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## Appendix A

## Technical Procedures for the NAEP 2013 Mathematics Assessment

This appendix provides an overview of some of the technical procedures for the NAEP 2013 mathematics assessment. Information is included about the content of the assessment, school and student samples and participation, inclusion of students with disabilities and/or English language learners, analysis procedures, and interpretation of results. Additional technical information about NAEP assessments is available on the Web at http://www.nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/tdw/.

## Development of the Mathematics Framework

The National Assessment Governing Board oversees the creation of the NAEP frameworks that provide the theoretical basis for the assessment, the direction for what types of items should be included, and how the items should be designed and scored. While the frameworks describe the general content and design of NAEP subject area assessments, the specifications provide the detailed information used by test developers for constructing the assessments. Both the Mathematics Framework for the 2013 National Assessment of Educational Progress and Assessment and Item Specifications for the NAEP 2013 Mathematics Assessment are available on the Governing Board's website at http://www.nagb.org/publications/frameworks.htm.

The frameworks for main NAEP assessments are periodically updated or changed to reflect current curricula and standards. Whenever changes are made to a subject framework, every effort is made to try to maintain the trend lines that permit the reporting of changes in student achievement over time. If, however, the nature of the changes made to an assessment are such that the results would not be comparable to earlier assessments, a new trend line is started.

The 1990 and 1992 mathematics frameworks reflected a two-dimensional "content by ability" matrix design in which questions were classified according to one of five content areas and one of three types of mathematical abilities (conceptual understanding, procedural knowledge, and problem solving). A third dimension, mathematical power (reasoning, connections, and communication), was introduced in the 1996 framework to form a "content by mathematical ability by mathematical power" matrix design that also guided the development of the 2000 and 2003 assessments.

For the 2005 framework, the dimensions of mathematical ability and power were replaced with the dimension of mathematical complexity, which indicates the level of cognitive demand (low, moderate, or high) of each item. In addition, the proportions of assessment questions by content area were changed for grade 8 to reflect the increasing importance of algebraic concepts, and for grade 12 to correspond more closely to the mathematics that high school students experience in a three-year sequence of courses (the equivalent of one year of geometry and two years of algebra). Because of changes in the framework and in administration procedures for grade 12, results from the 2005 twelfth-grade assessment could not be compared to results from previous years. A new trend line was started for grade 12 in 2005, and new mathematics achievement-level descriptions were applied.

There were no changes to the objectives at grades 4 and 8 . The 2009 framework was unchanged for the 2011 and 2013 assessments. In 2011, only the grade 4 and grade 8 assessments were administered, but in 2013 the grade 4 , grade 8 , and grade 12 assessments were administered.

Each question in the 2013 mathematics assessment was classified based on two criteria: mathematical content and mathematical complexity. By considering these two criteria for each question, the framework ensures that NAEP assesses an appropriate balance of content along with a variety of ways of knowing and doing mathematics.

Content Areas: Although the names of the content areas have changed from one framework to the next, there is a consistent focus across frameworks on collecting information on student performance in five key areas:
¿ Number Properties and Operations (including computation and the understanding of number concepts)
¿ Measurement (including use of instruments, application of processes, and understanding concepts of area and volume)
$\therefore$ Geometry (including spatial reasoning and applying geometric properties)
¿ Data Analysis, Statistics, and Probability (including interpreting and using graphical displays or statistics)
¿ Algebra (including analyzing representations and relationships)
All five content areas apply to each of the three grades assessed. In 2005, the five content areas were collapsed into four for grade 12, combining geometry and measurement because most measurement topics suitable for twelfth-grade students are geometric in nature. Detailed descriptions and specific objectives of each content area are included in the Mathematics Framework for the 2013 National Assessment of Educational Progress.

Because of differences in curricular emphasis, the proportion of the assessment devoted to each content area varies by grade (table A-1). For example, there is more emphasis on number properties and operations than on algebra at grade 4. In comparison, the percentage of algebra questions increases at grades 8 and 12, and the percentage of number properties and operations questions decreases.

Table A-1. Target percentage distribution of questions in NAEP mathematics, by grade and content area: Various years, 1990-2013

| Grade and content area | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1990 \text { and } \\ 1992 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline 1996,2000, \\ \text { and } 2003 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { 2005, 2007, 2009, } \\ 2011 \text {, and } 2013 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Content area ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |
| Number sense, properties, and operations | 45 | 40 | 40 | Number properties and operations |
| Measurement | 20 | 20 | 20 | Measurement |
| Geometry and spatial sense | 15 | 15 | 15 | Geometry |
| Data analysis, statistics, and probability | 10 | 10 | 10 | Data analysis, statistics, and probability |
| Algebra and functions | 10 | 15 | 15 | Algebra |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Number sense, properties, and operations | 30 | 25 | 20 | Number properties and operations |
| Measurement | 15 | 15 | 15 | Measurement |
| Geometry and spatial sense | 20 | 20 | 20 | Geometry |
| Data analysis, statistics, and probability | 15 | 15 | 15 | Data analysis, statistics, and probability |
| Algebra and functions | 20 | 25 | 30 | Algebra |
| Grade $12{ }^{2}$ |  |  |  |  |
| Number sense, properties, and operations | TBA | TBA | TBA | Number properties and operations |
| Measurement | TBA | TBA |  |  |
|  |  |  | TBA | Measurement and geometry ${ }^{3}$ |
| Geometry and spatial sense | TBA | TBA |  |  |
| Data analysis, statistics, and probability | TBA | TBA | TBA | Data analysis, statistics, and probability |
| Algebra and functions | TBA | TBA | TBA | Algebra |

$\dagger$ Not applicable. Item distributions from previous years are not comparable because of changes in the framework for grade 12 in 2005.
1 The content area labels were revised in 2005, but test item content remains comparable to previous years.
2 Grade 12 was not assessed in 2003, 2007, and 2011.
${ }^{3}$ At grade 12, the five content areas were collapsed into four, with geometry and measurement combined into one.
NOTE: The data analysis, statistics, and probability content area was called data analysis and probability in the 2005 and 2007 frameworks. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2013 Mathematics Assessments.

Complexity: The three levels of mathematical complexity-low, moderate, and high—form an ordered description of the demands a question makes on a student's thinking. Questions with a low level of complexity, for example, may ask students to recall a property. At the moderate level, a question may ask the student to make a connection between two properties, and questions at the high level may ask students to analyze the assumptions made in a mathematical model. Using the dimension of complexity to describe each question allows for a balance of mathematical thinking in the design of the assessment.

## Content of the 2013 Mathematics Assessment

Each NAEP assessment contains two major components: subject-specific cognitive items that measure the achievement of students in an academic subject; and noncognitive items that collect information from students, teachers, and school administrators about background variables that are related to student achievement. Both the cognitive and noncognitive items are developed through a process that includes reviews by external advisory groups and pilot testing. Results from the cognitive items provide information about what students know and can do in a subject area. Information from the background items gives context to NAEP results and/or allows researchers to track factors associated with academic achievement.

The 2013 mathematics assessment was made up of 150 cognitive questions at fourth grade, 153 questions at eighth grade, and 191 cognitive questions at twelfth-grade. The number of questions used for reporting results at each grade has remained relatively constant across assessment years. Students spend about one-half of the assessment time responding to multiple-choice questions and one-half responding to two types of constructedresponse questions. Short constructed-response questions require students to provide answers to computation problems or to describe solutions in one or two sentences, while extended constructed-response questions require more detailed responses or explanations. Table A-2 shows the approximate percentage distribution of questions administered from 1990 to 2013 by the type of question for each grade level.

Table A-2. Percentage distribution of administered NAEP mathematics questions, by grade and question type: Various years, 1990-2013

| Grade and question type | 1990 | 1992 | 1996 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Multiple-choice | 71 | 61 | 51 | 60 | 63 | 64 | 69 | 68 | 70 |
| Short constructed-response | 29 | 36 | 41 | 34 | 33 | 32 | 27 | 27 | 26 |
| Extended constructed-response | 0 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 5 | 4 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Multiple-choice | 78 | 62 | 56 | 63 | 65 | 69 | 74 | 72 | 74 |
| Short constructed-response | 22 | 34 | 38 | 32 | 29 | 28 | 23 | 23 | 23 |
| Extended constructed-response | 0 | 3 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 4 |  |  |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 4 | 3 |  |
| $\quad$ Multiple-choice | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Short constructed-response | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Txtended constructed-response | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

- Not available.
$\dagger$ Not applicable. Item distributions from previous years are not comparable because of changes in the framework for grade 12 in 2005
NOTE: Short constructed-response questions included in the 1990 and 1992 assessments were scored dichotomously (i.e., credit or no credit). Beginning with the 1996 assessment, some of the new short constructed-response questions were scored allowing for partial credit. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2013 Mathematics Assessments.

Cognitive Blocks:. The assessment design allowed for broad coverage of the five mathematics content areas and levels of mathematical complexity at each grade, while minimizing the time burden for any one student. This was accomplished through the use of matrix sampling of items in which each student was required to take only a small portion of the entire pool of assessment questions.

The mathematics item pool for each grade was divided up into subsets or "blocks." In 2013, there were a total of 10 cognitive blocks at fourth grade, 10 blocks at eighth grade, and 14 blocks at twelfth grade. Each mathematics assessment booklet contained two separately timed 25-minute blocks. Each block contained between 13 and 17 questions depending on the balance between multiple-choice and constructed-response questions.

The procedure used for distributing blocks across booklets controlled for position and context effects by balancing the positioning of blocks across booklets and balancing the pairing of blocks within booklets. The procedure also cycled the booklets for administration so that no more than a few students in an assessment section received the same test booklet.

[^0]
## NAEP Samples

NAEP assesses representative samples of students rather than the entire population of students. The sample selection process utilizes a probability sample design in which each school and each student has a known probability of being selected (the probabilities are proportionate to the estimated number of students in the grade assessed). Samples are selected according to a multistage design, with students drawn from within sampled public and private schools nationwide.

The 2009-10 Common Core of Data (CCD) file, a comprehensive list of operating public schools in each jurisdiction that is compiled each school year by the National Center for Education Statistics, served as the sampling frame for the selection of public schools in each state/jurisdiction. The sample of students in districts participating in the Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) represents an augmentation of the sample of students selected as part of the state samples. All students at more local geographic sampling levels also make up part of the broader samples. For example, the TUDA samples are included as part of the corresponding state samples, and the state samples are included as part of the national sample.

The 2009-10 Private School Survey (PSS), a mail survey of all U.S. private schools carried out biennially by the Census Bureau under contract to NCES, served as the sampling frame for private schools. While state and district results are based on samples of public schools only, the national results are based on the combined samples of public and private schools. Although information about the combined public and private school national samples is provided here for context, perfomance results in the State Report Generator and the District Report Generator are for public school students only.

Table A-3 shows the target populations and sample sizes in 2013 for the nation and participating states and jurisdictions at grades 4, 8, and 12. Table A-4 shows the same information for participating urban districts for grades 4 and 8.

Because each school that participated in the assessment, and each student assessed, represents only a portion of the larger population of interest, the results are weighted to make appropriate inferences between the student samples and the respective populations from which they are drawn. Sampling weights are adjusted for the disproportionate representation of some groups in the selected sample. This includes oversampling of schools with high concentrations of students from certain racial/ethnic groups and the lower sampling rates of students who attend very small schools.

Table A-3. Student sample sizes and target populations in NAEP mathematics at grades 4, 8, and 12, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | Grade 4 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 12 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample size | Target population | Sample size | Target population | Sample size | Target population |
| Nation | 189,600 | 3,895,000 | 173,000 | 3,881,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Public | 183,300 | 3,578,000 | 167,400 | 3,556,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Private | 3,100 | 307,000 | 3,200 | 317,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Alabama | 2,900 | 53,000 | 2,700 | 53,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Alaska | 2,700 | 9,000 | 2,600 | 9,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Arizona | 3,100 | 80,000 | 2,800 | 80,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | 3,100 | 34,000 | 2,800 | 35,000 | TBA | TBA |
| California | 8,200 | 447,000 | 7,500 | 476,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Colorado | 3,000 | 60,000 | 2,800 | 57,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | 3,000 | 41,000 | 2,800 | 41,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Delaware | 3,100 | 10,000 | 2,800 | 10,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | 6,200 | 193,000 | 5,600 | 185,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Georgia | 4,700 | 122,000 | 4,200 | 121,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Hawaii | 3,100 | 14,000 | 2,800 | 12,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | 3,100 | 21,000 | 2,800 | 20,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | 4,700 | 150,000 | 4,400 | 146,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Indiana | 3,000 | 76,000 | 2,600 | 76,000 | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | 2,800 | 33,000 | 2,800 | 33,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Kansas | 3,100 | 35,000 | 2,900 | 35,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Kentucky | 4,200 | 49,000 | 3,800 | 48,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Louisiana | 2,900 | 54,000 | 2,800 | 49,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Maine | 3,100 | 13,000 | 2,600 | 13,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Maryland | 4,300 | 63,000 | 3,800 | 60,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | 4,700 | 68,000 | 4,300 | 71,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | 4,100 | 106,000 | 3,700 | 112,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Minnesota | 3,200 | 62,000 | 2,600 | 58,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Mississippi | 3,000 | 35,000 | 2,800 | 34,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Missouri | 3,100 | 67,000 | 2,700 | 65,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Montana | 3,000 | 11,000 | 2,800 | 11,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Nebraska | 3,200 | 22,000 | 2,800 | 21,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Nevada | 3,200 | 32,000 | 2,900 | 33,000 | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | 3,100 | 14,000 | 2,800 | 15,000 | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | 3,100 | 98,000 | 2,800 | 102,000 | TBA | TBA |
| New Mexico | 3,700 | 25,000 | 3,400 | 24,000 | TBA | TBA |
| New York | 4,100 | 186,000 | 3,900 | 199,000 | TBA | TBA |
| North Carolina | 4,400 | 115,000 | 4,000 | 111,000 | TBA | TBA |
| North Dakota | 3,400 | 7,000 | 3,300 | 7,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Ohio | 4,200 | 125,000 | 3,900 | 130,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Oklahoma | 3,200 | 50,000 | 2,700 | 45,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Oregon | 3,200 | 42,000 | 2,700 | 40,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Pennsylvania | 4,100 | 121,000 | 3,800 | 122,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Rhode Island | 3,100 | 11,000 | 3,000 | 10,000 | TBA | TBA |
| South Carolina | 2,900 | 50,000 | 2,800 | 50,000 | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | 3,200 | 10,000 | 2,900 | 9,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | 3,000 | 71,000 | 2,800 | 70,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Texas | 8,400 | 376,000 | 7,700 | 353,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Utah | 3,200 | 46,000 | 3,000 | 44,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Vermont | 2,800 | 6,000 | 2,800 | 6,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Virginia | 3,000 | 93,000 | 2,900 | 89,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Washington | 3,200 | 81,000 | 2,800 | 76,000 | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | 2,900 | 19,000 | 2,800 | 20,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Wisconsin | 4,100 | 60,000 | 3,900 | 60,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Wyoming | 3,200 | 7,000 | 2,900 | 7,000 | TBA | TBA |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| BIE1 | - | - | - | - | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia | 2,100 | 5,000 | 1,800 | 4,000 | TBA | TBA |
| DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | 3,100 | 7,000 | 2,300 | 5,000 | TBA | TBA |

- Not available.

1 Bureau of Indian Education.
${ }^{2}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: The sample size is rounded to the nearest hundred. The target population is rounded to the nearest thousand. Data for BIE and DoDEA schools are counted in the overall national totals, but not in the public school totals. Data for the District of Columbia public schools are counted, along with the states, in the national public school totals. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-4. Student sample sizes and target populations for Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) in mathematics at grades 4 and 8, by urban district: 2013

| Urban district | Grade 4 |  | Grade 8 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sample size | Target population | Sample size | Target population |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| NOTE: The sample size is rounded to the nearest hundred. The target population is rounded to the nearest thousand. DCPS = District of Columbia Public Schools. |  |  |  |  |
| SOURCE: U.S. Department Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mat | cation Scienc | Center for Educatio | tics, National | of Educational |

## School and Student Participation

## National Participation

To ensure unbiased samples, NAEP requires that participation rates be 70 percent or higher to report national results separately for public and private schools. In instances where participation rates meet the 70 percent criteria but fall below 85 percent, a nonresponse bias analysis is conducted; however, results may still be reported.

National school and student participation rates for the 2013 mathematics assessment are presented in table A-5. Student-weighted school participation rates were 97 percent for grade 4 ( 100 percent for public schools and 71 percent for private schools), 97 percent for grade 8 ( 99 percent for public schools and 70 percent for private schools), and 90 percent at grade 12 ( 93 percent for public schools and 53 percent for private schools).

## State and District Participation

Standards established by the Governing Board require that school participation rates for the original state and district samples need to be at least 85 percent for results to be reported. In 2013, all 52 states and jurisdictions participating in the mathematics assessment at grades 4 and 8 , and all 13 states participating at grade 12, met this participation rate requirement (tables A-6 through A-8). The 21 urban districts participating at grades 4 and 8 also met the criteria for reporting (table A-9).

