | 85 | 88 | 87 |
| MET Career/Tech | MET Regional Career and Tech. Ctr. | 76 | 81 | 91 | 82 | 83 | 82 | 81 | 90 | 83 |
| Middletown | Middletown High School | 82 | 90 | 84 | 83 | 86 | 90 | 74 | 83 | 86 |
| Narragansett | Narragansett High School | 88 | 96 | 91 | 90 | 88 | 96 | 87 | 91 | 88 |
| New Shoreham | Block Island School |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Newport | Rogers High School | 75 | 68 | 65 | 80 | 80 | 68 | 82 | 81 | 80 |
| North Kingstown | North Kingstown Senior High School | 92 | 91 | 92 | 86 | 93 | 91 | 88 | 89 | 93 |
| North Providence | North Providence High School | 82 | 91 | 89 | 80 | 83 | 91 | 93 | 82 | 83 |
| North Smithfield | North Smithfield High School | 88 | 91 | 90 | 83 | 89 | 91 | 92 | 89 | 89 |
| Pawtucket | Shea Senior High School | 57 | 65 | 55 | 58 | 62 | 66 | 67 | 65 | 63 |
| Pawtucket | Walsh School for Perf. \& Visual Arts | 88 | n/a | n/a | 100 | 88 | n/a | 100 | 100 | 92 |
| Pawtucket | William E Tolman Sr. High School | 53 | 64 | 49 | 58 | 59 | 65 | 60 | 65 | 60 |
| Portsmouth | Portsmouth High School | 85 | 88 | 89 | 86 | 86 | 89 | 89 | 88 | 86 |
| Providence | Acad. for Career Exploration (ACES) | 93 | 100 | 93 | 83 | 93 | 100 | 67 | 89 | 93 |
| Providence | Central High School | 63 | 66 | 63 | 66 | 66 | 66 | 63 | 70 | 67 |
| Providence | Classical High School | 98 | 95 | 97 | 97 | 98 | 95 | 96 | 98 | 98 |
| Providence | Cooley HS/Prov. Acad. of Int'l Studies | 83 | 71 | 77 | 65 | 87 | 72 | 72 | 69 | 87 |
| Providence | Dr. Jorge Alvarez High School | 47 | 14 |  | 67 | 53 | 17 | 67 | 72 | 53 |
| Providence | E-Cubed Academy | 56 | 65 | 65 | 61 | 61 | 66 | 73 | 67 | 63 |
| Providence | Hope Arts School | 58 | 54 | 64 | 69 | 61 | 55 | 69 | 72 | 63 |
| Providence | Hope Information Technology School | 54 | 54 | 56 | 70 | 57 | 55 | 58 | 74 | 57 |
| Providence | Mount Pleasant High School | 57 | 67 | 61 | 58 | 60 | 68 | 56 | 61 | 62 |
| Providence | Providence Career/Tech. Acad. | 85 | n/a | n/a | 58 | 85 | n/a | 67 | 69 | 85 |
| Providence | Times2 Academy | 89 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 97 | 100 | 90 | 100 | 97 |
| RI Sch. for the Deaf | Rhode Island School for the Deaf |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scituate | Scituate High School | 85 | 86 | 87 | 93 | 86 | 86 | 91 | 94 | 86 |
| Smithfield | Smithfield Senior High School | 90 | 91 | 87 | 91 | 91 | 91 | 92 | 92 | 91 |
| South Kingstown | South Kingstown High School | 87 | 88 | 87 | 86 | 89 | 89 | 84 | 88 | 90 |
| Tiverton | Tiverton High School | 83 | 83 | 82 | 78 | 83 | 83 | 86 | 81 | 83 |
| Warwick | Pilgrim High School | 73 | 73 | 67 | 73 | 76 | 75 | 79 | 75 | 76 |
| Warwick | Toll Gate High School | 77 | 78 | 70 | 85 | 79 | 78 | 86 | 86 | 79 |
| Warwick | Warwick Veterans Memorial HS | 76 | 72 | 70 | 74 | 77 | 73 | 81 | 76 | 77 |
| West Warwick | West Warwick Senior High School | 70 | 71 | 71 | 69 | 73 | 71 | 76 | 74 | 73 |
| Westerly | Westerly High School | 91 | 90 | 94 | 90 | 91 | 90 | 88 | 91 | 91 |
| Woonsocket | Woonsocket High School | 65 | 65 | 57 | 64 | 68 | 65 | 64 | 71 | 71 |
|  | STATE Graduation Rates: | 76 | 77 | 74 | 77 | 79 | 77 | 77 | 80 | 79 |
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## Appendix A

Glossary of Terms

## Graduation Rate

o The Four-Year Graduation Rate yields the percentage of students that enrolled in the 9th grade and graduated from high school four years later. To calculate the 4 -year graduation rate, RIDE tracks a cohort of students from 9th grade through high school and then divides the number of students who graduate within four years by the total number in the cohort. In other words, the rate provides the percentage of the cohort that graduates in four years or fewer.
o The Five-Year Graduation Rate yields total percentage of graduates that includes both those who graduated on time with their original 9th grade cohort and those in the same cohort who graduated a year later, after five years in high school.
o The Six-Year Graduation Rate yields the total percentage of graduates that includes both those who graduated on time with their original 9th grade cohort and those in the same cohort who graduated two years later, after six years in high school.