Table A-5. National school and student participation rates in NAEP mathematics, by grade and type of school: 2013

| Grade and type of school | School participation |  |  |  |  | Student participation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student-weighted |  | School-weighted |  | Number of schools participating after substitution | Studentweighted percent | Number of students assessed |
|  | Percent before substitution | Percent after substitution | Percent before substitution | Percent after substitution |  |  |  |
| Grade 4 Nation | 97 | 98 | 90 | 93 | 7,930 | 95 | 186,500 |
| Public | 100 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 7,540 | 94 | 180,200 |
| Private | 71 | 84 | 65 | 76 | 280 | 96 | 3,100 |
| Grade 8 Nation | 97 | 98 | 85 | 90 | 6,520 | 93 | 170,100 |
| Public | 99 | 99 | 100 | 100 | 6,200 | 93 | 164,600 |
| Private | 70 | 82 | 60 | 75 | 250 | 95 | 3,200 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Public | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Private | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

NOTE: The national totals for schools include Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools) and Bureau of Indian Education schools, which are not included in either the public or private school totals. The national totals for students include students in these schools. Columns of percentages have different denominators. The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-6. Public school and student participation rates in NAEP mathematics at grade 4, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | School participation |  |  | Student participation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student-weighted percent | School-weighted percent | Number of schools participating | Student-weighted percent | Number of students assessed |
| Nation (public) | 100 | 100 | 7,540 | 94 | 180,200 |
| Alabama | 100 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 2,900 |
| Alaska | 99 | 97 | 170 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Arizona | 100 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 3,000 |
| Arkansas | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,000 |
| California | 99 | 99 | 290 | 95 | 8,000 |
| Colorado | 100 | 100 | 120 | 92 | 3,000 |
| Connecticut | 97 | 97 | 110 | 94 | 2,900 |
| Delaware | 100 | 100 | 90 | 94 | 3,100 |
| Florida | 100 | 100 | 220 | 94 | 6,100 |
| Georgia | 100 | 100 | 150 | 94 | 4,600 |
| Hawaii | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,100 |
| Idaho | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,100 |
| Illinois | 98 | 98 | 180 | 94 | 4,600 |
| Indiana | 100 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 3,000 |
| lowa | 100 | 100 | 130 | 95 | 2,800 |
| Kansas | 100 | 100 | 140 | 95 | 3,100 |
| Kentucky | 100 | 100 | 150 | 95 | 4,200 |
| Louisiana | 100 | 100 | 110 | 94 | 2,900 |
| Maine | 100 | 100 | 150 | 94 | 3,000 |
| Maryland | 100 | 100 | 170 | 94 | 4,200 |
| Massachusetts | 100 | 100 | 170 | 94 | 4,600 |
| Michigan | 100 | 100 | 150 | 94 | 3,900 |
| Minnesota | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,100 |
| Mississippi | 100 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 3,000 |
| Missouri | 100 | 100 | 130 | 95 | 3,100 |
| Montana | 100 | 98 | 180 | 94 | 3,000 |
| Nebraska | 100 | 100 | 160 | 95 | 3,100 |
| Nevada | 100 | 100 | 120 | 96 | 3,100 |
| New Hampshire | 100 | 100 | 130 | 94 | 3,000 |
| New Jersey | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,000 |
| New Mexico | 100 | 99 | 150 | 95 | 3,700 |
| New York | 99 | 97 | 150 | 92 | 4,000 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 100 | 160 | 94 | 4,300 |
| North Dakota | 100 | 99 | 240 | 96 | 3,300 |
| Ohio | 100 | 100 | 180 | 94 | 4,100 |
| Oklahoma | 100 | 100 | 140 | 94 | 3,100 |
| Oregon | 100 | 100 | 130 | 94 | 3,100 |
| Pennsylvania | 100 | 100 | 160 | 94 | 4,000 |
| Rhode Island | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 3,100 |
| South Carolina | 100 | 100 | 110 | 96 | 2,900 |
| South Dakota | 100 | 100 | 180 | 95 | 3,100 |
| Tennessee | 100 | 100 | 110 | 94 | 3,000 |
| Texas | 100 | 100 | 290 | 95 | 8,200 |
| Utah | 99 | 99 | 110 | 95 | 3,200 |
| Vermont | 100 | 100 | 220 | 95 | 2,700 |
| Virginia | 100 | 100 | 110 | 94 | 3,000 |
| Washington | 99 | 99 | 120 | 94 | 3,200 |
| West Virginia | 100 | 100 | 140 | 95 | 2,800 |
| Wisconsin | 100 | 100 | 180 | 95 | 4,000 |
| Wyoming | 100 | 100 | 180 | 95 | 3,100 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 100 | 100 | 120 | 95 | 2,100 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 99 | 98 | 100 | 95 | 3,100 |

1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred. The school participation rates are student-weighted percentages before substitution. Columns of percentages have different denominators. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-7. Public school and student participation rates in NAEP mathematics at grade 8, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | School participation |  |  | Student participation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student-weighted percent | School-weighted percent | Number of schools participating | Student-weighted percent | Number of students assessed |
| Nation (public) | 99 | 100 | 6,200 | 93 | 164,600 |
| Alabama | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 2,600 |
| Alaska | 100 | 99 | 110 | 92 | 2,600 |
| Arizona | 99 | 99 | 110 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Arkansas | 100 | 100 | 110 | 95 | 2,700 |
| California | 100 | 100 | 230 | 94 | 7,300 |
| Colorado | 100 | 100 | 110 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Connecticut | 98 | 98 | 110 | 92 | 2,700 |
| Delaware | 100 | 100 | 50 | 91 | 2,800 |
| Florida | 100 | 100 | 200 | 91 | 5,500 |
| Georgia | 100 | 100 | 120 | 93 | 4,100 |
| Hawaii | 100 | 100 | 60 | 90 | 2,700 |
| Idaho | 100 | 100 | 100 | 94 | 2,700 |
| Illinois | 100 | 100 | 180 | 94 | 4,300 |
| Indiana | 97 | 97 | 100 | 92 | 2,600 |
| lowa | 100 | 100 | 110 | 94 | 2,700 |
| Kansas | 100 | 100 | 120 | 94 | 2,900 |
| Kentucky | 99 | 99 | 130 | 95 | 3,800 |
| Louisiana | 100 | 100 | 120 | 94 | 2,700 |
| Maine | 100 | 100 | 110 | 93 | 2,500 |
| Maryland | 100 | 100 | 160 | 92 | 3,800 |
| Massachusetts | 100 | 100 | 140 | 92 | 4,200 |
| Michigan | 100 | 100 | 150 | 93 | 3,500 |
| Minnesota | 99 | 100 | 110 | 92 | 2,500 |
| Mississippi | 100 | 100 | 110 | 94 | 2,800 |
| Missouri | 100 | 100 | 120 | 94 | 2,700 |
| Montana | 100 | 99 | 140 | 92 | 2,700 |
| Nebraska | 100 | 100 | 120 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Nevada | 100 | 100 | 90 | 93 | 2,900 |
| New Hampshire | 100 | 100 | 90 | 92 | 2,800 |
| New Jersey | 100 | 100 | 110 | 92 | 2,800 |
| New Mexico | 100 | 99 | 120 | 93 | 3,400 |
| New York | 93 | 96 | 150 | 91 | 3,800 |
| North Carolina | 100 | 100 | 130 | 93 | 3,900 |
| North Dakota | 100 | 99 | 180 | 95 | 3,200 |
| Ohio | 100 | 100 | 170 | 93 | 3,800 |
| Oklahoma | 100 | 100 | 130 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Oregon | 100 | 100 | 120 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Pennsylvania | 100 | 100 | 150 | 92 | 3,700 |
| Rhode Island | 100 | 100 | 60 | 94 | 2,900 |
| South Carolina | 100 | 100 | 110 | 94 | 2,800 |
| South Dakota | 100 | 100 | 140 | 94 | 2,800 |
| Tennessee | 100 | 100 | 110 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Texas | 100 | 100 | 210 | 94 | 7,500 |
| Utah | 100 | 100 | 110 | 92 | 2,900 |
| Vermont | 100 | 100 | 120 | 94 | 2,700 |
| Virginia | 100 | 100 | 110 | 93 | 2,800 |
| Washington | 100 | 100 | 110 | 91 | 2,700 |
| West Virginia | 100 | 100 | 100 | 93 | 2,700 |
| Wisconsin | 100 | 100 | 150 | 94 | 3,800 |
| Wyoming | 100 | 100 | 90 | 94 | 2,900 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 100 | 100 | 70 | 91 | 1,800 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 99 | 97 | 60 | 94 | 2,200 |

1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred. The school participation rates are student-weighted percentages before substitution. Columns of percentages have different denominators. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-8. Public school and student participation rates in NAEP mathematics at grade 12, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | School participation |  |  | Student participation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student-weighted percent | School-weighted percent | Number of schools participating | Student-weighted percent | Number of students assessed |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

NOTE: The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred. The school participation rates are student-weighted percentages before substitution. Columns of percentages have different denominators. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-9. Public school and student participation rates for Trial Urban District Assessment (TUDA) in mathematics, by grade and urban district: 2013

| Grade and urban district | School participation |  |  | Student participation |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Student-weighted percent | School-weighted percent | Number of schools participating | Student-weighted percent | Number of students assessed |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

NOTE: The number of schools is rounded to the nearest ten. The number of students is rounded to the nearest hundred. The school participation rates are student-weighted percentages before substitution. DCPS = District of Columbia Public Schools.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

## Inclusion of Students With Disabilities and/or English Language Learners

It is important for NAEP to assess as many students selected to participate as possible. Assessing representative samples of students, including students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), helps to ensure that NAEP results accurately reflect the educational performance of all students in the target population, and can continue to serve as a meaningful measure of U.S. students' academic achievement over time.

The National Assessment Governing Board, which sets policy for NAEP, has been exploring ways to ensure that NAEP continues to appropriately include as many students as possible and to do so in a consistent manner for all jurisdictions assessed and reported. In March 2010, the Governing Board adopted a new policy, NAEP Testing and Reporting on Students with Disabilities and English Language Learners. This policy was the culmination of work with experts in testing and curriculum, and those who work with exceptional children and students learning to speak English. The policy aims to
¿ maximize participation of sampled students in NAEP,
¿ reduce variation in exclusion rates for SD and ELL students across states and districts,
¿ develop uniform national rules for including students in NAEP, and
¿ ensure that NAEP is fully representative of SD and ELL students.

The policy defines specific inclusion goals for NAEP samples. At the national, state, and district levels, the goal is to include 95 percent of all students selected for the NAEP samples, and 85 percent of those in the NAEP sample who are identified as SD or ELL.

Students are selected to participate in NAEP based on a sampling procedure designed to yield a sample of students that is representative of students in all schools nationwide and in public schools within each state. First, schools are selected, and then students are sampled from within those schools without regard to disability or English language proficiency. Once students are selected, those previously identified as SD or ELL may be offered accommodations or excluded.

States and jurisdictions vary in their proportions of special-needs students and in their policies on inclusion and the use of accommodations. While identification of rates SD and ELL students in some states, have leveled off in recent years, NAEP inclusion rates have generally remained steady or increased since 2003. Only a small number of states included a smaller percentage of students in the 2013 NAEP mathematics assessments than in 2011, and these decreases in inclusion rates were all a percentage point or less. Inclusion rates did not decrease since 2009 for any of the 11 jurisdictions that participated in both 2009 and 2013 at grade 12. This reflects efforts on the part of states and jurisdictions to include all students who can meaningfully participate in the NAEP assessments. The NAEP inclusion policy is an effort to ensure that this trend continues.

Determining whether each jurisdiction has met the NAEP inclusion goals involves looking at three different inclusion rates-an overall inclusion rate, an inclusion rate for SD students, and an inclusion rate for ELL students. Each inclusion rate is calculated as the percentage of sampled students who were included in the assessment (i.e., were not excluded).

Inclusion rate percentages are estimates because they are based on representative samples of students rather than on the entire population of students. As such, the inclusion rates are associated with a margin of error. The margin of error for each jurisdiction's inclusion rate was taken into account when comparing it to the corresponding inclusion goal. For example, if the point estimate of a state's overall inclusion rate was 93 percent and had a margin of error of plus or minus 3 percentage points, the state was considered to have met the 95 percent inclusion goal because the 95 percent goal falls within the margin of error, which ranges from 90 percent to 96 percent. Refer to the Technical Notes for more details about how the margin of error was used in these calculations.

## Confidence intervals for state inclusion rates

NAEP endeavors to include as many sampled students as possible in the assessment, including students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), and has established specific inclusion goals: 95 percent of all sampled students and 85 percent of sampled students identified as SD or ELL. Inclusion rates were computed for each state/jurisdiction participating in the 2013 assessment and compared to NAEP inclusion goals. Three inclusion percentages were computed for each state/jurisdiction. An overall inclusion percentage represents included students as a percentage of all students sampled within the state/jurisdiction. In addition, separate percentages were computed to report included students as a percentage of the state/jurisdiction sample that was
identified as SD (not including students having a Section 504 plan) or ELL.

Inclusion percentages are estimates based on a sample, and each estimate has a measure of uncertainty or margin of error. Confidence intervals quantify this uncertainty due to sampling, resulting in interval estimates of the inclusion percentages. Therefore, confidence intervals for inclusion percentages were used to determine upper and lower confidence bounds around the inclusion point estimates.

When determining whether each state/jurisdiction met the NAEP inclusion goals, the confidence intervals were used, rather than just the point estimates. This means that if the inclusion goal of either 95 percent or 85 percent fell within the corresponding confidence interval, the state/jurisdiction was considered as having met the goal. States/jurisdictions for which the upper bound of the confidence interval was less than 95 percent (or 85 percent) did not meet the inclusion goal.

See the National Assessment Governing Board's policy on NAEP Testing and Reporting on Students with Disabilities and English Language Learners at http://www.nagb.org/content/nagb/assets/documents/policies/naep testandreport studentswithdisabilities.pdf.

All of the states/jurisdictions participating in the 2013 mathematics assessment met the 95 percent inclusion goal at all three grades. See appendix table A-11 for the inclusion rates as a percentage of all students selected in each state/jurisdiction, and table A-12 for the rates as a percentage of the SD or ELL students.

All of districts participating in the 2013 mathematics assessment met the 95 percent inclusion goal at both grades 4 and 8 . See appendix table A-13 for the inclusion rates as a percentage of all students selected in each urban district, and table A-14 for the rates as a percentage of the SD or ELL students.

Table A-10. Percentage of fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade public and nonpublic school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) assessed in NAEP mathematics with accommodations, by SD/ELL category and type of accommodation: 2013

| Type of accommodation | Grade 4 |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  | Grade 12 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD and/or ELL | SD | ELL | SD and/or ELL | SD | ELL | SD and/or ELL | SD | ELL |
| Bilingual dictionary | 0.8 | 0.1 | 0.8 | 0.7 | 0.1 | 0.7 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Braille presentation |  | \# |  | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Braille response |  | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Breaks | 4.1 | 3.4 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 2.3 | 0.4 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Calculator | 1.1 | 1.1 | 0.1 | 2.3 | 2.3 | 0.2 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cue to stay on task | 1.2 | 1.1 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 0.1 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Directions read aloud in English | 3.3 | 2.5 | 1.2 | 2.9 | 2.5 | 0.6 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Directions read aloud in Spanish | 0.1 | \# | 0.1 | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Extended time | 11.4 | 8.1 | 4.4 | 9.8 | 8.2 | 2.3 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large-print booklet |  | \# |  | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Magnification device | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| One-on-one | 0.6 | 0.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.1 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Other | 0.3 | 0.3 | \# | 0.3 | 0.3 | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Read aloud (all) | 5.7 | 5.0 | 1.5 | 3.6 | 3.3 | 0.5 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Read aloud (occasional) | 1.3 | 0.8 | 0.6 | 1.3 | 1.1 | 0.3 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Read aloud in Spanish | 0.1 | \# | 0.1 | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| School staff administers | 0.5 | 0.5 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.3 | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Scribe | 0.5 | 0.5 | \# | 0.2 | 0.2 | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Sign language presentation |  |  |  | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Sign language response |  | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Small group |  | 8.0 | 2.9 | 8.4 | 7.6 | 1.5 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Spanish-English booklet | 0.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0.2 | \# | 0.2 | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Special equipment | 0.4 | 0.4 | \# | 0.3 | 0.3 | \# | TBA | TBA | TBA |

\# Rounds to zero.
$\dagger$ Not applicable. These accommodations are not offered at grade 12.
NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-11. Inclusion rate and confidence interval in NAEP mathematics for fourth-, eighth-, and twelfthgrade public school students, as a percentage of all students, by state/jurisdiction: 2013


- Not available.
${ }^{1}$ The state/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 95 percent.
2 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of
Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-12. Inclusion rate and standard error (SE) in NAEP mathematics for fourth-, eighth-, and twelfthgrade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), as a percentage of identified SD and ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD or ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 |  | Grade 8 |  | Grade 12 |  |  |  |
|  | SD | ELL | SD | ELL | SD |  | ELL |  |
|  | Inclusion rate SE | Inclusion rate SE | Inclusion rate SE | Inclusion rate SE | Inclusion rate | SE | Inclusion rate | SE |
| Nation (public) | $90^{1} 0.4$ | $96{ }^{1} 0.3$ | $89^{1} 0.4$ | $93{ }^{1} 0.6$ | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Alabama | $90^{1} 2.7$ | $96{ }^{1} 2.3$ | $91^{11} 1.6$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Alaska | $94^{1} 1.3$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.5$ | $93{ }^{1} 1.4$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.7$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arizona | $91^{11} 1.9$ | $95^{1} 1.2$ | $91^{11} 1.6$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | $90^{11} 1.8$ | $99^{1} 0.6$ | $84^{1} 2.1$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.4$ | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| California | $83^{1} 2.8$ | $95^{1} 0.6$ | $88^{1} 2.2$ | $94^{1} 1.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Colorado | $89^{11} 1.9$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.9$ | $89^{1} 2.2$ | $97^{11} 1.1$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | $90^{1} 1.8$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.7$ | $85^{1} 2.0$ | $91^{11} 3.1$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Delaware | $86^{1} 2.0$ | $933^{1} 2.9$ | $92{ }^{1} 1.2$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | $90^{11} 1.7$ | $93{ }^{1} 1.7$ | $90^{11} 1.7$ | $87^{1} 3.2$ | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Georgia | $88^{1} 2.0$ | $97^{11} 1.5$ | $88^{1} 1.9$ | $88^{1} 8.2$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hawaii | $92^{11.5}$ | $93^{11} 1.7$ | $92^{1} 1.7$ | $92^{1} 1.6$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | $90^{11} 1.7$ | $90^{1} 2.6$ | $87^{1} 2.2$ | $97^{11} 1.8$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | $94^{1} 1.4$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.3$ | $94^{1} 1.2$ | $95^{1} 1.6$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Indiana | $92^{1} 1.5$ | $97^{1} 1.6$ | $89^{1} 1.7$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | $96^{1} 1.1$ | $96^{1} 2.4$ | $94^{1} 1.3$ | $99^{1} 1.0$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Kansas | $91^{11} 1.5$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.6$ | $87^{1} 2.1$ | $99^{1} 0.9$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Kentucky | $89^{11} 1.8$ | $93{ }^{1} 2.7$ | $82{ }^{1} 2.6$ | $89^{1} 3.6$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Louisiana | $93{ }^{1} 1.6$ | $96{ }^{1} 2.9$ | $89^{1} 1.8$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Maine | $89^{1} 1.3$ | $88^{1} 4.0$ | $93^{1} 1.2$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Maryland | $93^{11} 1.5$ | $97^{1} 0.8$ | $89^{1} 2.0$ | $83^{1} 4.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | $90^{11} 1.6$ | $96^{11.2}$ | $91^{11} 1.3$ | $87^{14} 4.3$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | $87^{11} 1.9$ | $95^{1} 2.0$ | $84{ }^{1} 3.6$ | $84^{1} 5.2$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Minnesota | $91^{11} 1.4$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.9$ | $88{ }^{1} 2.1$ | $95^{1} 2.7$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Mississippi | $93{ }^{1} 1.7$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | $91^{1} 2.7$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Missouri | $90^{11} 1.8$ | $96{ }^{1} 2.9$ | $89^{1} 1.9$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Montana | $86^{11} 1.9$ | $95^{1} 2.5$ | $87^{1} 2.1$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Nebraska | $91^{11} 1.7$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.1$ | $88{ }^{1} 1.7$ | $90^{1} 3.1$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Nevada | $90^{11} 1.6$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.5$ | $93{ }^{1} 1.8$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.6$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | $92^{1} 1.3$ | $97{ }^{1} 2.6$ | $94^{1} 1.2$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | $93^{11} 1.5$ | $93{ }^{1} 3.0$ | $92^{1} 1.8$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Mexico | $92^{1} 1.5$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.6$ | $89^{1} 1.5$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.7$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York | $94^{1} 1.6$ | $93^{1} 1.5$ | $89^{1} 2.3$ | $94^{1} 2.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| North Carolina | $92^{1} 1.3$ | $95^{1} 1.3$ | $92^{1} 1.5$ | $94^{1} 1.9$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| North Dakota | $81^{11} 2.1$ | $89^{1} 3.4$ | $79^{1} 1.8$ | $85^{1} 3.5$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Ohio | $91^{11} 1.4$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.7$ | $89^{1} 1.5$ | $97^{11} 1.6$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Oklahoma | $89^{1} 1.6$ | $97^{11} 1.0$ | $91^{11} 1.5$ | $92{ }^{1} 2.6$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Oregon | $87^{11} 1.6$ | $96{ }^{1} 0.8$ | $90^{11} 1.9$ | $97^{1} 2.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Pennsylvania | $91^{11} 1.2$ | $90^{1} 3.3$ | $91^{11} 1.6$ | $89^{1} 4.7$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Rhode Island | $93^{11} 1.5$ | $97^{1} 0.9$ | $95^{1} 1.0$ | $92{ }^{1} 2.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Carolina | $93^{11} 1.7$ | $99^{1} 0.9$ | $90^{1} 2.1$ | $95^{1} 2.2$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | $91^{11} 1.4$ | $98{ }^{1} 1.4$ | $89^{1} 1.7$ | $91^{11} 4.6$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | $92^{1} 1.6$ | $91^{1} 3.4$ | $85^{1} 2.1$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Texas | $85^{1} 2.0$ | $97{ }^{1} 0.6$ | $85^{1} 2.8$ | $90^{1} 2.5$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Utah | $92^{1} 1.9$ | $93{ }^{1} 1.9$ | $88{ }^{1} 1.8$ | $92{ }^{1} 2.8$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Vermont | $92^{1} 1.1$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | $95^{1} 0.9$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Virginia | $90^{11} 1.6$ | $95^{1} 2.2$ | $94^{1} 1.1$ | $95^{11} 1.9$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Washington | $85^{1} 2.4$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.5$ | $86{ }^{1} 2.4$ | $92{ }^{1} 2.3$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | $91^{11} 1.5$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | $86^{1} 1.9$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Wisconsin | $89^{11} 1.4$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.0$ | $90^{11} 1.9$ | $96{ }^{1} 1.4$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Wyoming | $94^{1} 0.9$ | $94^{1} 2.3$ | $89^{11} 1.5$ | $\ddagger \quad \dagger$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | $94^{1} 1.3$ | $91^{1} 2.3$ | $98{ }^{1} 0.7$ | $89^{1} 3.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| DoDEA ${ }^{2}$ | $90^{11} 1.3$ | $88{ }^{1} 2.3$ | $90{ }^{1} 2.0$ | $87^{1} 3.0$ | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

- Not available.
$\dagger$ Not applicable. Standard error estimate cannot be accurately determined.
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate.
1 The state/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 85 percent.
${ }^{2}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: SD includes students identified as having an Individualized Education Program but excludes other students protected under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-13. Inclusion rate and confidence interval in NAEP mathematics for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students, as a percentage of all students, by urban district/jurisdiction: 2013

| Urban district/jurisdiction | Grade 4 |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Inclusion rate | 95\% confidence interval |  | Inclusion rate | 95\% confidence interval |  |
|  |  | Lower | Upper |  | Lower | Upper |
| Nation (public) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA |

1 Large city includes students from all cities in the nation with populations of 250,000 or more including the participating districts.
2 The urban district/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 95 percent.
NOTE: DCPS = District of Columbia Public Schools.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

## Accommodations

Prior to 1996, no testing accommodations were provided to students taking the NAEP mathematics assessment, resulting in the exclusion of students who could not be assessed without them. As the number of identified students with disabilities and English language learners increased over the years, the exclusion of those needing accommodations to participate in NAEP threatened the stability of trend lines (excluding more students in one assessment year than in another might lead to apparent rather than real differences), and threatened to compromise NAEP samples as optimally representative of target populations. Therefore, administration procedures allowing for many of the same testing accommodations provided on state and district assessments (e.g., extra testing time or individual rather than group administration) were introduced in 1996 for national NAEP mathematics assessments and in 2000 for NAEP state assessments.

The percentages of SD/ELL students assessed with the available accommodations in 2013 are presented in table A-14. Students assessed with accommodations typically received some combination of accommodations. In contrast to assessment years prior to 2009 in which students were only counted once in the category reflecting the primary accommodation provided, students are counted in the categories for each accommodation they received in 2013. For example, students assessed in small groups (as compared with standard NAEP sessions of about 30 students) were also usually given extended time and are included in counts for both groups in table A-14.

Since providing accommodations represented a change in testing conditions that could potentially affect the measurement of changes over time, split national samples of students were assessed in mathematics in 1996 and 2000, and split state samples were assessed in 2000. In each of these years, one sample permitted accommodations, and the other did not. This eased the transition to single samples in which accommodations were permitted beginning in 2003 while maintaining trends back to 1990.

Table A-14. Inclusion rate and standard error (SE) in NAEP mathematics for fourth- and eighth-grade public school students with disabilities (SD) and English language learners (ELL), as a percentage of identified SD and ELL students, by urban district/jurisdiction: 2013

| Urban district/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD or ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Grade 4 |  |  |  | Grade 8 |  |  |  |
|  | SD |  | ELL |  | SD |  | ELL |  |
|  | Inclusion rate | SE | Inclusion rate | SE | Inclusion rate | SE | Inclusion rate | SE |
| Nation (public) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA | TBA TBA | TBA |

$\dagger$ Not applicable. Standard error estimate cannot be accurately determined.
$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met. Sample size insufficient to permit a reliable estimate
1 Large city includes students from all cities in the nation with populations of 250,000 or more including the participating districts.
${ }^{2}$ The urban district/jurisdiction's inclusion rate is higher than or not significantly different from the National Assessment Governing Board goal of 85 percent.
NOTE: SD includes students identified as having an Individualized Education Program but excludes other students protected under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. DCPS = District of Columbia Public Schools.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

## Exclusion Rates

Even with the availability of accommodations, some students are excluded from the NAEP assessments by their schools. The decision to exclude any student is made by school staff, who, using NAEP guidelines and each student's Individualized Education Program (IEP), decide whether the student can meaningfully be assessed.

In 2013, the method used by school staff to determine whether or not a student should be excluded from the NAEP assessment was revised. Previously, a student who required an accommodation specified in their IEP that was not allowed by NAEP was excluded. Beginning in 2013, SD students could be excluded only if they took an alternate assessment with alternate achievement standards, and ELL students could be excluded only if they had been enrolled in U.S. schools for less than one year. All other students were encouraged to take the assessment, even if their accommodation was not allowed by NAEP. Schools, students, or parents could, however, refuse to allow such a student to be assessed. For weighting and reporting purposes, these refusals were counted as exclusions.

Jurisdictions vary in their proportions of special-needs students. These variations, as well as differences in policies and practices regarding the identification and inclusion of special-needs students, lead to differences in exclusion and accommodation rates. These differences should be considered when comparing student performance over time and across jurisdictions. While the effect of exclusion is not precisely known, the validity of comparisons of performance results could be affected if exclusion rates are comparatively high or vary widely over time.

National Exclusion Rates (public and nonpublic school students): In the 1992 national sample, when accommodations were not permitted, 9 percent of students at grade 4 and 9 percent at grade 8 were identified as SD and/or ELL, 6 percent were excluded at grades 4 and 8 (table A-15). In 2013, 13 and 22 percent of students across the three grades were identified as SD and/or ELL, with 1 percent excluded at grade 4,1 percent excluded at grade 8 , and 2 percent excluded at grade 12 (table A-16). The percentage of SD and/or ELL students assessed with accommodations in 2013 was 13 percent at grade 4 , 12 percent at grade 8 , and 9 percent at grade 12. (Note that the denominator for these percentages includes assessed students plus excluded students; it does not include sampled students who were absent or refused to participate. The proportions of SD and/or ELL students excluded and assessed with and without accommodations as a percentage of students identified are provided in table A-17).

State Exclusion Rates (public school students only): Across the states/jurisdictions that participated in the 1992 mathematics assessment at grade 4, the percentage of students identified as SD and/or ELL ranged from 7 to 28 percent, and the percentage excluded ranged from 2 to 12 percent (table A-18). In comparison, the state percentages of fourth-graders identified as SD and/or ELL in 2013 ranged from 9 to 24 percent, and exclusion rates ranged from 1 to 3 percent (table A-19).

Across the states/jurisdictions that participated in the 1990 mathematics assessment at grade 8, the percentage of students identified as SD and/or ELL ranged from 6 to 15 percent, and the percentage excluded ranged from 2 to 7 percent (table A-19). In comparison, the state percentages of eighth-graders identified as SD and/or ELL in 2013 ranged from 8 to 23 percent, and exclusion rates ranged from 1 to 10 percent (table A-20).

Rates by state are reported separately for SD and ELL students at each grade in tables A-23 through A-32. Rates are also reported as the percentage of SD and/or ELL students identified in each state in tables A-33 through A-35.

## Table A-15. Percentage of fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade public and nonpublic school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were not permitted: 1992 and 1996

| Grade and SD/ELL category | 1992 | 1996 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |
| Identified | 9 | 14 |
| Excluded | 6 | 6 |
| Assessed | 3 | 8 |
| SD |  |  |
| Identified | 7 | 11 |
| Excluded | 4 | 5 |
| Assessed | 3 | 6 |
| ELL |  |  |
| Identified | 3 | 3 |
| Excluded | 2 | 1 |
| Assessed | 1 | 2 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |
| Identified | 9 | 11 |
| Excluded | 6 | 4 |
| Assessed | 4 | 6 |
| SD |  |  |
| Identified | 7 | 9 |
| Excluded | 4 | 4 |
| Assessed | 3 | 5 |
| ELL |  |  |
| Identified | 2 | 3 |
| Excluded | 2 | 1 |
| Assessed | 1 | 2 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA |

\# Rounds to zero.
NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1992 and 1996 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-16. Percentage of fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade public and nonpublic school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted: Various years, 1996-2013

| Grade and SD/ELL category | 1996 | 2000 | 2003 | 2005 | 2007 | 2009 | 2011 | 2013 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 15 | 18 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 21 | 22 | 22 |
| Excluded | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Assessed | 11 | 14 | 17 | 18 | 19 | 19 | 20 | 20 |
| Without accommodations | 7 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 9 | 8 | 8 | 7 |
| With accommodations | 5 | 5 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 12 | 13 |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 10 | 12 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 | 13 |
| Excluded | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Assessed | 7 | 9 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 11 | 12 |
| Without accommodations | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| With accommodations | 4 | 4 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 8 | 8 | 10 |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 6 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 10 | 11 | 10 |
| Excluded | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# |
| Assessed | 5 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 9 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Without accommodations | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 |
| With accommodations | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 12 | 13 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 17 | 16 |
| Excluded | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Assessed | 8 | 10 | 14 | 14 | 13 | 14 | 14 | 15 |
| Without accommodations | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 3 |
| With accommodations | 3 | 3 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 9 | 10 | 12 |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 9 | 10 | 13 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 | 12 |
| Excluded | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Assessed | 6 | 7 | 10 | 10 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 |
| Without accommodations | 4 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| With accommodations | 2 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 6 | 8 | 8 | 10 |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | 3 | 4 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| Excluded | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# |
| Assessed | 2 | 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Without accommodations | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 3 | 2 |
| With accommodations | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 3 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Without accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| With accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Without accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| With accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Identified | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Excluded | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Assessed | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Without accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| With accommodations | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

— Not available.

## \# Rounds to zero.

NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1996-2013 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-17. Percentage of fourth-, eighth-, and twelfth-grade public and nonpublic school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students, by grade and SD/ELL category: 2013

| Grade and SD/ELL category | Percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Grade 4 |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL | 7 | 93 | 31 | 62 |
| SD | 9 | 91 | 16 | 75 |
| ELL | 4 | 96 | 47 | 49 |
| Grade 8 |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL | 9 | 91 | 19 | 72 |
| SD | 10 | 90 | 10 | 80 |
| ELL | 7 | 93 | 40 | 53 |
| Grade 12 |  |  |  |  |
| SD and/or ELL | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-18. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 1992, 1996, and 2000

| State/jurisdiction | 1992 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed |
| Nation (public) | 10 | 7 | 4 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 16 | 7 | 9 |
| Alabama | 10 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | 20 | 4 | 16 | - | - | - |
| Arizona | 15 | 5 | 10 | 21 | 12 | 9 | 25 | 12 | 13 |
| Arkansas | 12 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| California | 28 | 12 | 16 | 33 | 16 | 17 | 33 | 9 | 24 |
| Colorado | 10 | 5 | 5 | 15 | 8 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Connecticut | 14 | 7 | 7 | 16 | 8 | 8 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| Delaware | 12 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Florida | 17 | 8 | 8 | 19 | 10 | 9 | - | - | - |
| Georgia | 10 | 5 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| Hawaii | 13 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 9 | 19 | 10 | 9 |
| Idaho | 9 | 3 | 6 | - | - | - | 16 | 6 | 10 |
| Illinois | - | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 10 | 6 |
| Indiana | 7 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 5 |
| lowa | 9 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| Kansas | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 7 | 9 |
| Kentucky | 8 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 3 |
| Louisiana | 8 | 4 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 7 | 16 | 8 | 8 |
| Maine | 14 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| Maryland | 11 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 7 | 12 | 9 | 4 |
| Massachusetts | 18 | 7 | 11 | 18 | 9 | 9 | 19 | 10 | 9 |
| Michigan | 7 | 5 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 8 | 3 |
| Minnesota | 9 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 16 | 6 | 10 |
| Mississippi | 7 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Missouri | 12 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 10 | 6 |
| Montana | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Nebraska | 13 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 18 | 8 | 10 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | 16 | 9 | 8 | 20 | 10 | 9 |
| New Hampshire | 12 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Jersey | 11 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 5 | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 15 | 7 | 8 | 22 | 12 | 10 | 31 | 12 | 19 |
| New York | 12 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 16 | 12 | 4 |
| North Carolina | 12 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 16 | 13 | 3 |
| North Dakota | 9 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| Ohio | 10 | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | 12 | 10 | 2 |
| Oklahoma | 13 | 7 | 6 | - | - | - | 20 | 10 | 10 |
| Oregon | - | - | - | 19 | 9 | 10 | 18 | 8 | 11 |
| Pennsylvania | 9 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Rhode Island | 15 | 6 | 10 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 23 | 12 | 11 |
| South Carolina | 10 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 17 | 7 | 10 |
| Tennessee | 12 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Texas | 17 | 8 | 9 | 24 | 10 | 14 | 25 | 15 | 10 |
| Utah | 10 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Vermont | - | - | - | 14 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 11 | 5 |
| Virginia | 11 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 16 | 11 | 5 |
| Washington | - | - | - | 13 | 5 | 8 | - | - | - |
| West Virginia | 9 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 3 |
| Wisconsin | 11 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 19 | 12 | 8 |
| Wyoming | 10 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 11 | 9 | 2 | 14 | 11 | 3 | 19 | 9 | 10 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | - | 9 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 6 |

— Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: South Dakota did not participate in NAEP mathematics assessments from 1992 to 2000. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1992, 1996, and 2000 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-19. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13