## Minimum Cell Size

RIDE's policy on minimum cell size for reporting data stipulates that if the number of students included in calculations was less than 10 and/or if the calculated percentage is less than $\mathbf{1 \%}$, then data must be suppressed in public reports.

## NECAP Performance Level Descriptors

o Proficient with Distinction: Students performing at this level demonstrate the prerequisite knowledge and skills needed to participate and excel in instructional activities aligned with the GLEs at the current grade level. Errors made by these students are few and minor and do not reflect gaps in prerequisite knowledge and skills.
o Proficient: Students performing at this level demonstrate minor gaps in the prerequisite knowledge and skills needed to participate and perform successfully in instructional activities aligned with the GLE at the current grade level. It is likely that any gaps in prerequisite knowledge and skills demonstrated by these students can be addressed during the course of typical classroom instruction.
o Partially Proficient: Students performing at this level demonstrate gaps in prerequisite knowledge and skills needed to participate and perform successfully in instructional activities aligned with the GLE at the current grade level. Additional instructional support may be necessary for these students to meet grade level expectations.
o Substantially Below Proficient: Students performing at this level demonstrate extensive and significant gaps in prerequisite knowledge and skills needed to participate and perform successfully in instructional activities aligned with the GLE at the current grade level. Additional instructional support is necessary for these students to meet grade level expectations.

Standard Error (SE) Please see Appendix B for details.

## ApPendix B

## Calculating Standard Error (SE)

For the purposes of this report, Standard Error (SE) is defined as a measurement of the standard error of a percentage (e.g., \% Proficient, used throughout this report). Mathematically, SE's were calculated as follows:
(SE) $=\sqrt{\left(\frac{p q}{N}\right)}$, where $p$ is the percent of students who are proficient, $q=(100-p)$ and N is the population or group size.

It is important to note that the derived SE is based on the size of the group being examined and its respective performance (read: \% Proficient) on the NECAP tests. Standard errors can be used to create a confidence interval around the derived percentage so that you can see the range in which the "true" (e.g., measured without error) value is located. To do so, you can take the SE and multiply it by 1.96 (for a $95 \%$ confidence interval). The resultant product is then added and subtracted from the percent proficient, $p$, for example, to create a range of values in which you can be $95 \%$ confident that the "true" value is located. For example, viewing the percent proficient $(p)$ as the center point, if one adds the value of SE(1.96) to $p$ and also subtracts this value from $p$, then the full confidence interval is created with both an upper and lower boundary. So, if $p$ equals $70 \%$ and the SE equals .5 , then the product of SE and 1.96 equals .5(1.96) or .965 Adding and subtracting this number from $70 \%$ creates the confidence interval, which ranges from $69.04 \%$ to $70.97 \%$. This is the range in which one can be $95 \%$ confident that the "true" value exists.

When comparing the performance of any two years or groups, we say that the difference in performance between the groups is statistically significant if the difference in performance between the two groups is larger than the sum of the SE's of the two groups. In other words, if the sum of the two SE's-each multiplied by 1.96 to get the $95 \%$ confidence interval-is larger than the value of the difference in performance between the two groups, then we say the difference is too small to be significant or meaningful because the difference doesn't fall outside of the range of plausible "true" values. To illustrate this point, let's say that $60 \%$ of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade students at School $X$ were proficient or above in reading in 2010 and the following year in 2011, $66 \%$ of $4^{\text {th }}$ grade students were proficient or above, thus resulting in an increase of +6 percentage points. If the sum of the $2010 \mathrm{SE}(1.96)$ and the $2011 \mathrm{SE}(1.96)$ is 6.5 , then the change from 2010 to 2011 in $4^{\text {th }}$ grade reading performance of +6 percentage points at School $X$ is not large enough to be considered statistically significant. On the other hand, if $67 \%$ of $4^{\text {th }}$ graders in 2011 were proficient or above, then the +7 percentage point increase in performance would be larger than the standard error of 6.5 and this difference would be statistically significant.

## Appendix C

## Split- Level Schools for Reporting Purposes

Throughout this report, grades 3, 4, and 5 are generally classified as "elementary school" grades whereas grades 6, 7, and 8 are classified as "middle school" and grade 11 as "high school." In cases where a school spans more than one level of schooling (e.g. elementary and middle)—such as with schools that are K-12 or K-8-RIDE divided the grades within the school using the above classification rules or by using classifications set by the local education agency (LEA) for accountability purposes. Consequently, school-level data posted in tables in this report may not match data sent to schools from Measured Progress. This is not an indication that the posted data in this report are incorrect. Rather, it is a matter of RIDE classifying schools in accordance with grade levels reported by the LEA and to present data in a more consistent manner. Below is a list of the schools with grades that span more than one school level and how each was designated for the purposes of this report:

## Block Island School, New Shoreham

| Elementary | Grades 3, 4, 5, 6, 7 |
| :--- | :--- |
| High | Grades 8, 11 |

## Highlander Charter School

| Elementary | Grades | $3,4,5$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Middle | Grades | $6,7,8$ |

Paul Cuffee Charter School

| Elementary | Grades 3, 4, 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Middle | Grades $6,7,8$ |

Rhode Island School for the Deaf

| Elementary | Grades 3, 4, 5 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Middle | Grades 6, 7, 8 |
| High | Grade 11 |