[^1]Table A-19. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2005 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withaccommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withaccommodations accommodations |  |
| Nation (public) | 23 | 3 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 23 | 3 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
| Alabama | 13 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
| Alaska | 32 | 2 | 30 | 15 | 15 | 30 | 2 | 28 | 13 | 15 |
| Arizona | 29 | 4 | 25 | 17 | 8 | 25 | 3 | 22 | 14 | 7 |
| Arkansas | 16 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 11 |
| California | 39 | 4 | 35 | 31 | 5 | 40 | 2 | 38 | 33 | 5 |
| Colorado | 22 | 3 | 19 | 5 | 14 | 25 | 2 | 24 | 9 | 15 |
| Connecticut | 16 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 18 | 1 | 17 | 4 | 13 |
| Delaware | 20 | 8 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 5 | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| Florida | 25 | 3 | 21 | 5 | 17 | 22 | 3 | 18 | 2 | 16 |
| Georgia | 16 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Hawaii | 18 | 3 | 16 | 6 | 9 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 7 | 11 |
| Idaho | 18 | 1 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 8 |
| Illinois | 22 | 3 | 20 | 9 | 10 | 23 | 5 | 18 | 8 | 10 |
| Indiana | 18 | 2 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 22 | 3 | 19 | 7 | 12 |
| lowa | 18 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 17 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| Kansas | 19 | 3 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 20 | 3 | 17 | 7 | 10 |
| Kentucky | 15 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 9 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Louisiana | 24 | 4 | 20 | 3 | 18 | 19 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 13 |
| Maine | 20 | 4 | 16 | 5 | 12 | 19 | 3 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| Maryland | 17 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 9 |
| Massachusetts | 24 | 4 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 23 | 5 | 18 | 6 | 12 |
| Michigan | 17 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Minnesota | 19 | 2 | 17 | 9 | 9 | 21 | 2 | 18 | 8 | 10 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 6 |
| Missouri | 18 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 16 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Montana | 14 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Nebraska | 23 | 2 | 21 | 9 | 12 | 23 | 3 | 20 | 10 | 10 |
| Nevada | 26 | 3 | 23 | 13 | 10 | 32 | 3 | 29 | 16 | 13 |
| New Hampshire | 22 | 2 | 20 | 5 | 14 | 21 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 14 |
| New Jersey | 18 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| New Mexico | 36 | 3 | 33 | 15 | 18 | 32 | 4 | 29 | 14 | 15 |
| New York | 20 | 4 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 2 | 17 |
| North Carolina | 21 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 21 | 2 | 19 | 5 | 14 |
| North Dakota | 17 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 9 |
| Ohio | 13 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Oklahoma | 21 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 19 | 5 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Oregon | 27 | 4 | 23 | 11 | 11 | 26 | 3 | 23 | 9 | 14 |
| Pennsylvania | 18 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 5 | 11 |
| Rhode Island | 26 | 3 | 23 | 8 | 15 | 25 | 2 | 23 | 7 | 16 |
| South Carolina | 16 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 8 |
| South Dakota | 19 | 2 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 19 | 1 | 17 | 9 | 8 |
| Tennessee | 13 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Texas | 27 | 6 | 21 | 13 | 8 | 26 | 5 | 21 | 12 | 9 |
| Utah | 23 | 2 | 20 | 11 | 9 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 11 | 9 |
| Vermont | 18 | 3 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 19 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| Virginia | 22 | 5 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 22 | 5 | 17 | 7 | 10 |
| Washington | 21 | 3 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 22 | 3 | 19 | 8 | 11 |
| West Virginia | 20 | 2 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 18 | 1 | 17 | 8 | 8 |
| Wisconsin | 19 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 21 | 3 | 18 | 5 | 13 |
| Wyoming | 19 | 2 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 10 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 20 | 6 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 20 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 13 |
| DoDEA 1 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 9 |

[^2]Table A-19. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assessed without <br> Identified Excluded Assessed <br> accommodations assessed with <br> accommodations |  |  |  |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without ed accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 23 | 2 | 20 | 9 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 21 | 9 | 12 |
| Alabama | 12 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 4 |
| Alaska | 25 | 1 | 24 | 6 | 17 | 27 | 3 | 25 | 7 | 18 |
| Arizona | 26 | 1 | 24 | 11 | 14 | 22 | 1 | 21 | 5 | 15 |
| Arkansas | 17 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 20 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 14 |
| California | 36 | 2 | 34 | 28 | 5 | 38 | 2 | 36 | 29 | 7 |
| Colorado | 21 | 2 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 25 | 1 | 24 | 9 | 14 |
| Connecticut | 18 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 19 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 16 |
| Delaware | 18 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 19 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 12 |
| Florida | 23 | 2 | 21 | 4 | 18 | 23 | 2 | 22 | 3 | 19 |
| Georgia | 14 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 16 | 2 | 15 | 4 | 10 |
| Hawaii | 20 | 1 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 7 | 11 |
| Idaho | 15 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 9 |
| Illinois | 22 | 3 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 21 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 13 |
| Indiana | 19 | 2 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 6 | 14 |
| lowa | 18 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 15 |
| Kansas | 22 | 3 | 19 | 7 | 12 | 24 | 2 | 23 | 10 | 13 |
| Kentucky | 17 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 9 |
| Louisiana | 22 | 2 | 20 | 4 | 16 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 3 | 18 |
| Maine | 20 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 20 | 2 | 19 | 4 | 15 |
| Maryland | 19 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 19 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| Massachusetts | 24 | 5 | 19 | 7 | 13 | 25 | 3 | 21 | 6 | 15 |
| Michigan | 17 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 9 |
| Minnesota | 21 | 2 | 19 | 8 | 11 | 23 | 1 | 22 | 9 | 13 |
| Mississippi | 10 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 6 |
| Missouri | 16 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 16 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| Montana | 14 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| Nebraska | 24 | 3 | 21 | 10 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 22 | 8 | 14 |
| Nevada | 30 | 3 | 27 | 11 | 17 | 35 | 2 | 33 | 11 | 22 |
| New Hampshire | 21 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 15 |
| New Jersey | 19 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 20 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| New Mexico | 26 | 2 | 24 | 8 | 15 | 27 | 3 | 24 | 9 | 15 |
| New York | 22 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 20 | 23 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 21 |
| North Carolina | 19 | 2 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 21 | 2 | 19 | 7 | 12 |
| North Dakota | 17 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Ohio | 16 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| Oklahoma | 19 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 21 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 7 |
| Oregon | 26 | 3 | 23 | 8 | 15 | 28 | 3 | 25 | 10 | 15 |
| Pennsylvania | 18 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 18 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 13 |
| Rhode Island | 22 | 2 | 20 | 5 | 15 | 19 | 1 | 18 | 5 | 13 |
| South Carolina | 19 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 18 | 1 | 17 | 7 | 10 |
| South Dakota | 16 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 19 | 2 | 18 | 9 | 9 |
| Tennessee | 16 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 17 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Texas | 29 | 3 | 26 | 18 | 8 | 30 | 4 | 26 | 18 | 8 |
| Utah | 19 | 2 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 6 | 10 |
| Vermont | 21 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 19 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 15 |
| Virginia | 20 | 2 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 12 |
| Washington | 21 | 2 | 19 | 8 | 12 | 22 | 2 | 20 | 7 | 14 |
| West Virginia | 17 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 8 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 20 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 15 | 21 | 2 | 19 | 4 | 16 |
| Wyoming | 18 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 12 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 20 | 4 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 21 | 5 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 18 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 19 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 10 |

[^3]Table A-19. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 23 | 2 | 21 | 7 | 14 |
| Alabama | 12 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Alaska | 27 | 1 | 26 | 4 | 22 |
| Arizona | 17 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| Arkansas | 21 | 1 | 20 | 5 | 15 |
| California | 32 | 2 | 30 | 22 | 9 |
| Colorado | 23 | 1 | 21 | 9 | 12 |
| Connecticut | 19 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 16 |
| Delaware | 18 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| Florida | 25 | 2 | 23 | 2 | 20 |
| Georgia | 16 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 11 |
| Hawaii | 17 | 1 | 16 | 5 | 11 |
| Idaho | 15 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 10 |
| Illinois | 20 | 1 | 19 | 4 | 15 |
| Indiana | 22 | 2 | 20 | 3 | 17 |
| lowa | 18 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 14 |
| Kansas | 26 | 2 | 25 | 10 | 15 |
| Kentucky | 15 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Louisiana | 22 | 1 | 21 | 3 | 18 |
| Maine | 22 | 2 | 20 | 2 | 17 |
| Maryland | 21 | 1 | 20 | 2 | 17 |
| Massachusetts | 27 | 2 | 25 | 8 | 17 |
| Michigan | 20 | 2 | 18 | 7 | 11 |
| Minnesota | 22 | 1 | 20 | 10 | 11 |
| Mississippi | 12 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Missouri | 16 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Montana | 15 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 9 |
| Nebraska | 22 | 2 | 21 | 6 | 14 |
| Nevada | 31 | 1 | 30 | 7 | 23 |
| New Hampshire | 18 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 15 |
| New Jersey | 19 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 17 |
| New Mexico | 28 | 1 | 27 | 10 | 17 |
| New York | 22 | 1 | 21 | 1 | 20 |
| North Carolina | 20 | 1 | 19 | 5 | 14 |
| North Dakota | 16 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Ohio | 17 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 14 |
| Oklahoma | 22 | 2 | 20 | 6 | 14 |
| Oregon | 27 | 2 | 24 | 9 | 15 |
| Pennsylvania | 18 | 2 | 17 | 4 | 13 |
| Rhode Island | 19 | 1 | 18 | 3 | 15 |
| South Carolina | 20 | 1 | 19 | 7 | 12 |
| South Dakota | 19 | 1 | 17 | 7 | 11 |
| Tennessee | 18 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 14 |
| Texas | 33 | 2 | 31 | 13 | 18 |
| Utah | 18 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 13 |
| Vermont | 19 | 1 | 18 | 2 | 16 |
| Virginia | 19 | 2 | 18 | 5 | 13 |
| Washington | 22 | 2 | 20 | 6 | 14 |
| West Virginia | 19 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 10 |
| Wisconsin | 21 | 2 | 20 | 3 | 16 |
| Wyoming | 18 | 1 | 17 | 4 | 13 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 20 | 1 | 19 | 1 | 18 |
| DoDEA1 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 12 |

- Not available.

1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000-13 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-20. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1990-2000

| State/jurisdiction | 1990 |  |  | 1992 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed |
| Nation (public) | - | - | - | 10 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 7 | 8 |
| Alabama | 9 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | - |  | 15 | 5 | 10 | - | - | - |
| Arizona | 12 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 19 | 9 | 10 |
| Arkansas | 11 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 5 |
| California | 15 | 7 | 8 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 20 | 10 | 10 | 27 | 9 | 18 |
| Colorado | 10 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - |
| Connecticut | 11 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 7 | 8 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| Delaware | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 9 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Florida | 11 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 16 | 10 | 6 | - | - | - |
| Georgia | 7 | 3 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 10 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 7 | 13 |
| Idaho | 6 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Illinois | 9 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 8 | 7 |
| Indiana | 7 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 7 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
| lowa | 10 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 5 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Kansas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Kentucky | 7 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 9 | 4 |
| Louisiana | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| Maine | - | - | - | 11 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| Maryland | 11 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 13 | 11 | 3 |
| Massachusetts | - | - | - | 18 | 8 | 9 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 19 | 12 | 7 |
| Michigan | 8 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| Minnesota | 9 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| Mississippi | - | - | - | 10 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 3 |
| Missouri | - | - | - | 11 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| Montana | 6 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | 9 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 9 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 8 | 8 | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| New Hampshire | 12 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 4 | 11 | - | - | - |
| New Jersey | 12 | 7 | 5 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 13 | 7 | 6 | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 9 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 18 | 8 | 10 | 25 | 12 | 14 |
| New York | 12 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 16 | 13 | 3 |
| North Carolina | 9 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 16 | 14 | 2 |
| North Dakota | 8 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Ohio | 8 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | 11 | 9 | 3 |
| Oklahoma | 8 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| Oregon | 8 | 3 | 5 | - | - | - | 12 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 6 | 11 |
| Pennsylvania | 10 | 5 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rhode Island | 14 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 20 | 12 | 8 |
| South Carolina | - | - | - | 10 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Tennessee | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Texas | 12 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 20 | 10 | 11 |
| Utah | - | - | - | 9 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Vermont | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 10 | 7 |
| Virginia | 9 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| Washington | - | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 6 | 7 | - | - | - |
| West Virginia | 9 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 15 | 11 | 3 |
| Wisconsin | 8 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 17 | 10 | 7 |
| Wyoming | 8 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 6 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 13 | 10 | 4 | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| DoDEA1 | - |  | - | - | - | - | 8 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 3 |

— Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: South Dakota did not participate in NAEP mathematics assessments from 1990 to 2000. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2000 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-21. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13

| State/jurisdiction | 2000 |  |  |  |  | 2003 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with accommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 14 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 19 | 4 | 15 | 8 | 7 |
| Alabama | 14 | 6 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 11 | 9 | 3 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | - | 23 | 1 | 22 | 14 | 8 |
| Arizona | 19 | 3 | 16 | 11 | 4 | 24 | 4 | 20 | 15 | 6 |
| Arkansas | 14 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 4 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 8 |
| California | 27 | 4 | 22 | 17 | 5 | 27 | 3 | 25 | 22 | 3 |
| Colorado | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 2 | 14 | 5 | 8 |
| Connecticut | 16 | 6 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Delaware | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 9 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| Florida | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 11 |
| Georgia | 11 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Hawaii | 20 | 5 | 15 | 13 | 2 | 20 | 4 | 17 | 8 | 9 |
| Idaho | 14 | 2 | 12 | 8 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 9 | 5 |
| Illinois | 15 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 3 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 9 |
| Indiana | 12 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| lowa | - | - | - | - | - | 17 | 2 | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| Kansas | 14 | 3 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Kentucky | 14 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Louisiana | 13 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 16 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Maine | 15 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Maryland | 13 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 5 |
| Massachusetts | 19 | 3 | 17 | 8 | 9 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 11 |
| Michigan | 11 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Minnesota | 15 | 2 | 13 | 11 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| Missouri | 15 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Montana | 12 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 13 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 5 |
| Nevada | 16 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 5 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 9 | 6 |
| New Hampshire | - | - | - | - | - | 20 | 3 | 16 | 6 | 10 |
| New Jersey | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| New Mexico | 25 | 7 | 18 | 14 | 4 | 32 | 2 | 30 | 16 | 14 |
| New York | 16 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 20 | 5 | 15 | 3 | 12 |
| North Carolina | 16 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 4 | 15 | 3 | 12 |
| North Dakota | 11 | 2 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Ohio | 11 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 15 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 10 | 7 |
| Oregon | 17 | 3 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 20 | 3 | 16 | 11 | 6 |
| Pennsylvania | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Rhode Island | 20 | 3 | 16 | 12 | 4 | 23 | 4 | 20 | 7 | 13 |
| South Carolina | 13 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 4 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 6 |
| Tennessee | 13 | 2 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 12 | 1 |
| Texas | 20 | 8 | 12 | 10 | 2 | 20 | 7 | 13 | 11 | 2 |
| Utah | 14 | 3 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 16 | 3 | 14 | 9 | 5 |
| Vermont | 17 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 7 |
| Virginia | 15 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Washington | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 2 | 14 | 10 | 5 |
| West Virginia | 15 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 3 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 17 | 4 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Wyoming | 13 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 17 | 1 | 15 | 6 | 10 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 15 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 20 | 6 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 9 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 6 |

[^4]Table A-21. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13—Continued


[^5]Table A-21. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13—Continued


[^6]Table A-21. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 17 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 12 |
| Alabama | 11 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Alaska | 23 | 1 | 22 | 3 | 19 |
| Arizona | 13 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| Arkansas | 19 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 14 |
| California | 19 | 1 | 18 | 10 | 8 |
| Colorado | 18 | 1 | 17 | 5 | 11 |
| Connecticut | 18 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| Delaware | 17 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 15 |
| Florida | 18 | 2 | 16 | 1 | 15 |
| Georgia | 13 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Hawaii | 21 | 2 | 19 | 7 | 12 |
| Idaho | 12 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 8 |
| Illinois | 17 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| Indiana | 18 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| lowa | 15 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 13 |
| Kansas | 19 | 2 | 18 | 7 | 11 |
| Kentucky | 13 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| Louisiana | 16 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 14 |
| Maine | 20 | 1 | 18 | 2 | 16 |
| Maryland | 16 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 13 |
| Massachusetts | 22 | 2 | 20 | 4 | 16 |
| Michigan | 16 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 11 |
| Minnesota | 18 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 9 |
| Mississippi | 9 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| Missouri | 13 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 11 |
| Montana | 13 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 9 |
| Nebraska | 16 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 12 |
| Nevada | 16 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 12 |
| New Hampshire | 20 | 1 | 19 | 3 | 16 |
| New Jersey | 18 | 2 | 17 | \# | 16 |
| New Mexico | 24 | 2 | 22 | 10 | 12 |
| New York | 22 | 2 | 20 | \# | 19 |
| North Carolina | 18 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 14 |
| North Dakota | 16 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 11 |
| Ohio | 16 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 14 |
| Oklahoma | 19 | 2 | 17 | 3 | 14 |
| Oregon | 16 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 11 |
| Pennsylvania | 19 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 15 |
| Rhode Island | 19 | 1 | 18 | 2 | 16 |
| South Carolina | 15 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 10 |
| South Dakota | 13 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Tennessee | 12 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 10 |
| Texas | 18 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| Utah | 14 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Vermont | 18 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 15 |
| Virginia | 17 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| Washington | 16 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| West Virginia | 13 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 18 | 2 | 17 | 2 | 15 |
| Wyoming | 16 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 13 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 23 | 1 | 22 | 1 | 21 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 14 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 9 |

- Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000-13 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-22. Percentage of twelfth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities and/or English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 2009 and 2013

| State/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
NOTE: States/jurisdictions that did not participate at the state level in 2009 and/or 2013 are not shown. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2013 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-23. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 1992, 1996, and 2000

| State/jurisdiction | 1992 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed |
| Nation (public) | 7 | 5 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| Alabama | 10 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 7 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | 13 | 4 | 10 | - | - | - |
| Arizona | 7 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 4 |
| Arkansas | 11 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| California | 7 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Colorado | 8 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Connecticut | 10 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 11 | 8 | 3 |
| Delaware | 11 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 6 | 6 | - | - | - |
| Florida | 13 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 7 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Georgia | 9 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 4 |
| Hawaii | 10 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 8 | 5 |
| Idaho | 8 | 3 | 5 | - | - | - | 12 | 5 | 6 |
| Illinois | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| Indiana | 6 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 4 |
| lowa | 8 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 10 | 4 |
| Kansas | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| Kentucky | 8 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 11 | 8 | 3 |
| Louisiana | 7 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 15 | 7 | 8 |
| Maine | 14 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| Maryland | 10 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 11 | 8 | 3 |
| Massachusetts | 15 | 6 | 9 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Michigan | 7 | 5 | 2 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| Minnesota | 7 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 7 |
| Mississippi | 7 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Missouri | 12 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 9 | 5 |
| Montana | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| Nebraska | 12 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 16 | 6 | 9 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | 9 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| New Hampshire | 12 | 4 | 8 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Jersey | 8 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 5 | 4 | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 12 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| New York | 7 | 3 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 9 | 2 |
| North Carolina | 11 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 6 | 14 | 12 | 2 |
| North Dakota | 8 | 2 | 7 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| Ohio | 10 | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | 12 | 10 | 2 |
| Oklahoma | 11 | 7 | 4 | - | - | - | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| Oregon | - | - | - | 13 | 6 | 7 | 14 | 6 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | 8 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Rhode Island | 10 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 9 | 7 |
| South Carolina | 10 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 17 | 7 | 9 |
| Tennessee | 11 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 7 |
| Texas | 9 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| Utah | 9 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Vermont | - | - | - | 14 | 6 | 8 | 14 | 10 | 4 |
| Virginia | 10 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 13 | 10 | 3 |
| Washington | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - |
| West Virginia | 9 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 13 | 10 | 3 |
| Wisconsin | 9 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| Wyoming | 9 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 8 | 7 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | - | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 |

— Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: South Dakota did not participate in NAEP mathematics assessments from 1992 to 2000. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1992, 1996, and 2000 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-24. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13

| State/jurisdiction | 2000 |  |  |  |  | 2003 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withaccommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without d accommodations | Assessed with s accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 13 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Alabama | 13 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 2 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 1 | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| Arizona | 11 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 12 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 8 |
| California | 8 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 |
| Colorado | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 7 |
| Connecticut | 11 | 3 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 6 |
| Delaware | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Florida | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 2 | 16 | 4 | 12 |
| Georgia | 9 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Hawaii | 13 | 6 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 6 |
| Idaho | 12 | 1 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Illinois | 11 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Indiana | 10 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| lowa | 13 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Kansas | 12 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Kentucky | 11 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Louisiana | 15 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 21 | 3 | 18 | 3 | 16 |
| Maine | 15 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 18 | 3 | 14 | 4 | 10 |
| Maryland | 11 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Massachusetts | 14 | 1 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| Michigan | 10 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Minnesota | 12 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 14 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Mississippi | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| Missouri | 14 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Montana | 12 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Nebraska | 15 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Nevada | 10 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | 3 | 16 | 4 | 11 |
| New Jersey | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| New Mexico | 15 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 9 |
| New York | 11 | 2 | 8 | \# | 8 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 10 |
| North Carolina | 14 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 17 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 10 |
| North Dakota | 11 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 15 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 7 |
| Ohio | 12 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| Oklahoma | 16 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Oregon | 14 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 17 | 4 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Rhode Island | 16 | 2 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 5 | 13 |
| South Carolina | 17 | 5 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 17 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 4 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 1 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Tennessee | 10 | 2 | 8 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Texas | 15 | 6 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| Utah | 9 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Vermont | 15 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 10 |
| Virginia | 13 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| Washington | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| West Virginia | 13 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 15 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Wyoming | 14 | 2 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 13 | 3 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 7 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 8 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 6 |

[^7]Table A-24. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2005 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with accommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without d accommodations | Assessed with s accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 14 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Alabama | 11 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| Alaska | 15 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 10 |
| Arizona | 11 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| California | 10 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Colorado | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Connecticut | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Delaware | 16 | 7 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Florida | 18 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 12 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Georgia | 14 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Hawaii | 11 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Idaho | 11 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| Illinois | 14 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 8 |
| Indiana | 15 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 9 |
| lowa | 14 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Kansas | 14 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Kentucky | 14 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 7 |
| Louisiana | 24 | 4 | 20 | 3 | 17 | 18 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 13 |
| Maine | 19 | 3 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 11 |
| Maryland | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| Massachusetts | 18 | 3 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 11 |
| Michigan | 14 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 7 |
| Minnesota | 13 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 7 |
| Mississippi | 11 | 2 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 6 |
| Missouri | 16 | 2 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Montana | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Nebraska | 18 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Nevada | 12 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| New Hampshire | 20 | 2 | 18 | 4 | 14 | 19 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 13 |
| New Jersey | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| New Mexico | 14 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| New York | 15 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| North Carolina | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| North Dakota | 16 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Ohio | 12 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 8 |
| Oklahoma | 16 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 5 | 10 | 3 | 6 |
| Oregon | 15 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Pennsylvania | 16 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 10 |
| Rhode Island | 20 | 2 | 18 | 6 | 12 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 5 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 5 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 6 |
| South Dakota | 16 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 7 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Tennessee | 11 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 6 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Texas | 14 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Utah | 12 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Vermont | 16 | 3 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Virginia | 16 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Washington | 13 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| West Virginia | 19 | 2 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 17 | 1 | 16 | 8 | 8 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Wyoming | 15 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 16 | 5 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 10 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 7 |

[^8]Table A-24. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withaccommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without d accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 9 |
| Alabama | 10 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Alaska | 17 | 1 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Arizona | 13 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 8 |
| Arkansas | 12 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| California | 10 | 2 | 7 | 3 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Colorado | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Connecticut | 13 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 11 |
| Delaware | 15 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 11 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Florida | 17 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 16 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 12 |
| Georgia | 11 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 8 |
| Hawaii | 10 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Idaho | 10 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Illinois | 15 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| Indiana | 16 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 9 |
| lowa | 14 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 12 |
| Kansas | 14 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Kentucky | 15 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| Louisiana | 20 | 2 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 2 | 16 |
| Maine | 18 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 17 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| Maryland | 14 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| Massachusetts | 19 | 5 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 18 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 14 |
| Michigan | 14 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Minnesota | 14 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Mississippi | 10 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Missouri | 14 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Montana | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Nebraska | 18 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 17 | 1 | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| Nevada | 12 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| New Hampshire | 18 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 14 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 14 |
| New Jersey | 16 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 17 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 12 |
| New Mexico | 13 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| New York | 16 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 14 |
| North Carolina | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| North Dakota | 16 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 15 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Ohio | 14 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Oklahoma | 15 | 4 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Oregon | 16 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Pennsylvania | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Rhode Island | 17 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 14 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| South Dakota | 15 | 2 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Tennessee | 14 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Texas | 10 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Utah | 12 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Vermont | 19 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 17 | 1 | 16 | 2 | 14 |
| Virginia | 14 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Washington | 12 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| West Virginia | 17 | 2 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 18 | 1 | 16 | 7 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 15 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 10 |
| Wyoming | 16 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 11 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 11 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 15 | 5 | 11 | \# | 10 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 12 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 |

[^9]Table A-24. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 14 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Alabama | 10 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Alaska | 16 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| Arizona | 10 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Arkansas | 14 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| California | 10 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| Colorado | 10 | 1 | 9 | 2 | 8 |
| Connecticut | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Delaware | 16 | 2 | 14 | 2 | 12 |
| Florida | 16 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 12 |
| Georgia | 12 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Hawaii | 10 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
| Idaho | 11 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Illinois | 14 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| Indiana | 17 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| lowa | 13 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| Kansas | 15 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 11 |
| Kentucky | 13 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 9 |
| Louisiana | 20 | 1 | 19 | 2 | 17 |
| Maine | 20 | 2 | 18 | 2 | 16 |
| Maryland | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Massachusetts | 19 | 2 | 17 | 1 | 16 |
| Michigan | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 9 |
| Minnesota | 14 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Mississippi | 10 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 6 |
| Missouri | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 9 |
| Montana | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Nebraska | 17 | 1 | 15 | 4 | 11 |
| Nevada | 13 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| New Hampshire | 16 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 14 |
| New Jersey | 16 | 1 | 15 | 1 | 14 |
| New Mexico | 14 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 10 |
| New York | 17 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 15 |
| North Carolina | 15 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 12 |
| North Dakota | 14 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Ohio | 15 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 11 |
| Oklahoma | 17 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 12 |
| Oregon | 16 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 10 |
| Pennsylvania | 16 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 12 |
| Rhode Island | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| South Dakota | 16 | 1 | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| Tennessee | 14 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| Texas | 12 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Utah | 13 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Vermont | 17 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 15 |
| Virginia | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Washington | 14 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| West Virginia | 18 | 2 | 17 | 7 | 10 |
| Wisconsin | 15 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| Wyoming | 15 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 11 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 15 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 14 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 10 |

— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000-13 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-25. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1990-2000

| State/jurisdiction | 1990 |  |  | 1992 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed |
| Nation (public) | - | - | - | 8 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 6 |
| Alabama | 9 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - |
| Arizona | 7 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| Arkansas | 10 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 4 |
| California | 7 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 8 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 5 |
| Colorado | 8 | 4 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Connecticut | 9 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 13 | 7 | 6 | 14 | 9 | 5 |
| Delaware | 9 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 8 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Florida | 8 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 7 | 5 | - | - | - |
| Georgia | 6 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 7 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| Idaho | 6 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | 6 |
| Illinois | 8 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Indiana | 7 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 6 | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| lowa | 9 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 5 | 7 | - | - | - |
| Kansas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Kentucky | 7 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 9 | 4 |
| Louisiana | 6 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| Maine | - | - | - | 11 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 14 | 9 | 5 |
| Maryland | 9 | 4 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 3 |
| Massachusetts | - | - | - | 14 | 6 | 8 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| Michigan | 8 | 4 | 4 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| Minnesota | 8 | 3 | 6 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 8 |
| Mississippi | - | - | - | 10 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Missouri | - | - | - | 11 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 6 | 4 | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Montana | 6 | 2 | 4 | - | - | - | 9 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| Nebraska | 8 | 3 | 5 | 9 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 8 | 3 |
| New Hampshire | 12 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 11 | - | - | - |
| New Jersey | 10 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 5 | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 8 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 17 | 10 | 7 |
| New York | 8 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 10 | 1 |
| North Carolina | 9 | 3 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 8 | 4 | 5 | 14 | 13 | 2 |
| North Dakota | 7 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Ohio | 8 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 4 | - | - | - | 11 | 9 | 3 |
| Oklahoma | 7 | 5 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 | - | - | - | 13 | 8 | 5 |
| Oregon | 7 | 2 | 5 | - | - | - | 10 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Pennsylvania | 10 | 5 | 5 | 8 | 4 | 4 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rhode Island | 11 | 5 | 6 | 10 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 5 | 7 | 16 | 9 | 7 |
| South Carolina | - | - | - | 10 | 6 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| Tennessee | - | - | - | 10 | 5 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| Texas | 8 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Utah | - | - | - | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 6 |
| Vermont | - | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 9 | 7 |
| Virginia | 8 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 14 | 10 | 4 |
| Washington | - | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 5 | 6 | - | - | - |
| West Virginia | 9 | 5 | 4 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 13 | 8 | 4 | 14 | 11 | 3 |
| Wisconsin | 7 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 16 | 10 | 6 |
| Wyoming | 8 | 3 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 8 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 5 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 8 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| DoDEA1 | - |  | - | - | - | - | 7 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 4 | 3 |

— Not available.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: South Dakota did not participate in NAEP mathematics assessments from 1990 to 2000. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2000 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-26. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13

| State/jurisdiction | 2000 |  |  |  |  | 2003 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withaccommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without d accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 11 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| Alabama | 14 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 3 |
| Alaska | - | - | - |  | - | 15 | 1 | 14 | 6 | 8 |
| Arizona | 11 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 4 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| California | 10 | 3 | 7 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| Colorado | - | - | - | - | - | 12 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 7 |
| Connecticut | 14 | 5 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| Delaware | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 8 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Florida | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Georgia | 9 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Hawaii | 15 | 4 | 11 | 10 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Idaho | 11 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 4 |
| Illinois | 11 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 15 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 8 |
| Indiana | 11 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| lowa | - | - | - | - | - | 16 | 2 | 14 | 5 | 9 |
| Kansas | 12 | 3 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Kentucky | 12 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Louisiana | 12 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 16 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Maine | 14 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 4 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Maryland | 12 | 2 | 10 | 7 | 4 | 14 | 3 | 10 | 6 | 5 |
| Massachusetts | 16 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 10 |
| Michigan | 10 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Minnesota | 12 | 1 | 11 | 9 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Mississippi | 10 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Missouri | 14 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 15 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Montana | 12 | 2 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 6 |
| Nebraska | 11 | 3 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Nevada | 12 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | - | - | - | - | - | 19 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 9 |
| New Jersey | - | - | - | - | - | 15 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 12 |
| New Mexico | 17 | 7 | 10 | 8 | 3 | 20 | 2 | 18 | 8 | 10 |
| New York | 12 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 16 | 4 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| North Carolina | 14 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 16 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| North Dakota | 11 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| Ohio | 11 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Oklahoma | 13 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Oregon | 13 | 2 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 7 | 4 |
| Pennsylvania | - | - | - | - | - | 14 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 10 |
| Rhode Island | 16 | 3 | 14 | 10 | 4 | 20 | 3 | 17 | 5 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 13 | 4 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Tennessee | 11 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 11 | 1 |
| Texas | 14 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 8 | 2 |
| Utah | 10 | 2 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Vermont | 16 | 3 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 17 | 3 | 15 | 7 | 7 |
| Virginia | 13 | 5 | 7 | 4 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| Washington | - | - | - | - | - | 13 | 2 | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| West Virginia | 14 | 3 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 5 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 15 | 4 | 12 | 6 | 6 | 15 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 10 |
| Wyoming | 12 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 14 | 4 | 9 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 11 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 4 | 16 | 5 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 5 |

[^10]Table A-26. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2005 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withaccommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without ed accommodations | Assessed with s accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 6 |
| Alabama | 13 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| Alaska | 14 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 10 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 6 |
| Arizona | 10 | 3 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Arkansas | 14 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 7 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| California | 9 | 2 | 8 | 4 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Colorado | 10 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 7 |
| Connecticut | 13 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Delaware | 15 | 10 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Florida | 16 | 2 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| Georgia | 12 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 9 | 5 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 14 | 2 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 7 |
| Idaho | 12 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 10 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Illinois | 15 | 3 | 13 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 8 |
| Indiana | 15 | 4 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| lowa | 15 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| Kansas | 14 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Kentucky | 11 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Louisiana | 14 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
| Maine | 18 | 4 | 14 | 5 | 8 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Maryland | 11 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Massachusetts | 17 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 9 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Michigan | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 2 | 8 |
| Minnesota | 12 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Mississippi | 9 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Missouri | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 2 | 6 |
| Montana | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Nebraska | 13 | 1 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 7 |
| Nevada | 11 | 2 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | 18 | 2 | 16 | 6 | 10 | 19 | 3 | 16 | 5 | 12 |
| New Jersey | 16 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| New Mexico | 16 | 2 | 14 | 4 | 9 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 7 |
| New York | 15 | 3 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 11 |
| North Carolina | 14 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 11 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| North Dakota | 16 | 4 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Ohio | 14 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 15 | 7 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Oklahoma | 16 | 4 | 12 | 5 | 7 | 14 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Oregon | 13 | 2 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Pennsylvania | 15 | 3 | 12 | 3 | 10 | 15 | 4 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Rhode Island | 17 | 3 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 6 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| South Dakota | 12 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 6 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 6 |
| Tennessee | 14 | 5 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 6 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Texas | 13 | 5 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Utah | 11 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 6 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Vermont | 18 | 4 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 19 | 4 | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| Virginia | 15 | 4 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| Washington | 11 | 2 | 9 | 3 | 7 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| West Virginia | 17 | 3 | 14 | 6 | 8 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 10 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 9 |
| Wyoming | 14 | 2 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 9 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 17 | 5 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 17 | 9 | 8 | 2 | 6 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 9 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 |

[^11]Table A-26. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with accommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without ed accommodations | Assessed with s accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 13 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 13 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 9 |
| Alabama | 10 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 3 |
| Alaska | 13 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Arizona | 12 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
| Arkansas | 12 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| California | 9 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 6 |
| Colorado | 11 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 |
| Connecticut | 13 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| Delaware | 15 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 14 | 3 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Florida | 15 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 14 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Georgia | 11 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 6 |
| Hawaii | 12 | 1 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Idaho | 9 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
| Illinois | 14 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 9 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 10 |
| Indiana | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| lowa | 14 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 15 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Kansas | 12 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Kentucky | 12 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Louisiana | 15 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Maine | 17 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 12 | 18 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 14 |
| Maryland | 12 | 7 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 11 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| Massachusetts | 19 | 5 | 13 | 3 | 10 | 19 | 3 | 15 | 1 | 14 |
| Michigan | 13 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| Minnesota | 12 | 2 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Mississippi | 9 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 |
| Missouri | 13 | 3 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Montana | 12 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Nebraska | 14 | 3 | 11 | 3 | 8 | 14 | 3 | 11 | 2 | 8 |
| Nevada | 11 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | 20 | 3 | 17 | 5 | 12 | 18 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 13 |
| New Jersey | 16 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| New Mexico | 13 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| New York | 16 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 16 | 1 | 15 | \# | 14 |
| North Carolina | 12 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 10 |
| North Dakota | 15 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 14 | 4 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Ohio | 15 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 15 | 5 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Oklahoma | 15 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 16 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Oregon | 13 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Pennsylvania | 17 | 3 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 16 | 2 | 13 | 2 | 11 |
| Rhode Island | 18 | 2 | 16 | 3 | 13 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 3 | 12 |
| South Carolina | 14 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 2 | 6 |
| South Dakota | 10 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 3 | 7 |
| Tennessee | 11 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Texas | 12 | 5 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 11 | 5 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Utah | 10 | 3 | 7 | 2 | 6 | 10 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Vermont | 20 | 2 | 18 | 5 | 13 | 18 | 1 | 17 | 3 | 14 |
| Virginia | 14 | 3 | 10 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 2 | 11 | 3 | 8 |
| Washington | 11 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 9 |
| West Virginia | 15 | 2 | 13 | 4 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 14 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| Wyoming | 14 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 10 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 10 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 17 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 17 | 4 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 7 |