## The Learning Community Charter School

Elementary $\quad$ Grades 3, 4, 5
Middle Grades 6, 7, 8
The RYSE School, Chariho
High
Grades 7, 8, 11
Times2 Academy
Elementary $\quad$ Grades 3, 4, 5, 6
Middle Grades 7, 8
High
Grade 11

## Wilbur and McMahon Schools, Little Compton

Elementary $\quad$ Grades 3, 4, 5

Middle
Grades 6, 7, 8

## Appendix D

## 2011 Rhode Island State-Level NECAP Reading, Mathematics, and Writing Strand Scores

Reading Strands:

| Grade | 2011 NECAP Reading Assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Word ID/ Vocabulary |  | Type of Text: |  |  |  | Level of Comprehension: |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Literary |  | Informational |  | Initial Understanding |  | Analysis \& Interpretation |  |
|  | Total Possible Points | Average Points Earned | Total Possible Points | Average Points Earned | Total Possible Points | Average Points Earned | Total Possible Points | Average Points Earned | Total Possible Points | Average Points Earned |
| 3 | 19 | 15.1 | 16 | 8.9 | 17 | 9.7 | 21 | 12.3 | 12 | 6.2 |
| 4 | 17 | 11.8 | 18 | 10.8 | 17 | 11.1 | 20 | 13.5 | 15 | 8.3 |
| 5 | 10 | 7.2 | 21 | 11.6 | 21 | 11.8 | 19 | 12.0 | 23 | 11.3 |
| 6 | 10 | 7.8 | 21 | 12.6 | 21 | 12.3 | 23 | 12.8 | 19 | 12.1 |
| 7 | 10 | 6.9 | 21 | 12.6 | 21 | 12.7 | 18 | 11.9 | 24 | 13.4 |
| 8 | 10 | 7.6 | 21 | 12.6 | 21 | 12.3 | 18 | 11.4 | 24 | 13.5 |
| 11 | 10 | 7.0 | 21 | 13.4 | 21 | 13.3 | 17 | 11.4 | 25 | 15.2 |

Mathematics Strands:

| Grade | 2011 NECAP Mathematics Assessment |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Numbers and Operations |  | Geometry and Measurement |  | Functions and Algebra |  | Data, Statistics, and Probability |  |
|  | Total Possible Points | Average Points Earned | Total Possible Points | Average <br> Points <br> Earned | Total Possible Points | Average <br> Points <br> Earned | Total Possible Points | Average <br> Points <br> Earned |
| 3 | 35 | 22.9 | 10 | 5.1 | 10 | 6.8 | 10 | 5.6 |
| 4 | 32 | 20.6 | 13 | 7.6 | 10 | 6.4 | 10 | 6.0 |
| 5 | 30 | 15.9 | 13 | 7.3 | 13 | 7.4 | 10 | 4.2 |
| 6 | 27 | 12.7 | 16 | 8.1 | 13 | 7.1 | 10 | 4.8 |
| 7 | 20 | 9.8 | 16 | 6.2 | 20 | 10.1 | 10 | 4.3 |
| 8 | 13 | 6.8 | 16 | 6.1 | 27 | 15.4 | 10 | 4.3 |
| 11 | 9 | 2.8 | 20 | 6.2 | 25 | 9.7 | 10 | 3.8 |

WRITING STRANDS:

| Grade | 2011 NECAP Writing Assessment |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Multiple Choice |  | Short Response |  | Extended Response |  |
|  | Total <br> Possible <br> Points | Average <br> Points <br> Earned | Total <br> Possible <br> Points | Average <br> Points <br> Earned | Total <br> Possible <br> Points | Average <br> Points <br> Earned |
|  | 10 | 8.2 | 12 | 5.7 | 12 | 5.4 |
| $\mathbf{8}$ | 10 | 7.4 | 12 | 7.3 | 12 | 6.2 |
| $\mathbf{1 1}$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | $n / a$ | 12 | 6.4 |

## APPENDIX E

Schools that Significantly Increased or Decreased Reading Performance: 2007 vs. $2011{ }^{35}$

| District | School | School <br> Level | 2007 Reading \% Proficient | SE | 2011 Reading \% Proficient | SE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \cdot 07-11 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beacon Charter | BEACON Charter School | HIGH | 50 | 10.7 | 91 | 3.7 | 41 |
| Bristol Warren | Mt. Hope High School | HIGH | 79 | 2.4 | 89 | 1.9 | 10 |
| Burrillville | Burrillville High School | HIGH | 64 | 3.4 | 83 | 2.9 | 19 |
|  | Burrillville Middle School | MID | 64 | 2.0 | 74 | 1.9 | 11 |
| Central Falls | Dr. Earl F. Calcutt Middle School | MID | 36 | 1.7 | 49 | 2.4 | 13 |
|  | Ella Risk School | ELEM | 60 | 3.1 | 44 | 3.3 | -16 |
| Chariho | Chariho Regional High School | HIGH | 71 | 2.6 | 93 | 1.5 | 22 |
|  | Chariho Regional Middle School | MID | 78 | 1.2 | 88 | 1.0 | 10 |
|  | Charlestown Elementary School | ELEM | 74 | 3.7 | 89 | 2.8 | 15 |
|  | Richmond Elementary School | ELEM | 82 | 2.7 | 94 | 1.8 | 12 |
| Coventry | Alan Shawn Feinstein Middle School | MID | 74 | 1.2 | 84 | 1.1 | 10 |
|  | Coventry High School | HIGH | 64 | 2.2 | 86 | 1.7 | 22 |
| Cranston | Cranston High School East | HIGH | 51 | 2.6 | 77 | 2.3 | 26 |
|  | Cranston High School West | HIGH | 64 | 2.2 | 82 | 1.9 | 17 |
|  | Hugh B. Bain Middle School | MID | 66 | 1.8 | 75 | 2.2 | 10 |
|  | NEL/CPS Construction Career Academy | HIGH | 22 | 6.2 | 55 | 7.1 | 33 |
|  | Park View Middle School | MID | 61 | 1.8 | 80 | 1.9 | 19 |
|  | Western Hills Middle School | MID | 79 | 1.2 | 87 | 1.3 | 8 |
| Cumberland | Ashton School | ELEM | 64 | 3.4 | 80 | 3.0 | 16 |
|  | B.F. Norton Elementary School | ELEM | 49 | 3.9 | 73 | 3.5 | 24 |
|  | Cumberland High School | HIGH | 61 | 2.6 | 82 | 2.1 | 21 |
|  | Garvin Memorial School | ELEM | 63 | 3.6 | 80 | 3.0 | 18 |
|  | John J. McLaughlin Cumberland Hill School | ELEM | 76 | 2.6 | 86 | 2.0 | 11 |
|  | Joseph L. McCourt Middle School | MID | 63 | 2.0 | 74 | 2.0 | 10 |
|  | North Cumberland Middle School | MID | 73 | 1.7 | 89 | 1.3 | 16 |
| Davies Career-Tech | Davies Career-Technical High School | HIGH | 48 | 3.9 | 87 | 2.5 | 39 |
| East Greenwich | George Hanaford School | ELEM | 79 | 2.5 | 92 | 2.1 | 13 |
|  | James H. Eldredge El. School | ELEM | 78 | 2.3 | 92 | 2.0 | 14 |
| East Providence | Agnes B. Hennessey School | ELEM | 70 | 3.9 | 51 | 4.1 | -19 |
|  | East Providence High School | HIGH | 54 | 2.3 | 80 | 2.0 | 25 |
|  | Edward R. Martin Middle School | MID | 62 | 1.7 | 72 | 1.9 | 10 |
|  | Emma G. Whiteknact School | ELEM | 37 | 4.5 | 55 | 4.1 | 18 |
| Exeter-W. Greenwich | Exeter-West Greenwich Reg. High School | HIGH | 70 | 3.6 | 90 | 2.6 | 21 |
|  | Metcalf School | ELEM | 74 | 1.8 | 82 | 1.7 | 8 |
| Foster-Glocester | Ponaganset Middle School | MID | 75 | 1.7 | 85 | 1.7 | 10 |
| Highlander | Highlander Charter School | MID | 39 | 4.2 | 70 | 5.1 | 31 |
| International Charter | International Charter School | ELEM | 49 | 4.3 | 65 | 3.8 | 16 |
| Johnston | Nicholas A. Ferri Middle School | MID | 66 | 1.6 | 76 | 1.6 | 10 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln Middle School | MID | 74 | 1.5 | 86 | 1.3 | 12 |
|  | Lincoln Senior High School | HIGH | 75 | 2.6 | 90 | 2.0 | 15 |
| MET Career/Tech | MET Regional Career and Technical Center | HIGH | 41 | 3.9 | 66 | 3.4 | 26 |
| Narragansett | Narragansett High School | HIGH | 72 | 4.2 | 94 | 2.1 | 23 |
|  | Narragansett Pier School | MID | 79 | 1.8 | 89 | 1.5 | 10 |
| Newport | Frank E. Thompson Middle School | MID | 47 | 2.2 | 71 | 1.9 | 24 |
| North Kingstown | Davisville Middle School | MID | 77 | 1.8 | 85 | 1.6 | 7 |
|  | North Kingstown Senior High School | HIGH | 83 | 1.9 | 92 | 1.4 | 8 |
|  | Wickford Middle School | MID | 82 | 1.8 | 92 | 1.4 | 10 |