[^12]Table A-26. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 13 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 10 |
| Alabama | 10 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 5 |
| Alaska | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Arizona | 12 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| Arkansas | 13 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 11 |
| California | 10 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 7 |
| Colorado | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Connecticut | 15 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Delaware | 15 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 14 |
| Florida | 13 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 11 |
| Georgia | 12 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 9 |
| Hawaii | 12 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 8 |
| Idaho | 9 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Illinois | 13 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Indiana | 15 | 2 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| lowa | 13 | 1 | 12 | 1 | 12 |
| Kansas | 13 | 2 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| Kentucky | 11 | 2 | 10 | \# | 9 |
| Louisiana | 15 | 1 | 14 | \# | 13 |
| Maine | 18 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 15 |
| Maryland | 13 | 1 | 12 | \# | 11 |
| Massachusetts | 17 | 1 | 16 | 1 | 15 |
| Michigan | 13 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Minnesota | 13 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 8 |
| Mississippi | 8 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 6 |
| Missouri | 12 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| Montana | 12 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Nebraska | 14 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 11 |
| Nevada | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| New Hampshire | 18 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 15 |
| New Jersey | 17 | 1 | 15 | \# | 15 |
| New Mexico | 13 | 2 | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| New York | 16 | 2 | 15 | \# | 15 |
| North Carolina | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| North Dakota | 14 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 10 |
| Ohio | 15 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 13 |
| Oklahoma | 16 | 1 | 14 | 2 | 13 |
| Oregon | 14 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 10 |
| Pennsylvania | 16 | 1 | 15 | 2 | 13 |
| Rhode Island | 15 | 1 | 14 | 1 | 13 |
| South Carolina | 12 | 1 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| South Dakota | 11 | 1 | 10 | 2 | 8 |
| Tennessee | 11 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Texas | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Utah | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| Vermont | 17 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 15 |
| Virginia | 13 | 1 | 12 | 2 | 10 |
| Washington | 12 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 9 |
| West Virginia | 13 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Wisconsin | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 12 |
| Wyoming | 14 | 1 | 13 | 1 | 11 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 18 | \# | 17 | \# | 17 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 11 | 1 | 10 | 1 | 8 |

- Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000-13 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-27. Percentage of twelfth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 2009 and 2013

| State/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
NOTE: States/jurisdictions that did not participate at the state level in 2009 and/or 2013 are not shown. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2013 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-28. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 1992, 1996, and 2000

| State/jurisdiction | 1992 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Identified | Excluded | Assessed |
| Nation (public) | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| Alabama | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Alaska | - | - | - | 8 | 1 | 6 | - | - | - |
| Arizona | 8 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 7 | 6 | 16 | 7 | 9 |
| Arkansas | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| California | 22 | 10 | 12 | 26 | 12 | 14 | 27 | 7 | 20 |
| Colorado | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | - | - | - |
| Connecticut | 4 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Delaware | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Florida | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 3 | 3 | - | - | - |
| Georgia | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Hawaii | 4 | 2 | 3 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Idaho | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Illinois | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 4 | 2 |
| Indiana | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| lowa | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Kansas | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Kentucky | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Louisiana | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Maine | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Maryland | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Massachusetts | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Michigan | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 2 | \# | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Mississippi | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Missouri | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Montana | - | - | - | \# | \# | \# | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Nebraska | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | 8 | 4 | 4 | 11 | 5 | 6 |
| New Hampshire | \# | \# | \# | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| New Jersey | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 4 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 20 | 6 | 14 |
| New York | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 4 | 3 |
| North Carolina | 1 | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| North Dakota | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Ohio | 1 | \# | 1 | - | - | - | 1 | \# | \# |
| Oklahoma | 2 | \# | 1 | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 4 |
| Oregon | - | - | - | 6 | 3 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| Pennsylvania | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | - | - | - |
| Rhode Island | 6 | 3 | 3 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| South Carolina | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Tennessee | \# | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Texas | 9 | 4 | 5 | 13 | 5 | 9 | 13 | 7 | 5 |
| Utah | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Vermont | - | - | - | 1 | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Virginia | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Washington | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | - | - | - |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Wyoming | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 4 | 2 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |

— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: South Dakota did not participate in NAEP mathematics assessments from 1992 to 2000. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 1992, 1996, and 2000 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-29. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13

| State/jurisdiction | 2000 |  |  |  |  | 2003 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed Assessed without Assessed with |  |  |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withIdentified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 11 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 2 |
| Alabama | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Alaska | - | - | - | - | - | 18 | \# | 18 | 15 | 3 |
| Arizona | 16 | 3 | 13 | 8 | 5 | 19 | 2 | 17 | 15 | 2 |
| Arkansas | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | \# |
| California | 27 | 3 | 24 | 16 | 7 | 33 | 2 | 30 | 27 | 3 |
| Colorado | - | - | - | - | - | 9 | 1 | 9 | 4 | 4 |
| Connecticut | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Delaware | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Florida | - | - | - | - | - | 11 | 2 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Georgia | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Hawaii | 7 | 3 | 4 | 4 | \# | 7 | 2 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Idaho | 5 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| Illinois | 7 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 9 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Indiana | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 3 | \# | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| lowa | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Kansas | 5 | \# | 5 | 4 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Kentucky | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Louisiana | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Maine | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Maryland | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Massachusetts | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Michigan | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Mississippi | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# |
| Missouri | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Montana | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 4 | \# | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Nevada | 11 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 1 | 17 | 2 | 14 | 11 | 4 |
| New Hampshire | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| New Jersey | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| New Mexico | 20 | 2 | 18 | 12 | 6 | 29 | 2 | 27 | 18 | 9 |
| New York | 6 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 3 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| North Carolina | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| North Dakota | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 4 | \# | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Ohio | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Oklahoma | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Oregon | 6 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Pennsylvania | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| South Carolina | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Tennessee | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Texas | 13 | 2 | 11 | 8 | 3 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 10 | 4 |
| Utah | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 12 | 1 | 10 | 8 | 3 |
| Vermont | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Virginia | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| Washington | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 |
| Wyoming | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# | 4 | \# | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 6 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 |

[^13]Table A-29. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2005 |  |  |  |  | 2007 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assessed without Assessed with <br> Identified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with Identified Excluded Assessed accommodations accommodations |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | 10 | 1 | 9 | 7 | 3 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Alabama | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Alaska | 19 | 1 | 19 | 11 | 7 | 16 | 1 | 15 | 9 | 6 |
| Arizona | 20 | 2 | 18 | 14 | 5 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 11 | 3 |
| Arkansas | 4 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 5 |
| California | 33 | 3 | 30 | 28 | 2 | 34 | 1 | 33 | 30 | 3 |
| Colorado | 11 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 15 | \# | 14 | 7 | 7 |
| Connecticut | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 7 | \# | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Delaware | 5 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Florida | 8 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 5 |
| Georgia | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Hawaii | 8 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Idaho | 8 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 8 | \# | 8 | 5 | 2 |
| Illinois | 9 | 1 | 9 | 6 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 3 |
| Indiana | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| lowa | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Kansas | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 | 8 | \# | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Kentucky | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Louisiana | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Maine | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Maryland | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Massachusetts | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Michigan | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 7 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Mississippi | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Missouri | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Montana | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Nebraska | 7 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Nevada | 17 | 1 | 15 | 10 | 5 | 22 | 2 | 21 | 11 | 9 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | \# | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| New Jersey | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | \# | 3 | \# | 3 |
| New Mexico | 25 | 1 | 24 | 13 | 11 | 23 | 2 | 21 | 12 | 9 |
| New York | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| North Carolina | 6 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 4 |
| North Dakota | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Ohio | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Oklahoma | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | \# | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Oregon | 14 | 1 | 12 | 7 | 5 | 13 | 1 | 12 | 5 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| South Carolina | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| South Dakota | 4 | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 | 4 | \# | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Tennessee | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Texas | 15 | 2 | 13 | 9 | 4 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 9 | 5 |
| Utah | 12 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 8 | 4 |
| Vermont | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Virginia | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Washington | 9 | 1 | 8 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Wisconsin | 6 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Wyoming | 5 | \# | 4 | 3 | 1 | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 5 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 8 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 2 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |

[^14]Table A-29. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed with accommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without d accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 10 | 1 | 10 | 6 | 4 | 11 | \# | 11 | 6 | 4 |
| Alabama | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Alaska | 10 | \# | 10 | 3 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 4 | 9 |
| Arizona | 15 | \# | 14 | 7 | 8 | 12 | \# | 12 | 3 | 9 |
| Arkansas | 6 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 | 8 | \# | 8 | 2 | 5 |
| California | 30 | 1 | 28 | 26 | 2 | 32 | 1 | 31 | 27 | 4 |
| Colorado | 11 | \# | 10 | 5 | 6 | 16 | \# | 16 | 8 | 7 |
| Connecticut | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 | 6 | \# | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| Delaware | 4 | \# | 3 | \# | 3 | 4 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Florida | 8 | \# | 7 | \# | 7 | 9 | \# | 9 | \# | 8 |
| Georgia | 4 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 5 | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 10 | \# | 10 | 4 | 6 | 11 | \# | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Idaho | 5 | \# | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Illinois | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 5 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 6 |
| Indiana | 4 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 7 | \# | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| lowa | 5 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 6 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Kansas | 9 | \# | 9 | 5 | 4 | 11 | \# | 11 | 6 | 5 |
| Kentucky | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Louisiana | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Maine | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Maryland | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| Massachusetts | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 | 8 | 1 | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Michigan | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | \# | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 8 | 1 | 8 | 4 | 4 | 10 | \# | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| Mississippi | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Missouri | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Montana | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Nebraska | 7 | \# | 6 | 4 | 3 | 8 | \# | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Nevada | 20 | 1 | 20 | 8 | 12 | 27 | \# | 26 | 8 | 18 |
| New Hampshire | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| New Jersey | 4 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 3 | \# | 3 | \# | 3 |
| New Mexico | 17 | 1 | 16 | 7 | 9 | 17 | 1 | 16 | 8 | 8 |
| New York | 8 | 1 | 7 | \# | 7 | 9 | 1 | 9 | \# | 8 |
| North Carolina | 6 | \# | 5 | 2 | 4 | 7 | \# | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| North Dakota | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Ohio | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 | 3 | \# | 3 | \# | 3 |
| Oklahoma | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Oregon | 12 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 13 | 6 | 7 |
| Pennsylvania | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 6 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 6 | \# | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| South Carolina | 5 | \# | 5 | 2 | 2 | 6 | \# | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| South Dakota | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Tennessee | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 4 | \# | 3 | \# | 3 |
| Texas | 21 | 1 | 20 | 16 | 4 | 22 | 1 | 21 | 16 | 4 |
| Utah | 9 | 1 | 8 | 3 | 5 | 7 | \# | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| Vermont | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Virginia | 7 | \# | 6 | 2 | 5 | 7 | \# | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Washington | 10 | \# | 10 | 4 | 5 | 11 | \# | 11 | 4 | 7 |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 8 | \# | 8 | 1 | 6 |
| Wyoming | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 8 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 7 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |

[^15]Table A-29. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13-Continued

| State/jurisdiction | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 11 | \# | 11 | 5 | 5 |
| Alabama | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | 1 |
| Alaska | 14 | \# | 14 | 2 | 11 |
| Arizona | 7 | \# | 7 | 1 | 6 |
| Arkansas | 8 | \# | 8 | 3 | 6 |
| California | 26 | 1 | 25 | 20 | 4 |
| Colorado | 14 | \# | 14 | 8 | 6 |
| Connecticut | 6 | \# | 6 | \# | 5 |
| Delaware | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Florida | 10 | 1 | 10 | \# | 10 |
| Georgia | 5 | \# | 5 | 1 | 3 |
| Hawaii | 8 | 1 | 7 | 4 | 4 |
| Idaho | 5 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Illinois | 9 | \# | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Indiana | 6 | \# | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| lowa | 6 | \# | 5 | 1 | 5 |
| Kansas | 13 | \# | 13 | 6 | 6 |
| Kentucky | 3 | \# | 3 | \# | 2 |
| Louisiana | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Maine | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Maryland | 8 | \# | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Massachusetts | 11 | \# | 10 | 7 | 3 |
| Michigan | 8 | \# | 8 | 5 | 3 |
| Minnesota | 8 | \# | 8 | 5 | 4 |
| Mississippi | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Missouri | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Montana | 4 | \# | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 7 | \# | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Nevada | 23 | \# | 22 | 4 | 18 |
| New Hampshire | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| New Jersey | 3 | \# | 3 | \# | 3 |
| New Mexico | 18 | \# | 18 | 8 | 10 |
| New York | 8 | 1 | 7 | \# | 7 |
| North Carolina | 7 | \# | 6 | 3 | 4 |
| North Dakota | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Ohio | 3 | \# | 3 | \# | 3 |
| Oklahoma | 7 | \# | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Oregon | 14 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 8 |
| Pennsylvania | 3 | \# | 3 | \# | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 7 | \# | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| South Carolina | 7 | \# | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| South Dakota | 4 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Tennessee | 4 | \# | 4 | \# | 4 |
| Texas | 23 | 1 | 23 | 12 | 11 |
| Utah | 6 | \# | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| Vermont | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Virginia | 7 | \# | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| Washington | 9 | \# | 9 | 2 | 7 |
| West Virginia | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 8 | \# | 8 | 1 | 7 |
| Wyoming | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 7 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 6 |
| DoDEA1 | 6 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 |

- Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000-13 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-30. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were not permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 1990-2000

| State/jurisdiction | 1990 |  |  | 1992 |  |  | 1996 |  |  | 2000 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  |
| Nation (public) | - | - | - | 2 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| Alabama | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Alaska | - |  | 侕 | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | 4 | - | - | - |
| Arizona | 5 | 1 | 4 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 5 | 10 | 4 | 6 |
| Arkansas | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| California | 8 | 4 | 4 | 13 | 5 | 8 | 13 | 6 | 7 | 19 | 4 | 15 |
| Colorado | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Connecticut | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Delaware | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | - | - | - |
| Florida | 2 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | - | - | - |
| Georgia | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Hawaii | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| Idaho | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | - | - | - | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Illinois | 1 | 1 | \# | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Indiana | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| lowa | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | - | - | - |
| Kansas | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Kentucky | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Louisiana | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# |
| Maine | - | - | - | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Maryland | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# |
| Massachusetts | - | - | - | 4 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | \# | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| Michigan | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Minnesota | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Mississippi | - | - | - | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Missouri | - | - | - | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Montana | \# | \# | \# | - | - | - | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Nebraska | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Nevada | - | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| New Hampshire | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | - | - | - |
| New Jersey | 2 | 2 | , | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | - | - | - |
| New Mexico | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 | 11 | 4 | 8 |
| New York | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| North Carolina | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 3 | \# |
| North Dakota | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Ohio | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Oklahoma | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Oregon | 1 | \# | 1 | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| South Carolina | - | - | - | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Tennessee | - | - | - | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Texas | 5 | 2 | 3 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 4 | 8 | 3 | 5 |
| Utah | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Vermont | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Virginia | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Washington | - | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | - | - | - |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Wyoming | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 2 |
| DoDEA1 | - | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 |

— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: South Dakota did not participate in NAEP mathematics assessments from 1990 to 2000. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 1990-2000 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-31. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: Various years, 2000-13