[^20]| District | School | School Level | $\stackrel{2007}{\text { Reading \% }}$ <br> Proficient | SE | 2011 <br> Reading \% <br> Proficient | SE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ \cdot 07-11 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| North Providence | Dr. Edward A. Ricci Middle School | MID | 59 | 2.5 | 71 | 2.5 | 12 |
|  | Dr. Joseph A Whelan Elementary School | ELEM | 74 | 4.5 | 90 | 3.0 | 16 |
|  | North Providence High School | HIGH | 64 | 2.8 | 84 | 2.4 | 20 |
| North Smithfield | North Smithfield Middle School | MID | 62 | 2.8 | 92 | 1.4 | 30 |
| Paul Cuffee Charter | Paul Cuffee Charter School | ELEM | 57 | 4.1 | 72 | 3.5 | 16 |
|  | Paul Cuffee Charter School | MID | 48 | 4.1 | 73 | 3.3 | 26 |
| Pawtucket | Agnes E. Little School | ELEM | 50 | 3.4 | 63 | 3.1 | 13 |
|  | Fallon Memorial School | ELEM | 47 | 2.7 | 59 | 2.9 | 12 |
|  | Henry J. Winters School | ELEM | 37 | 3.1 | 59 | 3.4 | 22 |
|  | Joseph Jenks Junior High School | MID | 38 | 2.3 | 62 | 2.8 | 24 |
|  | M. Virginia Cunningham School | ELEM | 44 | 2.9 | 56 | 2.9 | 13 |
|  | Potter-Burns School | ELEM | 58 | 2.7 | 70 | 2.6 | 12 |
|  | Shea Senior High School | HIGH | 35 | 3.2 | 54 | 3.3 | 19 |
| Portsmouth | Howard Hathaway School | ELEM | 73 | 3.0 | 88 | 3.3 | 15 |
|  | Melville Elementary School | ELEM | 75 | 3.5 | 91 | 3.4 | 15 |
|  | Portsmouth High School | HIGH | 81 | 2.6 | 91 | 1.9 | 9 |
|  | Portsmouth Middle School | MID | 77 | 1.6 | 86 | 1.1 | 9 |
| Providence | Academy for Career Exploration (ACES) | HIGH | 53 | 6.5 | 80 | 5.3 | 27 |
|  | Central High School | HIGH | 35 | 3.0 | 47 | 3.3 | 12 |
|  | Classical High School | HIGH | 92 | 1.7 | 98 | 0.8 | 7 |
|  | Dr. Jorge Alvarez High School | HIGH | 25 | 4.7 | 47 | 4.6 | 22 |
|  | Esek Hopkins Middle School | MID | 37 | 2.2 | 51 | 2.3 | 13 |
|  | Gilbert Stuart Middle School | MID | 27 | 1.6 | 40 | 1.8 | 13 |
|  | Hope Arts School | HIGH | 26 | 4.9 | 45 | 4.5 | 19 |
|  | Hope Information Technology School | HIGH | 20 | 4.4 | 45 | 4.6 | 26 |
|  | Mary E. Fogarty Elementary School | ELEM | 45 | 3.9 | 30 | 3.1 | -16 |
|  | Nathanael Greene Middle School | MID | 61 | 1.7 | 68 | 1.5 | 7 |
|  | Veazie Street School | ELEM | 35 | 2.9 | 61 | 2.8 | 26 |
|  | Webster Avenue School | ELEM | 37 | 3.7 | 58 | 3.6 | 21 |
| RI Sch. for the Deaf | Rhode Island School for the Deaf | ELEM | 43 | 13.2 |  |  |  |
|  | Rhode Island School for the Deaf | MID |  |  |  |  |  |
| Scituate | Scituate High School | HIGH | 71 | 4.0 | 94 | 2.2 | 23 |
| Smithfield | Old County Road School | ELEM | 70 | 4.5 | 86 | 3.0 | 16 |
|  | Vincent J. Gallagher Middle School | MID | 83 | 1.5 | 91 | 1.2 | 7 |
| Tiverton | Fort Barton School | ELEM | 78 | 5.2 | 97 | 1.8 | 19 |
|  | Tiverton High School | HIGH | 72 | 3.5 | 86 | 2.7 | 14 |
|  | Tiverton Middle School | MID | 60 | 2.0 | 84 | 1.5 | 24 |
| Warwick | Aldrich Junior High School | MID | 67 | 1.9 | 83 | 1.7 | 16 |
|  | Gorton Junior High School | MID | 64 | 2.1 | 78 | 2.0 | 14 |
|  | Pilgrim High School | HIGH | 63 | 2.7 | 84 | 2.2 | 21 |
|  | Toll Gate High School | HIGH | 66 | 2.8 | 84 | 2.4 | 18 |
| West Warwick | John F. Deering Middle School | MID | 60 | 1.7 | 71 | 1.4 | 11 |
|  | John F. Horgan Elementary School | ELEM | 56 | 3.4 | 71 | 3.3 | 15 |
|  | West Warwick Senior High School | HIGH | 58 | 3.2 | 80 | 2.6 | 22 |
| Westerly | Westerly High School | HIGH | 72 | 2.7 | 91 | 1.8 | 20 |
| Woonsocket | Citizens Memorial School | ELEM | 44 | 2.7 | 59 | 3.0 | 15 |
|  | Woonsocket High School | HIGH | 51 | 2.4 | 65 | 2.5 | 14 |
|  | Woonsocket Middle School | MID | 39 | 1.4 | 60 | 1.4 | 21 |

$\frac{\mathrm{Kev}}{\mathrm{SE}}$
$=$
$\square=$
$\square$
Standard Error (see Appendix B)
$=\quad$ Statistically significant decrease in percent of students proficient or above from 2007 to 2011 NECAP results
$=\quad$ Statistically significant increase in percent of students proficient or above from 2007 to 2011 NECAP results

## Appendix F

Schools that Significantly Increased or Decreased Mathematics Performance: 2007 vs. $2011{ }^{36}$

| District | School | School Level | 2007 Math <br> \% Proficient | SE | 2011 Math <br> \% Proficient | SE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ , 07-‘ 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Beacon Charter School | Beacon Charter School | HIGH | 10 | 6.4 | 58 | 6.5 | 48 |
| Blackstone Academy | Blackstone Academy Charter School | HIGH | 6 | 3.8 | 32 | 7.3 | 26 |
| Bristol Warren | Kickemuit Middle School | MID | 62 | 1.7 | 76 | 1.5 | 14 |
| Burrillville | Burrillville Middle School | MID | 51 | 2.1 | 61 | 2.1 | 9 |
|  | Steere Farm Elementary School | ELEM | 58 | 3.0 | 71 | 2.5 | 12 |
| Chariho | Chariho Regional High School | HIGH | 29 | 2.6 | 53 | 3.0 | 24 |
|  | Chariho Regional Middle School | MID | 63 | 1.4 | 76 | 1.4 | 12 |
|  | Richmond Elementary School | ELEM | 62 | 3.4 | 88 | 2.4 | 26 |
| Coventry | Alan Shawn Feinstein Middle School | MID | 59 | 1.4 | 66 | 1.4 | 7 |
|  | Washington Oak School | ELEM | 67 | 3.0 | 80 | 2.3 | 13 |
| Cranston | Cranston High School East | HIGH | 13 | 1.8 | 22 | 2.2 | 9 |
|  | Hugh B. Bain Middle School | MID | 40 | 1.8 | 60 | 2.5 | 20 |
|  | Park View Middle School | MID | 43 | 1.8 | 59 | 2.3 | 16 |
|  | Western Hills Middle School | MID | 59 | 1.5 | 73 | 1.7 | 15 |
| Cumberland | Ashton School | ELEM | 47 | 3.6 | 73 | 3.4 | 25 |
|  | B.F. Norton Elementary School | ELEM | 30 | 3.6 | 53 | 3.9 | 23 |
|  | Cumberland High School | HIGH | 20 | 2.1 | 31 | 2.5 | 11 |
|  | John J. McLaughlin Cumberland Hill School | ELEM | 59 | 3.0 | 75 | 2.5 | 15 |
|  | Joseph L. McCourt Middle School | MID | 47 | 2.1 | 60 | 2.2 | 12 |
|  | North Cumberland Middle School | MID | 64 | 1.8 | 80 | 1.7 | 16 |
| Davies Career-Tech | Wm. M. Davies Jr. Career-Technical High School | HIGH | 7 | 1.9 | 35 | 3.6 | 28 |
| East Greenwich | George Hanaford School | ELEM | 73 | 2.7 | 88 | 2.4 | 15 |
|  | James H. Eldredge El. School | ELEM | 78 | 2.3 | 91 | 2.2 | 13 |
| East Providence | East Providence High School | HIGH | 14 | 1.6 | 26 | 2.2 | 12 |
|  | Edward R. Martin Middle School | MID | 49 | 1.8 | 60 | 2.0 | 12 |
| Exeter-West Greenwich | Exeter-West Greenwich Regional High School | HIGH | 30 | 3.6 | 54 | 4.3 | 25 |
|  | Metcalf School | ELEM | 67 | 2.0 | 81 | 1.7 | 14 |
| Foster-Glocester | Ponaganset High School | HIGH | 19 | 2.6 | 34 | 3.5 | 15 |
|  | Ponaganset Middle School | MID | 58 | 2.0 | 72 | 2.1 | 14 |
| Highlander | Highlander Charter School | MID | 26 | 3.8 | 56 | 5.4 | 30 |
| International Charter | International Charter School | ELEM | 28 | 3.8 | 62 | 3.9 | 34 |
| Kingston Hill Academy | Kingston Hill Academy | ELEM | 53 | 4.7 | 88 | 3.7 | 35 |
| Lincoln | Lincoln Middle School | MID | 63 | 1.7 | 73 | 1.6 | 10 |
|  | Lincoln Senior High School | HIGH | 35 | 2.8 | 49 | 3.3 | 14 |
| Little Compton | Wilbur and McMahon Schools | MID | 72 | 4.5 | 87 | 3.2 | 15 |
| MET Career and Tech. | Metropolitan Regional Career and Tech. Center | HIGH | 4 | 1.6 | 15 | 2.6 | 11 |
| Middletown | Middletown High School | HIGH | 33 | 3.8 | 54 | 4.0 | 21 |