| State/jurisdiction | 2000 |  |  |  |  | 2003 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without Assessed withaccommodations accommodations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without d accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 4 | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Alabama | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Alaska | - |  |  | - | - | 11 | \# | 11 | 10 | 1 |
| Arizona | 10 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 2 | 16 | 2 | 14 | 12 | 2 |
| Arkansas | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| California | 19 | 2 | 17 | 13 | 4 | 20 | 2 | 19 | 17 | 1 |
| Colorado | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Connecticut | 2 | 2 | 1 | \# | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Delaware | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Florida | - | - | - | - | - | 7 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 3 |
| Georgia | 2 | 1 | \# | \# | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Hawaii | 6 | 1 | 4 | 4 | \# | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Idaho | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 6 | \# | 5 | 4 | 1 |
| Illinois | 5 | 2 | 3 | 3 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Indiana | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| lowa | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Kansas | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Kentucky | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Louisiana | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# |
| Maine | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Maryland | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Massachusetts | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Michigan | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 3 | 1 | 3 | 2 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Mississippi | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Missouri | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Montana | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | \# |
| Nevada | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | \# | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| New Hampshire | - | - | - | - | - | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| New Jersey | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 |
| New Mexico | 11 | 2 | 9 | 7 | 2 | 20 | 1 | 19 | 11 | 7 |
| New York | 6 | 2 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| North Carolina | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| North Dakota | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Ohio | 2 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Oklahoma | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 1 |
| Oregon | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania | - | - | - | - | - | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Rhode Island | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 5 | 2 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| South Carolina | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| South Dakota | - | - | - | - | - | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Tennessee | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# |
| Texas | 8 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 8 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 |
| Utah | 4 | \# | 3 | 3 | 1 | 7 | 1 | 6 | 5 | 2 |
| Vermont | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Virginia | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Washington | - | - | - | - | - | 5 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 1 |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | \# | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Wyoming | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 4 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| DoDEA1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
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| State/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2011 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Assessed without <br> Identified Excluded Assessed <br> accommodations assed with <br> Accommodations |  |  |  |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without d accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 6 | \# | 5 | 3 | 2 | 6 | \# | 6 | 3 | 2 |
| Alabama | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | \# |
| Alaska | 11 | 1 | 10 | 4 | 6 | 11 | 1 | 10 | 3 | 7 |
| Arizona | 6 | 1 | 6 | 2 | 3 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Arkansas | 4 | \# | 4 | 1 | 2 | 5 | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| California | 20 | 1 | 19 | 16 | 3 | 17 | 1 | 17 | 13 | 4 |
| Colorado | 7 | \# | 7 | 3 | 4 | 7 | \# | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Connecticut | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Delaware | 2 | 1 | 2 | \# | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Florida | 5 | \# | 5 | \# | 4 | 5 | \# | 5 | \# | 4 |
| Georgia | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Hawaii | 7 | 1 | 6 | 3 | 3 | 9 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 3 |
| Idaho | 4 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Illinois | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 4 | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Indiana | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| lowa | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Kansas | 6 | \# | 5 | 3 | 2 | 7 | \# | 7 | 5 | 2 |
| Kentucky | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Louisiana | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Maine | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| Maryland | 3 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Massachusetts | 3 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 2 |
| Michigan | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Minnesota | 5 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 | 5 | \# | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Mississippi | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Missouri | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Montana | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Nevada | 8 | \# | 8 | 4 | 4 | 10 | 1 | 9 | 5 | 4 |
| New Hampshire | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| New Jersey | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 |
| New Mexico | 11 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 1 | 11 | 7 | 4 |
| New York | 5 | 1 | 4 | \# | 4 | 6 | \# | 5 | \# | 5 |
| North Carolina | 5 | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 | 5 | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| North Dakota | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Ohio | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Oklahoma | 3 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Oregon | 6 | \# | 6 | 4 | 2 | 6 | \# | 6 | 3 | 3 |
| Pennsylvania | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 3 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| South Carolina | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 1 | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| South Dakota | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Tennessee | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | 2 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Texas | 7 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 8 | 6 | 1 |
| Utah | 5 | \# | 4 | 3 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Vermont | 2 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Virginia | 4 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Washington | 4 | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 | 5 | \# | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| West Virginia | \# | \# | \# | \# | \# | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 5 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Wyoming | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 | 6 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 2 | 5 | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
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| State/jurisdiction | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 6 | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| Alabama | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Alaska | 11 | \# | 11 | 2 | 9 |
| Arizona | 2 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Arkansas | 7 | \# | 6 | 2 | 4 |
| California | 13 | 1 | 12 | 9 | 3 |
| Colorado | 8 | \# | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Connecticut | 4 | \# | 4 | \# | 3 |
| Delaware | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Florida | 5 | 1 | 4 | \# | 4 |
| Georgia | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Hawaii | 10 | 1 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Idaho | 3 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Illinois | 5 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Indiana | 4 | \# | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| lowa | 3 | \# | 3 | \# | 2 |
| Kansas | 8 | \# | 8 | 5 | 2 |
| Kentucky | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Louisiana | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Maine | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Maryland | 3 | 1 | 3 | \# | 2 |
| Massachusetts | 6 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Michigan | 4 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Minnesota | 6 | \# | 5 | 3 | 2 |
| Mississippi | 1 | \# | 1 | 1 | \# |
| Missouri | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Montana | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Nebraska | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 2 |
| Nevada | 7 | \# | 7 | 2 | 5 |
| New Hampshire | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 |
| New Jersey | 2 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| New Mexico | 14 | \# | 13 | 7 | 6 |
| New York | 7 | \# | 6 | \# | 6 |
| North Carolina | 5 | \# | 4 | 2 | 3 |
| North Dakota | 2 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Ohio | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 1 |
| Oklahoma | 4 | \# | 4 | 2 | 2 |
| Oregon | 4 | \# | 3 | 1 | 2 |
| Pennsylvania | 3 | \# | 3 | \# | 2 |
| Rhode Island | 5 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| South Carolina | 4 | \# | 3 | 2 | 1 |
| South Dakota | 3 | \# | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Tennessee | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Texas | 8 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 4 |
| Utah | 4 | \# | 4 | 1 | 3 |
| Vermont | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | 1 |
| Virginia | 5 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Washington | 5 | \# | 5 | 2 | 3 |
| West Virginia | 1 | \# | 1 | \# | \# |
| Wisconsin | 5 | \# | 5 | 1 | 4 |
| Wyoming | 2 | \# | 2 | \# | 2 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 6 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 5 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 4 | \# | 3 | 2 | 2 |

- Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
${ }^{1}$ Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2000-13 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-32. Percentage of twelfth-grade public school students identified as English language learners excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics when accommodations were permitted, by state/jurisdiction: 2009 and 2013

| State/jurisdiction | 2009 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Arkansas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Connecticut | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Florida | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Idaho | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Illinois | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| lowa | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Massachusetts | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Michigan | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Hampshire | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New Jersey | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| South Dakota | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Tennessee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| West Virginia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

— Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
NOTE: States/jurisdictions that did not participate at the state level in 2009 and/or 2013 are not shown. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational
Progress (NAEP), 2009 and 2013 Mathematics Assessments.

Table A-33. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD and/or ELL |  |  |  | SD |  |  |  | ELL |  |  |  |
|  | Excluded Assessed |  | Assessed Assessed <br> without with <br> accom- accom- <br> modations modations |  | Excluded Assessed |  | Assessed Assessed <br> without with <br> accom- accom- <br> modations modations |  | Excluded Assessed |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 7 | 93 | 32 | 62 | 9 | 91 | 16 | 75 | 4 | 96 | 47 | 49 |
| Alabama | 9 | 91 | 49 | 42 | 10 | 90 | 43 | 47 | 4 | 96 | 72 | 24 |
| Alaska | 4 | 96 | 16 | 80 | 6 | 94 | 13 | 81 | 2 | 98 | 17 | 82 |
| Arizona | 7 | 93 | 14 | 79 | 8 | 92 | 17 | 74 | 5 | 95 | 7 | 88 |
| Arkansas | 6 | 94 | 22 | 72 | 8 | 92 | 15 | 77 | 1 | 99 | 31 | 67 |
| California | 6 | 94 | 67 | 27 | 16 | 84 | 16 | 68 | 5 | 95 | 79 | 16 |
| Colorado | 5 | 95 | 41 | 54 | 10 | 90 | 15 | 75 | 2 | 98 | 55 | 43 |
| Connecticut | 7 | 93 | 9 | 84 | 8 | 92 | 10 | 81 | 4 | 96 | 4 | 92 |
| Delaware | 12 | 88 | 13 | 75 | 13 | 87 | 11 | 76 | 7 | 93 | 18 | 75 |
| Florida | 8 | 92 | 10 | 83 | 8 | 92 | 15 | 77 | 7 | 93 | 1 | 92 |
| Georgia | 9 | 91 | 21 | 70 | 11 | 89 | 17 | 73 | 3 | 97 | 29 | 68 |
| Hawaii | 7 | 93 | 30 | 63 | 8 | 92 | 15 | 77 | 7 | 93 | 45 | 49 |
| Idaho | 9 | 91 | 26 | 65 | 9 | 91 | 19 | 72 | 10 | 90 | 37 | 53 |
| Illinois | 5 | 95 | 19 | 77 | 5 | 95 | 17 | 78 | 4 | 96 | 17 | 79 |
| Indiana | 7 | 93 | 15 | 78 | 8 | 92 | 15 | 77 | 3 | 97 | 14 | 83 |
| lowa | 4 | 96 | 15 | 81 | 4 | 96 | 15 | 81 | 4 | 96 | 14 | 83 |
| Kansas | 6 | 94 | 36 | 58 | 9 | 91 | 21 | 69 | 2 | 98 | 49 | 48 |
| Kentucky | 9 | 91 | 17 | 73 | 10 | 90 | 18 | 72 | 7 | 93 | 13 | 81 |
| Louisiana | 5 | 95 | 11 | 84 | 5 | 95 | 10 | 85 | 4 | 96 | 22 | 73 |
| Maine | 10 | 90 | 10 | 80 | 10 | 90 | 8 | 82 | 12 | 88 | 22 | 66 |
| Maryland | 5 | 95 | 12 | 83 | 6 | 94 | 10 | 85 | 3 | 97 | 14 | 83 |
| Massachusetts | 7 | 93 | 30 | 63 | 9 | 91 | 7 | 83 | 4 | 96 | 64 | 32 |
| Michigan | 10 | 90 | 36 | 54 | 12 | 88 | 22 | 66 | 5 | 95 | 55 | 40 |
| Minnesota | 6 | 94 | 44 | 49 | 9 | 91 | 35 | 56 | 2 | 98 | 56 | 41 |
| Mississippi | 7 | 93 | 35 | 59 | 7 | 93 | 33 | 61 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | 9 | 91 | 20 | 71 | 10 | 90 | 23 | 68 | 4 | 96 | 3 | 92 |
| Montana | 11 | 89 | 32 | 57 | 14 | 86 | 20 | 66 | 5 | 95 | 70 | 25 |
| Nebraska | 8 | 92 | 28 | 64 | 9 | 91 | 27 | 64 | 4 | 96 | 27 | 69 |
| Nevada | 4 | 96 | 23 | 73 | 9 | 91 | 21 | 70 | 2 | 98 | 20 | 78 |
| New Hampshire | 7 | 93 | 11 | 82 | 7 | 93 | 7 | 86 | 3 | 97 | 35 | 62 |
| New Jersey | 6 | 94 | 6 | 88 | 6 | 94 | 7 | 87 | 7 | 93 | 2 | 91 |
| New Mexico | 4 | 96 | 35 | 61 | 8 | 92 | 17 | 76 | 2 | 98 | 42 | 55 |
| New York | 6 | 94 | 4 | 90 | 5 | 95 | 5 | 89 | 7 | 93 | 1 | 93 |
| North Carolina | 6 | 94 | 23 | 70 | 7 | 93 | 14 | 78 | 5 | 95 | 38 | 57 |
| North Dakota | 16 | 84 | 21 | 63 | 17 | 83 | 19 | 64 | 11 | 89 | 31 | 58 |
| Ohio | 8 | 92 | 15 | 78 | 8 | 92 | 15 | 77 | 4 | 96 | 11 | 85 |
| Oklahoma | 8 | 92 | 29 | 63 | 10 | 90 | 20 | 70 | 3 | 97 | 45 | 53 |
| Oregon | 8 | 92 | 35 | 57 | 13 | 87 | 26 | 62 | 4 | 96 | 40 | 56 |
| Pennsylvania | 9 | 91 | 20 | 71 | 9 | 91 | 21 | 71 | 10 | 90 | 12 | 78 |
| Rhode Island | 6 | 94 | 15 | 79 | 7 | 93 | 6 | 87 | 3 | 97 | 30 | 67 |
| South Carolina | 5 | 95 | 35 | 59 | 7 | 93 | 22 | 71 | 1 | 99 | 60 | 38 |
| South Dakota | 8 | 92 | 36 | 56 | 9 | 91 | 35 | 56 | 2 | 98 | 32 | 66 |
| Tennessee | 8 | 92 | 15 | 77 | 7 | 93 | 17 | 76 | 9 | 91 | 7 | 85 |
| Texas | 5 | 95 | 40 | 55 | 11 | 89 | 12 | 77 | 3 | 97 | 51 | 47 |
| Utah | 7 | 93 | 21 | 72 | 8 | 92 | 21 | 71 | 7 | 93 | 17 | 76 |
| Vermont | 7 | 93 | 10 | 83 | 7 | 93 | 8 | 85 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 8 | 92 | 24 | 68 | 9 | 91 | 19 | 72 | 5 | 95 | 30 | 65 |
| Washington | 10 | 90 | 26 | 65 | 14 | 86 | 23 | 62 | 4 | 96 | 26 | 71 |
| West Virginia | 9 | 91 | 38 | 53 | 9 | 91 | 38 | 53 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 8 | 92 | 16 | 76 | 11 | 89 | 16 | 73 | 4 | 96 | 14 | 82 |
| Wyoming | 6 | 94 | 22 | 72 | 6 | 94 | 21 | 73 | 6 | 94 | 21 | 73 |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 7 | 93 | 7 | 87 | 6 | 94 | 4 | 90 | 9 | 91 | 10 | 81 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 9 | 91 | 26 | 65 | 9 | 91 | 20 | 72 | 12 | 88 | 37 | 51 |

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-34. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2013

| State/jurisdiction | Percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | SD and/or ELL |  |  |  | SD |  |  |  | ELL |  |  |  |
|  | $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { Assessed } \\ \text { without } & \text { Assessed } \\ \text { ascom- } \\ \text { accom- } \\ \text { acther }\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{rrr}\text { Assessed } \\ \text { without } & \text { Assessed } \\ \text { accom- } \\ \text { acth } \\ \text { accom- } \\ \text { Excluded Assessed } \\ \text { modations } & \text { modations }\end{array}$ |  |  |  | Excluded Assessed |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| Nation (public) | 9 | 91 | 20 | 71 | 10 | 90 | 10 | 80 | 7 | 93 | 40 | 53 |
| Alabama | 9 | 91 | 44 | 47 | 9 | 91 | 41 | 50 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Alaska | 5 | 95 | 14 | 82 | 7 | 93 | 8 | 85 | 2 | 98 | 18 | 80 |
| Arizona | 10 | 90 | 8 | 82 | 8 | 92 | 9 | 83 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Arkansas | 10 | 90 | 16 | 74 | 14 | 86 | 5 | 81 | 4 | 96 | 34 | 62 |
| California | 8 | 92 | 52 | 41 | 12 | 88 | 18 | 69 | 6 | 94 | 69 | 26 |
| Colorado | 6 | 94 | 28 | 65 | 10 | 90 | 11 | 79 | 3 | 97 | 49 | 49 |
| Connecticut | 12 | 88 | 10 | 78 | 12 | 88 | 10 | 78 | 9 | 91 | 8 | 83 |
| Delaware | 8 | 92 | 5 | 87 | 7 | 93 | 4 | 89 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Florida | 10 | 90 | 3 | 87 | 9 | 91 | 4 | 87 | 13 | 87 | 1 | 87 |
| Georgia | 12 | 88 | 15 | 73 | 11 | 89 | 16 | 73 | 12 | 88 | 8 | 80 |
| Hawaii | 8 | 92 | 33 | 59 | 8 | 92 | 20 | 72 | 8 | 92 | 44 | 47 |
| Idaho | 9 | 91 | 18 | 72 | 12 | 88 | 11 | 77 | 3 | 97 | 34 | 63 |
| Illinois | 6 | 94 | 12 | 82 | 6 | 94 | 7 | 87 | 5 | 95 | 24 | 71 |
| Indiana | 9 | 91 | 11 | 80 | 11 | 89 | 9 | 80 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| lowa | 5 | 95 | 7 | 88 | 6 | 94 | 5 | 89 | 1 | 99 | 19 | 79 |
| Kansas | 9 | 91 | 34 | 58 | 12 | 88 | 11 | 77 | 1 | 99 | 68 | 30 |
| Kentucky | 16 | 84 | 6 | 78 | 17 | 83 | 4 | 79 | 11 | 89 | 15 | 74 |
| Louisiana | 7 | 93 | 5 | 88 | 7 | 93 | 3 | 90 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maine | 7 | 93 | 12 | 81 | 7 | 93 | 11 | 83 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Maryland | 11 | 89 | 5 | 84 | 9 | 91 | 3 | 88 | 17 | 83 | 12 | 71 |
| Massachusetts | 9 | 91 | 17 | 74 | 8 | 92 | 4 | 87 | 13 | 87 | 53 | 34 |
| Michigan | 16 | 84 | 18 | 67 | 15 | 85 | 14 | 71 | 16 | 84 | 31 | 53 |
| Minnesota | 9 | 91 | 39 | 51 | 12 | 88 | 29 | 59 | 5 | 95 | 60 | 36 |
| Mississippi | 9 | 91 | 21 | 70 | 9 | 91 | 16 | 75 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Missouri | 10 | 90 | 12 | 79 | 10 | 90 | 9 | 81 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Montana | 11 | 89 | 18 | 70 | 12 | 88 | 13 | 75 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Nebraska | 12 | 88 | 13 | 75 | 12 | 88 | 11 | 77 | 10 | 90 | 21 | 69 |
| Nevada | 7 | 93 | 18 | 75 | 7 | 93 | 13 | 80 | 4 | 96 | 25 | 71 |
| New Hampshire | 5 | 95 | 14 | 81 | 5 | 95 | 13 | 82 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Jersey | 9 | 91 | 2 | 89 | 7 | 93 | 1 | 91 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| New Mexico | 7 | 93 | 42 | 51 | 11 | 89 | 24 | 64 | 2 | 98 | 53 | 45 |
| New York | 9 | 91 | 1 | 90 | 10 | 90 | 1 | 90 | 6 | 94 | 1 | 92 |
| North Carolina | 7 | 93 | 17 | 76 | 7 | 93 | 10 | 82 | 6 | 94 | 33 | 61 |
| North Dakota | 19 | 81 | 8 | 73 | 19 | 81 | 6 | 75 | 15 | 85 | 24 | 61 |
| Ohio | 9 | 91 | 6 | 85 | 10 | 90 | 5 | 85 | 3 | 97 | 17 | 80 |
| Oklahoma | 9 | 91 | 17 | 75 | 9 | 91 | 10 | 81 | 8 | 92 | 44 | 49 |
| Oregon | 9 | 91 | 25 | 66 | 10 | 90 | 21 | 69 | 3 | 97 | 33 | 63 |
| Pennsylvania | 9 | 91 | 12 | 79 | 9 | 91 | 12 | 80 | 11 | 89 | 13 | 77 |
| Rhode Island | 6 | 94 | 11 | 83 | 5 | 95 | 9 | 87 | 8 | 92 | 16 | 76 |
| South Carolina | 9 | 91 | 27 | 64 | 10 | 90 | 18 | 72 | 5 | 95 | 56 | 39 |
| South Dakota | 10 | 90 | 25 | 65 | 10 | 90 | 21 | 69 | 9 | 91 | 38 | 53 |
| Tennessee | 14 | 86 | 6 | 80 | 14 | 86 | 6 | 80 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Texas | 11 | 89 | 21 | 68 | 12 | 88 | 11 | 78 | 10 | 90 | 34 | 56 |
| Utah | 11 | 89 | 16 | 73 | 12 | 88 | 11 | 77 | 8 | 92 | 27 | 65 |
| Vermont | 5 | 95 | 11 | 85 | 4 | 96 | 10 | 86 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Virginia | 6 | 94 | 21 | 73 | 6 | 94 | 17 | 77 | 5 | 95 | 28 | 67 |
| Washington | 12 | 88 | 18 | 70 | 14 | 86 | 10 | 76 | 8 | 92 | 33 | 59 |
| West Virginia | 13 | 87 | 19 | 68 | 13 | 87 | 17 | 69 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Wisconsin | 8 | 92 | 11 | 81 | 10 | 90 | 8 | 82 | 4 | 96 | 17 | 80 |
| Wyoming | 10 | 90 | 10 | 80 | 10 | 90 | 9 | 81 | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ | $\ddagger$ |
| Other jurisdictions |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| District of Columbia | 4 | 96 | 4 | 91 | 2 | 98 | 1 | 97 | 11 | 89 | 14 | 75 |
| DoDEA ${ }^{1}$ | 8 | 92 | 24 | 68 | 8 | 92 | 14 | 78 | 13 | 87 | 45 | 43 |

$\ddagger$ Reporting standards not met.
1 Department of Defense Education Activity (overseas and domestic schools).
NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-35. Percentage of twelfth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, as a percentage of identified SD and/or ELL students, by state/jurisdiction: 2013


NOTE: Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. States/jurisdictions that did not participate at the state level are not shown. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), 2013 Mathematics Assessment.

Table A-36. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2003-13

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2003 |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

Table A-36. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2003-13—Continued

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2007 |  |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

Table A-36. Percentage of fourth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2003-13—Continued

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2011 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed  <br> without Assessed <br> accom- with accom- <br> modations modations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |


| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | TBA $\quad$ TBA

Table A-37. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2003-13

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2003 |  |  |  |  | 2005 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed  <br> without Assessed <br> accom- with accom- <br> modations modations |  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

Table A-37. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2003-13-Continued

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2007 |  |  |  |  | 2009 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Assessed } \\ \text { without } \\ \text { accom- } \\ \text { modations } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Assessed with accommodations | Identified Excluded Assessed |  |  | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |

Table A-37. Percentage of eighth-grade public school students identified as students with disabilities (SD) and/or English language learners (ELL) excluded and assessed in NAEP mathematics, by SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction: Various years, 2003-13—Continued

| SD/ELL category and urban district/jurisdiction | 2011 |  |  |  |  | 2013 |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations | Identified | Excluded | Assessed | Assessed without accommodations | Assessed with accommodations |
| SD and/or ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| SD |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| ELL |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nation (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Large city ${ }^{1}$ (public) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Albuquerque | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Atlanta | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Austin | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Baltimore City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Boston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Charlotte | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Chicago | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Cleveland | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Dallas | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Detroit | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| District of Columbia (DCPS) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Fresno | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Hillsborough County (FL) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Houston | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Jefferson County (KY) | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Los Angeles | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Miami-Dade | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Milwaukee | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| New York City | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| Philadelphia | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |


| San Diego | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA | TBA |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

- Not available.
\# Rounds to zero.
1 Large city includes students from all cities in the nation with populations of 250,000 or more including the participating districts.
NOTE: Beginning in 2009, if the results for charter schools are not included in the school district's Adequate Yearly Progress (AYP) report to the U.S.
Department of Education under the Elementary and Secondary Education Act, they are excluded from that district's Trial Urban District Assessment
(TUDA) results. Students identified as both SD and ELL were counted only once under the combined SD and/or ELL category, but were counted separately under the SD and ELL categories. SD includes students identified as having either an Individualized Education Program or protection under Section 504 of the Rehabilitation Act of 1973. Detail may not sum to totals because of rounding. DCPS = District of Columbia Public Schools.
SOURCE: U.S. Department of Education, Institute of Education Sciences, National Center for Education Statistics, National Assessment of Educational Progress (NAEP), various years, 2003-13 Mathematics Assessments.


## Data Collection

The NAEP 2013 mathematics assessment was conducted from January to March 2013 by contractors to the U.S. Department of Education. Data collection for NAEP involves a collaborative effort among the participating schools, school districts, states, and NAEP staff. To reduce the burden on the participating schools, NAEP field staff perform most of the work associated with the assessment. The cooperation of the schools involves enlisting a school staff member to assist in coordinating selected students and providing space to administer the assessments.

Assessment sessions are scripted so that all students are given the same instructions and opportunity to demonstrate what they know and can do. Assessment administrators conduct the sessions under the supervision of their team's assessment coordinator. Training of assessment administrators focuses on their responsibilities in the classroom and on reading the scripts verbatim to administer the sessions in a uniform manner.

NAEP procedures guarantee the anonymity of participants. The names of students are never removed from the schools. The results of NAEP are reported on the national level and by region of the country, state, and for some urban districts-not by school or individual student.

## Scoring

Three types of cognitive items were scored for the NAEP mathematics assessment. Responses to multiple-choice questions were scored by high-speed scanners during student booklet processing. Short constructed-response questions (those with two or three valid score points) and extended constructed-response questions (those with four or five valid score points) were scored by trained personnel using high-definition images of student responses also captured during processing.

Scoring a large number of short and extended constructed-responses with a high level of accuracy and reliability within a limited time frame is essential to the success of NAEP. To ensure reliable, efficient scoring, NAEP
¿ develops focused, explicit scoring guides for each item that match the criteria delineated in the assessment frameworks,
© pilot tests all items and adjusts the scoring guides (if necessary) to reflect actual student responses,
¿ recruits qualified and experienced scorers, trains them, and verifies their ability to score particular questions through qualifying tests,
¿ employs an image-processing and scoring system that routes images of student responses directly to the scorers so they can focus on scoring rather than paper routing,
¿ monitors scorer consistency through a second scoring a percentage of responses,
i assesses the quality of scorer decision-making through constant monitoring by NAEP assessment experts, and
i documents all training, scoring, and quality control procedures in the technical reports.

For the 2013 mathematics assessment, almost three and a half million individual student responses were scored across all three grades (including rescoring to monitor interrater reliability). Most of the mathematics items were scored with 90 percent or higher exact agreement between raters of the same student responses.

## Data Analysis and Scaling

The goal of the analysis of NAEP data is to summarize the performance of groups of students. Initial analysis activities verify the accuracy of the data and data files used in the analysis and provide the first indication of aspects of the data and analysis that require special consideration and attention. The first step is to determine the percentages of students who gave various responses to each cognitive item. Next, the properties of the items are further examined using classical test theory measures of item difficulty and item discrimination. Some of these activities are conducted without student weights or with preliminary student weights, but final student weights are used whenever possible.

After the initial activities are completed, NAEP score scales are created using Item Response Theory (IRT), and scale score distributions are estimated for groups of students. Not all students take the same blocks of items in a NAEP assessment, so results cannot be summarized using the total number of correct item responses. Instead, IRT models are used to describe the relationships between the item responses provided by students and the underlying scale (e.g., mathematics ability). The primary purpose of IRT scaling is to provide a common scale on which performance can be compared even when students receive different blocks of items. Item parameters that are used in the models are estimated from student response data for each item. Different IRT models with different types of item parameters are used to describe multiple-choice items, constructed-response items that are scored simply right or wrong, and complex constructed-response items that have three or more categories.

Because the NAEP design gives each student a small proportion of the pool of assessment items, the assessment cannot provide reliable information about individual student performance. Traditional test scores for individual students, even those based on IRT, would result in misleading estimates of population characteristics, such as student group means and percentages of students at or above a certain scale-score level. However, it is NAEP's goal to estimate these population characteristics. This is accomplished using marginal estimation techniques for latent variables. Under the assumptions of the analysis models, these population estimates will be consistent in the sense that the estimates approach the population values as the sample size increases.

IRT and the NAEP marginal estimation methodology are used to estimate score scales for each of the mathematics content areas at each grade (e.g., at grades 4 and 8, score scales are estimated for number properties and operations; measurement; geometry; data analysis, statistics, and probability; and algebra). The scales summarize student performance across all three types of questions in the assessment (multiple-choice, short constructed-response, and extended constructed-response). Each scale score distribution is transformed to a NAEP scale that ranges from 0 to 500. A mathematics composite scale is subsequently created by combining the content area scales. Summary statistics of the scale scores are estimated, and statistical tests are used to make inferences about the comparisons of results for different groups of students or for different assessment years. Finally, NAEP scale score distributions are described via achievement levels and/or item mapping procedures. For more information about NAEP analysis, IRT, and scaling see http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/tdw/analysis/.

## Variance Estimation

The averages and percentages in this report are estimates based on samples of students rather than on entire populations. Moreover, the collection of questions used at each grade level is only a sample of the many questions that could have been asked to assess the skills and abilities described in the NAEP framework. Therefore, the results are subject to a measure of uncertainty, reflected in the standard error of the estimates-a range of up to a few points above or below the score or percentage-which takes into account potential score fluctuation due to sampling error and measurement error.

Because NAEP uses complex sampling procedures, conventional formulas for estimating sampling variability that assume simple random sampling are inappropriate. NAEP uses a jackknife replication procedure to estimate standard errors. The jackknife standard error provides a reasonable measure of uncertainty for any student information that can be observed without error. However, because each student typically responds to only a few questions within any mathematics content area, the estimated scale score for any single student would be imprecise. In this case, NAEP's marginal estimation methodology is used to describe the performance of groups of students without requiring precise estimates of individual student performance. The estimate of the variance of the students' scale score distributions (which reflect the imprecision due to lack of measurement accuracy) is computed. This component of variability is then included in the standard errors of NAEP scale scores.

## Drawing Inferences from the NAEP Results

Drawing correct inferences from NAEP assessment results depends on the use of appropriate statistical procedures for comparing assessment results for population groups of interest and following guidelines to ensure the validity of the inferences. Comparisons of different groups of students with respect to scores or percentages of a certain attribute are of primary interest to users of NAEP results. The user is cautioned to rely on the results of statistical tests, rather than on the apparent magnitude of the difference between two numbers when determining whether differences are likely to represent actual differences among the groups in the population.
$\boldsymbol{t}$ Test Comparison: By convention, references to differences in NAEP reports indicate that scores or percentages from two groups are different (e.g., one group performed higher or lower than another group) only when the difference in the point estimates for the groups being compared is statistically significant at an approximate level of .05 .

Since 1998, $t$ tests have been used for most NAEP comparisons. These tests are more appropriate than $z$ tests (based on normal distribution approximations) when the statistics that are being compared are from distributions with proportionally larger extremes (i.e., thicker tails) than the normal distribution. One aspect of the use of $t$ tests that contributes to the difficulty in their use for large-scale surveys is the determination of the appropriate degrees of freedom for the $t$ distribution of interest.

Multiple Comparison Procedures: The $t$ test used by NAEP and the certainty ascribed to intervals (e.g., a 95 percent confidence interval) are based on statistical theory that assumes that only one confidence interval or test of statistical significance is being performed. However, in some sections of a report, many different groups may be compared (i.e., multiple sets of confidence intervals are being analyzed). In sets of confidence intervals, statistical theory indicates that certainty associated with the entire set of intervals is less than that attributable to each individual comparison from the set. To hold the significance level for the set of comparisons at a particular level (e.g., .05), adjustments-called multiple comparison procedures-must be made to the methods.

To ensure that comparisons made using NAEP data are as accurate as possible, error rates are controlled when multiple comparisons are made. When making a number of comparisons in a single analysis, such as analyzing White student performance versus the performance of Black, Hispanic, Asian/Pacific Islander, and American Indian/Alaska Native students, the probability of finding significant differences by chance, for at least one comparison, increases with the family size or number of comparisons. There are several ways to take into account how many related comparisons are being made. In NAEP, the Benjamini-Hochberg False Discovery Rate (FDR) procedure is used to control for this.

Unlike other multiple comparison procedures (e.g., the Bonferroni procedure) that control the familywise error rate (i.e., the probability of making even one false rejection in the set of comparisons), the FDR procedure controls the expected proportion of falsely rejected hypotheses. Familywise procedures are considered conservative for large families of comparisons; therefore the FDR procedure is more suitable for multiple comparisons in NAEP than other procedures. There are two exceptions where the FDR is not applied: when comparing multiple years and when comparing a state's overall results to the nation.

## NAEP Reporting Groups

In addition to overall results for each grade assessed, NAEP results are reported for certain student groups provided there are sufficient numbers of students and adequate school representation. Results for some student groups may not be available for certain years, grades, or jurisdictions.

Race/Ethnicity: The school-recorded race/ethnicity variable records the race/ethnicity of each student as reported by the student's school. When the school-recorded information is missing, student-reported data derived from the student background questions are used. For 2013, the mutually exclusive racial/ethnic categories are White, Black, Hispanic, Asian, American Indian/Alaska Native, Native Hawaiian or Other Pacific Islander, and Two or more races. Black includes African American and Hispanic includes Latino. Race categories exclude Hispanic origin unless specified.

Gender: The gender of the student assessed is taken from school records.
Eligibility for the National School Lunch Program: The school lunch variable is based on available school records. Students are classified as either currently eligible or not currently eligible for the national lunch component of the Department of Agriculture's National School Lunch Program. The classification refers only to the school year when the assessment was administered and is not based on eligibility in previous years. If school records are not available, the student is classified as "Information not available." If the school did not participate in the program, all students in that school were classified as "Information not available." Eligibility for the program is determined by students' family income in relation to the federally established poverty level. Free lunch qualification is set at 130 percent of the poverty level or below, and reduced-price lunch qualification is set at between 130 and 185 percent of the poverty level. (For the period July 1, 2012 through June 30, 2013, for a family of four, 130 percent of the poverty level was $\$ 29,965$, and 185 percent was $\$ 42,642$.) Additional information on eligibility may be found at the U.S. Department of Agriculture website at http://www.fns.usda.gov/cnd/lunch/.

Type of Location: Results for four mutually exclusive categories of school location are also reported: city, suburb, town, and rural. The categories are based on standard definitions established by the Federal Office of Management and Budget using population and geographic information from the U.S. Census Bureau. Schools are assigned to these categories in the NCES Common Core of Data based on their physical address. The classification system was revised for 2007; therefore, trend comparisons to previous years are not available. The new locale codes are based on an address's proximity to an urbanized area (a densely settled core with densely settled surrounding areas). This is a change from the original system based on metropolitan statistical areas. To distinguish the two systems, the new system is referred to as "urban-centric locale codes."

Parental Education: Eighth- and twelfth-graders were asked the following two questions, the responses to which were combined to derive the parental education variable:

How far in school did your mother go?
\& She did not finish high school.
¿ She graduated from high school.
¿ She had some education after high school.
¿ She graduated from college.
: I don't know.
How far in school did your father go?
\& He did not finish high school.
\& He graduated from high school.
¿ He had some education after high school.
\& He graduated from college.
¿ I don't know.

The information was combined into one parental-education reporting variable in the following way:
¿ If a student indicated the extent of education for only one parent, that level was included in the data. If a student indicated the extent of education for both parents, the higher of the two levels was included in the data.
¿ If a student responded "I don't know" for both parents, or responded "I don't know" for one parent and did not respond for the other, the parental education level was classified as "I don't know."
¿ If the student did not respond for either parent, the student was recorded as having provided no response.
Because fourth-graders' responses to the questions tend to be highly variable, the questions are not presented to students at grade 4.

Region of the Country: Prior to 2003, NAEP results were reported for four NAEP-defined regions of the nation: Northeast, Southeast, Central, and West. To align NAEP with other federal data collections, NAEP analysis and reports have used the U.S. Census Bureau's definition of "region" beginning in 2003. The four regions defined by the U.S. Census Bureau are Northeast, South, Midwest, and West. Therefore, trend data by region are not provided for assessment years prior to 2003

Figure A-1 shows how states are subdivided into these census regions. All 50 states and the District of Columbia are listed. Other jurisdictions, including the Department of Defense Education Activity schools, are not assigned to any region.

Figure A-1. States within regions of the country defined by the U.S. Census Bureau

| Northeast | South | Midwest | West |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Connecticut | Alabama | Illinois | Alaska |
| Maine | Arkansas | Indiana | Arizona |
| Massachusetts | Delaware | lowa | California |
| New Hampshire | District of Columbia | Kansas | Colorado |
| New Jersey | Florida | Michigan | Hawaii |
| New York | Georgia | Minnesota | Idaho |
| Pennsylvania | Kentucky | Missouri | Montana |
| Rhode Island | Louisiana | Nebraska | Nevada |
| Vermont | Maryland | North Dakota | New Mexico |
|  | Mississippi | Ohio | Oregon |
|  | North Carolina | South Dakota | Wisconsin |
|  | Oklahoma |  | Washington |
|  | South Carolina |  | Wyoming |
|  | Tennessee |  |  |
|  | Texas | Virginia |  |
|  | West Virginia |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

## Caution in Interpretations

As previously stated, the NAEP mathematics scale makes it possible to examine relationships between students' performance and various background factors that NAEP measures. However, the relationship between achievement and another variable does not reveal its underlying cause, which may be influenced by a number of other variables. Similarly, the assessments do not reflect the influence of unmeasured variables. The results are most useful when considered in combination with other knowledge about the student population and the educational system, such as trends in instruction, changes in the school-age population, and societal demands and expectations.

Caution in interpretation is also warranted for some small population group estimates. At times in this report, smaller population groups show very large increases or decreases across years in average scores; however, it is necessary to interpret such score changes with extreme caution. The effects of exclusion-rate changes for small student groups may be more marked for small groups than they are for the whole population. In addition, standard errors are often quite large around the score estimates for small groups, which in turn means the standard error around the gain is also large.


[^0]:    Sample released questions at all three grade levels can be viewed at the NAEP website at
    http://nces.ed.gov/nationsreportcard/itmrls/. Questions released from the 2005, 2007, 2009, 2011, and 2013 assessments are classified by content area and level of complexity. Those released from assessments administered in 2003 and earlier are classified by content area and mathematical ability. Items also may be sorted by difficulty and question type.

[^1]:    See notes at end of table.

[^2]:    See notes at end of table.

[^3]:    See notes at end of table.

[^4]:    See notes at end of table.

[^5]:    See notes at end of table.

[^6]:    See notes at end of table.

[^7]:    See notes at end of table.

[^8]:    See notes at end of table.

[^9]:    See notes at end of table.

[^10]:    See notes at end of table.

[^11]:    See notes at end of table

[^12]:    See notes at end of table.

[^13]:    See notes at end of table.

[^14]:    See notes at end of table.

[^15]:    See notes at end of table.

[^16]:    See notes at end of table.

[^17]:    See notes at end of table.

[^18]:    See notes at end of table.