${ }^{36}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

| District | School | School Level | 2007 Math <br> \% Proficient | SE | 2011 Math <br> \% Proficient | SE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ , 07-‘ 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Narragansett | Narragansett High School | HIGH | 36 | 4.4 | 54 | 4.5 | 18 |
|  | Narragansett Pier School | MID | 64 | 2.1 | 79 | 2.0 | 15 |
| Newport | Frank E. Thompson Middle School | MID | 40 | 2.2 | 49 | 2.1 | 9 |
| North Kingstown | Davisville Middle School | MID | 59 | 2.1 | 71 | 2.0 | 11 |
|  | Fishing Cove Elementary School | ELEM | 84 | 4.2 | 64 | 4.6 | -20 |
|  | Hamilton Elementary School | ELEM | 77 | 2.2 | 86 | 2.2 | 9 |
|  | Wickford Middle School | MID | 70 | 2.1 | 84 | 1.8 | 14 |
| North Providence | Birchwood Middle School | MID | 35 | 2.4 | 51 | 2.6 | 16 |
|  | Centredale School | ELEM | 43 | 4.7 | 66 | 4.7 | 22 |
|  | Dr. Edward A. Ricci Middle School | MID | 30 | 2.3 | 50 | 2.7 | 20 |
| North Smithfield | North Smithfield Middle School | MID | 50 | 2.9 | 73 | 2.2 | 24 |
| Paul Cuffee | Paul Cuffee Charter School | ELEM | 45 | 4.1 | 66 | 3.7 | 21 |
|  | Paul Cuffee Charter School | MID | 30 | 3.8 | 65 | 3.6 | 35 |
| Pawtucket | Agnes E. Little School | ELEM | 37 | 3.2 | 54 | 3.2 | 18 |
|  | Goff Junior High School | MID | 46 | 2.4 | 37 | 2.2 | -10 |
|  | Joseph Jenks Junior High School | MID | 25 | 2.0 | 38 | 2.8 | 13 |
|  | Potter-Burns School | ELEM | 47 | 2.7 | 59 | 2.8 | 12 |
| Portsmouth | Howard Hathaway School | ELEM | 62 | 3.2 | 86 | 3.5 | 24 |
|  | Portsmouth High School | HIGH | 37 | 3.2 | 53 | 3.3 | 16 |
|  | Portsmouth Middle School | MID | 72 | 1.7 | 81 | 1.3 | 9 |
| Providence | Alfred Lima, Sr. Elementary School | ELEM | 26 | 3.0 | 39 | 2.1 | 12 |
|  | Anthony Carnevale Elementary School | ELEM | 33 | 2.8 | 49 | 3.0 | 17 |
|  | Carl G. Lauro Elementary School | ELEM | 22 | 2.1 | 32 | 2.2 | 9 |
|  | Times2 Academy | ELEM | 26 | 4.6 | 60 | 3.4 | 34 |
|  | Vartan Gregorian Elementary School | ELEM | 50 | 4.0 | 66 | 3.5 | 16 |
|  | Veazie Street School | ELEM | 25 | 2.6 | 60 | 2.9 | 35 |
|  | Webster Avenue School | ELEM | 30 | 3.5 | 45 | 3.6 | 15 |
|  | William D'Abate Elementary School | ELEM | 25 | 3.0 | 49 | 3.6 | 24 |
| Scituate | Scituate High School | HIGH | 27 | 3.9 | 51 | 4.7 | 25 |
| Tiverton | Tiverton Middle School | MID | 63 | 2.0 | 73 | 1.8 | 10 |
| Warwick | Aldrich Junior High School | MID | 48 | 2.0 | 58 | 2.2 | 10 |
|  | Gorton Junior High School | MID | 49 | 2.1 | 58 | 2.3 | 9 |
|  | Pilgrim High School | HIGH | 14 | 1.9 | 24 | 2.6 | 10 |
| West Warwick | John F. Deering Middle School | MID | 45 | 1.7 | 58 | 1.6 | 13 |
| Westerly | Westerly High School | HIGH | 28 | 2.7 | 46 | 3.3 | 18 |
|  | Westerly Middle School | MID | 63 | 1.7 | 70 | 1.5 | 7 |
| Woonsocket | Bernon Heights School | ELEM | 47 | 3.5 | 62 | 3.3 | 16 |
|  | Citizens Memorial School | ELEM | 33 | 2.5 | 50 | 3.1 | 16 |
|  | Woonsocket Middle School | MID | 26 | 1.2 | 39 | 1.4 | 13 |

$\underline{\text { Key }}$
$=$
$\square=$
$\square=$
Standard Error (see Appendix B)
$=\quad$ Statistically significant decrease in percent of students proficient or above from 2007 to 2011 NECAP results
$=\quad$ Statistically significant increase in percent of students proficient or above from 2007 to 2011 NECAP results

## ApPENDIX G

Schools with No Significant Progress in Reading or Mathematics from 2007 to $2011{ }^{37}$

| District | School | Level | 2007 <br> Reading <br> \% Prof. | SE | 2011 Reading \% Prof. | SE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ { }_{07} \cdot 111 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2007 \\ \text { Math } \\ \text { \% Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | SE | $\begin{gathered} 2011 \\ \text { Math } \\ \text { \% Prof. } \end{gathered}$ | SE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Diff. } \\ { }_{07}{ }^{1} 11 \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Barrington | Hampden Meadows | ELEM | 91 | 1.3 | 87 | 1.4 | -4 | 86 | 1.6 | 85 | 1.5 | -1 |
| Burrillville | William L. Callahan | ELEM | 72 | 3.0 | 71 | 2.7 | -1 | 65 | 3.2 | 62 | 2.9 | -3 |
| Central Falls | Margaret I. Robertson | ELEM | 53 | 4.9 | 52 | 3.6 | -1 | 49 | 4.9 | 46 | 3.5 | -3 |
| Cranston | Chester W. Barrows | ELEM | 83 | 3.6 | 71 | 4.0 | -12 | 71 | 4.3 | 62 | 4.2 | -9 |
|  | Edward S. Rhodes | ELEM | 81 | 3.9 | 75 | 3.1 | -6 | 72 | 4.4 | 65 | 3.4 | -7 |
|  | Gladstone Street ES | ELEM | 62 | 3.1 | 57 | 3.0 | -5 | 54 | 3.2 | 43 | 2.9 | -11 |
|  | Woodridge ES | ELEM | 93 | 2.1 | 88 | 2.3 | -5 | 73 | 3.8 | 73 | 3.2 | -1 |
| E. Providence | Alice M. Waddington | ELEM | 70 | 3.1 | 67 | 3.2 | -3 | 65 | 3.2 | 63 | 3.2 | -2 |
| Glocester | West Glocester ES | ELEM | 71 | 3.4 | 69 | 4.1 | -1 | 63 | 3.6 | 61 | 4.4 | -1 |
| Middletown | Aquidneck ES | ELEM | 83 | 3.3 | 82 | 4.6 | -2 | 78 | 3.7 | 76 | 5.1 | -2 |
| N. Kingstown | Forest Park ES | ELEM | 87 | 4.3 | 82 | 3.4 | -5 | 89 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 4.1 \\ & 2.8 \end{aligned}$ | 73 | 3.9 | -15 |
|  | S.M. Henseler Quidnessett ES | ELEM | 78 | 2.5 | 74 | 3.4 | -4 | 69 |  | 62 | 3.7 | -7 |
| N. Providence | Greystone ES | ELEM | 73 | 4.2 | 72 | 3.7 | -1 | 60 | 4.7 | 56 | 4.1 | -4 |
|  | Stephen Olney ES | ELEM | 91 | 2.7 | 85 | 3.0 | -6 | 80 | 3.7 | 78 | 3.4 | -2 |
| Providence | A.S. Feinstein/Broad St. | ELEM | 50 | 4.3 | 46 | 3.6 | -4 | 42 | 4.3 | 36 | 3.4 | -6 |
|  | Frank D. Spaziano ES | ELEM | 39 | 3.0 | 35 | 2.7 | -4 | 36 | 2.8 | 29 | 2.5 | -7 |
| Warwick | Cottrell F. Hoxsie ES | ELEM | 77 | 3.0 | 75 | 3.0 | -2 | 62 | 3.4 | 57 | 3.4 | -5 |
|  | Harold F. Scott ES | ELEM | 90 | 2.3 | 79 | 3.2 | -10 | 78 | 3.2 | 71 | 3.6 | -7 |
|  | Warwick Neck ES | ELEM | 84 | 2.8 | 76 | 3.1 | -9 | 70 | 3.6 | 65 | 3.4 | -5 |
|  | Wyman ES | ELEM | 80 | 3.1 | 78 | 3.0 | -2 | 69 | 3.6 | 64 | 3.5 | -5 |

$\underline{K e y}$
$\overline{\mathrm{SE}}=$
= Statistically significant decrease in percent of students proficient or above from 2007 to 2011 NECAP results

[^21]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.
    ${ }^{2}$ The "Baseline Year" represents the first year of NECAP testing. For elementary and middle school levels, the baseline year is 2005. For high school it is 2007.
    ${ }^{3}$ Due to rounding, "Total \% Proficient" data may not equal the sum of "\%P" and "\%PwD"

[^1]:    ${ }^{4}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.
    ${ }^{5}$ Due to rounding, "Total \% Proficient" data may not equal the sum of "\%P" and "\%PwD"

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ All numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.
    ${ }^{7}$ Due to rounding, "Total \% Proficient" data may not equal the sum of "\%P" and "\%PwD"
    ${ }^{8}$ All numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.
    ${ }^{9}$ All numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^3]:    ${ }^{10}$ NOTE: Maine does not administer any of the NECAP tests at the high school level.

[^4]:    ${ }^{11}$ Maine does not administer the NECAP Reading assessment at the high school level.
    ${ }^{12}$ Maine does not administer the NECAP Mathematics assessment at the high school level.
    ${ }^{13}$ Maine does not administer the NECAP Writing assessment at the high school level.

[^5]:    Key
    $\begin{array}{ll}\square= & \text { Statistically significant increase in percent of students proficient or above from } 2010 \text { to } 2011 \text { NECAP results } \\ \square= & \text { No statistically significant difference between } 2010 \text { and } 2011 \text { NECAP results }\end{array}$

[^6]:    ${ }^{15}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^7]:    SE $=\quad$ Standard Error (see Appendix B)
    Statistically significant increase in percent of students proficient or above from 2007 to 2011 NECAP results
    No statistically significant change between 2007 and 2011 NECAP results
    District/LEA was not open in 2007.

[^8]:    ${ }^{16}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^9]:    ${ }^{17}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^10]:    ${ }^{18}$ See Appendix A for definition.
    ${ }^{19}$ See Appendix A for definitions.

[^11]:    ${ }^{20}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^12]:    ${ }^{23}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^13]:    ${ }^{26}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^14]:    ${ }^{27}$ Only schools with Grade 11 are shown.
    ${ }^{28}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^15]:    ${ }^{29}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^16]:    ${ }^{30}$ For the purposes of this report, $75 \%$ is equal to $74.5 \%$ to $75.4 \%$ after rounding.

[^17]:    ${ }^{31}$ For the purposes of this report, $50 \%$ is equal to $49.5 \%$ to $50.4 \%$ after rounding.

[^18]:    ${ }^{32}$ For the purposes of this report, $50 \%$ is equal to $49.5 \%$ to $50.4 \%$ after rounding.

[^19]:    ${ }^{33}$ See Appendix A for definition.
    ${ }^{34}$ See Appendix A for definitions.

[^20]:    ${ }^{35}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

[^21]:    ${ }^{37}$ With the exception of Standard Errors (SE), all numbers have been rounded to the nearest whole number.

